FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PRIVACY ACTS

SUBJECT: CUSTODIAL DETENTION
SECURITY INDEX

FILE NUMBER: 100-358086

SECTION: 21



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

NOTICE

THE BEST COPIES OBTAINABLE ARE INCLUDED IN THE REPRODUCTION OF THE FILE. PAGES INCLUDED THAT ARE BLURRED, LIGHT OR OTHERWISE DIFFICULT TO READ ARE THE RESULT OF THE CONDITION AND OR COLOR OF THE ORIGINALS PROVIDED. THESE ARE THE BEST COPIES AVAILABLE.

SAC, New Haven

Cirector, PHI (SECURITY INDEX

March 13, 1952

CANCEL 2 SI Complete

Recurity Index Card Carreled

Becurity Index Card Campled 3. 19. 52 Let

The Prominent Individuals Subdivision of the Special Section of the Security Index is being discontinued. The Bureau is of the opinion that prominent and netionally known individuals should not be set apart from the general Security Index because of their prominence.

The Security Index cards for the following-named individuals are cauried in the Frominant Individuals Subdivision of your security Index at this time:

- Bufile I

The files on the above-mentioned individuals have been reviewed at the Eureau and the Security Index cards for these individuals are being cancelled at this time and you should destroy both security Index cards for each individual. For your information, each of the above can a has been presented to the Department for an opinion as to whether the individual same should be carried in our Security Index. Upon receipt you of a reply from the Department you will be advised in each case as to whether the individuals name should be added to the general Security Index.

There is no change in existing instructions as to the investigative attention to be afforded each case mentioned above. As outlined in SAC Letter Number 23 dated (arch 3, 1961, each case should be maintained in a pending or ending-inactive status and you should maintain an administrative tickly for each case to be certain that reports are submitted at least each 6 months. The periodic report must be submitted even though no derogatory information due been developed, in which event it should contain the fractive results of contacts with confidential informants. Adject's large of contacts with residence must be mailined attached and that background disports from should be contained in the lackground disports from should be contained in the lackground disports the contained and that background disports to the contained and that background disports the contained and that background disports the contained and that background disports the contained and the contained and the contained and the contained and contain

PLC:mjt 7)

RECORDED - 160 MAR THE NO. EX-32 Yellow Only:

Authority is Executives Conference

Momorandum dal d m d many 19, 1952.

XIE tes

.

П

February 19, 1952

The Director

The Executives Conference

O SECURITY INDEX - GENERAL

The Executives Conference on February 19, 1952, consisting of Messrs.

status of the rrominent Individuals Section of the Security Index.

You will recall that the Security Index is maintained for the Purpose of providing a ready reference to subversives who are included in this index so that they might be apprehended in the event of war or a security-emergency. A duplicate set of the cards are maintained in each Field Division on those individuals residing in the respective Field Divisions of the Bureau. The master list is maintained at the Seat of Government. Included in this list are a number of well-known figure, some of whom might be considered prominent. They are indexed in this file when the facts are considered sufficient to justify their apprehension in case of war or security emergency.

There is a special list of thirty-five names indexed

In the same way, but they are maintained separately in what
is called the "frominent Individuals Section" of the Security
Index. This section was established as a separate section
because there was some uncertainty as to whether an airtight
case existed that would justify their apprehension and
detention; because they were prominent individuals; and because
it was anticipated that on the outbreak of a security emergency
or war, Departmental authority would be specifically sought
concerning these individuals before they were apprehended.
Included among these thirty-five names are such as

A survey was conducted including a review of the summarized information in these files. Consideration was given to such facts as whether the individual named had

THE TAXABLE PARTY.

2-11-52

publicly rend ced Communism; whether by had offered to cooperate with the pureau; whether the case against them was stroke or week; and whether it might joonardize the entire security Index (Darcom) program if some of these prominent but controversial figure were apprehended, with public rotests and an inability on the part of the hearing board to reach a decision for a continued detention of the individuals. During the survey it was suggested that some of the names be eliminated and followed up on ticklers and some of the names be included in the regular general index for apprehension.

This matter was then discussed with and his Supervisory staff handling the Decurity Index file.

EXECUTIVES COMPERTICE CONSIDERATION:

recommended and the Conference unanimously concurred that the following action be taken:

- 1. That the Bureau continue to follow up the Department frequently in order to try to get a decision as to whether the thirty-five subjects in the frominent Individuals Section should be included in the Security Index or removed. This, of course, is a dexision which the Department should make. Reports have been submitted to the Department and the Department has long since heen requested to render a decision which they have not done.
- 2. Due to a failure on the part of the Department to date to render decisions in these cases; due to the fact that the individuals are controversial figures and there is no certainty that the evidence which could be presented would cause hearing boards to continue to detain them; due to the undesirability to have a special section labeled "Prominent Individuals Section" of the Security Index; and due to the possibility that the apprehension of these individuals or at least some of them would lead to criticism of the entire DETCOM program, it was recommended that this Special Section of the Security Index should be discontinued.
- 3. It was further recommended that in discontinuing this special section that the Security Index cards on all thirty-five of these subjects, both in the Field and at the Seat of Government, be cancelled.
- at the Bureau in order to permit following the rield offices closely on these cases in accordance with existing instructions, but that these records be maintained in regular tickler files instead of in the special section as heretofore.

2-19-52

instructions to the Field as far as the requirement that the Field keep the fureau advised of important developments field keep the fureau advised of important developments in these cases, that they should submit a report at least once each six months, that they should keep the case Pending or Pending Inactive at all times, and that the cases be follows in the Field by regular administrative tickler to see that the above is done.

- 6. That in the event of an emergency calling for the placing into effect of the Jarcon program prior to the receipt of the decision from the Department concerning these thirty-five individuals, then the names in this special thirty-five individuals, then the names in this special group on which ticklers would be maintained should be taken un immediately with the attorney General for his instructions or authorization.
- 7. That in continuing to follow the Department concerning these thirty-five individuals, the Department be informed that since the Bureau has not received a realy from the Department to the Eureau's memorandum of may 211, 1351, with reference to the individuals in this Prominent Individuals Section of the Security Index and since the facts presented to the Department on these individuals require a decision of the Department of a specific type, therefore the names of these individuals are being removed from the security Index pending such decision. This action appears advisable since the Bureau furnishes the Department with a list of the individuals on the Security Index including the names of these thirty-five individuals in this special section, and the removal of the names should, therefore, be called to the attention of the Department and the reason for the removal is because of the failure of the Department to render a decision.

On the above matters the Conference was in unanimous agreement in recommending favorably and action will be taken based upon the Director's decision.

Respectfully For the Conference

Director's Notation:

· The Attorney General

February 29, 1952

Director, FBI

PROGREM FOR APPREASION AND DETENTION OF PERSONS CONSIDERAD POTERITALLY DANGEROUS TO THE HATTORAL DEFENSE AND PUBLIC SAFETY OF THE UPLIED STATES FBIRTIE

In my memorandum to dated may 2h, 1951, I nointed out that in view of the premendous reachest bility of both the Department and the Eureau with respect to the Evergercy Detention program it would be appreciated if he would cause the review of all Security Index cases to be completed as soon as resolibe and muld advise in each be completed as soon as resolibe and muld advise in each sensite case whether he approved or disapproved the listing of the subject thereof in our Security Index. In addition, I also requested a wice as to whether the names of reprinent and nationally known individuals specifically identified in our Security Index list furnished to the Department each month should be retained in our Security Index.

To date no divide has been received as to whether the Department approves or disapproves the listing of any name on our security Index list.

In view of the fact that I have not received advice from the Department as to the ther apy of the prominent and nationally known individuals included on our security Index list should be continued on that list, I am at this time removing their mass from the list until such time as this removing their mass from the list until such time as this pureau receives specific instructions from the Department in Bureau receives specific instructions from the Department in each case as to whether the name should or should not be included in our security intex.

In view of the importance of this matter, I desire to stress that it is imperative a decision be made and I be advised whether these nationally known individuals should be included in our Security Index. I again request an early be included in case as the review is completed and the decision is made.

.....

•

1158 chay 5-27

immoh 10, 1952

pirector, FBI

The Prominent Individuals Subdivision of the Special Section of the Security Index is being discontinued. The Bureau is of the opinion that prominent and nationally known individuals should not be set apart from the general Security Index because of their prominence.

The Security Index cards for the following named individuals are carried in the Prominent Individuals Subdivision of your Security Index at this time:

The files on the above-mentioned individuals have been reviewed at the Eureau and the Security Index cards for these individuals are being cancelled at this time and you should destroy both Security Index cards for each individual, should destroy both Security Index cards for each individual, so the Department for an opinion as to whether the individual's name should be carried in our Security Index. Upon receipt of a reply from the Department you will be advised in each case as to whether the individual's name should be added to the general Security Index.

There is no chargodin existing instructions as to the investigative attention to be afforded each case mentioned above. As outlined in SAC Letter Number 23 dated March 3, 1951, each case should be maintained in a pending or pending-inactive each case should maintain an administrative tickler for each status and you should maintain an administrative tickler for each case to be certain that reports are submitted at least each 6 months. The periodic report must be submitted even though no months. The periodic report must be submitted event it derogatory information has been developed, in which event it should contain the negative results of contacts with confidential should contain the negative results of contacts with confidential informants. Subject's place of employment and residence must be information should be contained in each report. In those information should be contained in each report. In those instances when subjects are employed in key facilities which have been designated by the Secretary of Defence the reports should been designated by the Secretary of Defence the reports should contain the partition information required in cases of that type.

1159 crayal to 11-28

SAC, Cleveland Director, TRI March 13, 1952

O SecurITY INTEX

The frominent Individuals Subdivision of the Special Section of the Security Index is boing discontinued. The Bureau is of the coinion that promisent and nationally known individuals should not be set about from the general Security Index because of their prominence.

The Security Index card for is carried in the Frominent Individuals Subdivision of your Security Index at this time.

The file on the above-mentioned individual has been reviewed at the Eureau and his Security Index card is being cancelled at this time and you should destroy both Security Index cards for this individual. For your information, this case has been presented to the Department for an opinion as to whether the subject's name should be carried in our Recurity Index. Upon receipt of a reply from the Department, you will be advised as to whether the subject's name should be added to the general security Index.

There is no chance in existing instructions as to the investigative attention to be afforded this case. As outlined in CAC Letter Number 23 dated darch 3, 1951, this case should be maintained in a pending or pending-inactive status and you chould maintain an administrative ticklor to be certain that a report is submitted at lance ach 6 months. The periodic report must be submitted even though no derogatory information has been developed, in which event it should contain the negative results of contains with confidencial informants. Subjects place of employment and residence must be verified at gentline each recent is cristan, and that programmed information, and the programmed information, and the programmed information of the property of the programmed in the programmed in the property of the programmed in th

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

: Director, FEI

DATE: March 29, 1952

FROM

SAC, Oklahoma City (

AMSD

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

PREPARATION OF SUMMARY-REPORTS

Remylet 1/3/52 entitled Summary Reports in Security Index Cases and No Number SAC Letter I, Series 1952, dated 3/14/52, as captioned above.

This office has at present 68 Security Index Subjects, one Subject having been deleted since mylet of 1/3/52. The status of these cases is as follows, by categories described . in referenced SAC letter:

Category	Total No.	No. of Initial Summary Reports Submitted to Date	No. of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	1	1	0	
4	0	0	0	
5	60	30	30	6/1/52
6	7	7	0	
"	-	·		
	68	38	30	

It will be noted that all of the above security cases have had investigative reports in which pertinent derogatory information has been submitted since 1/1/49. In addition, it will be noted that in 17 of the remaining 30 cases in which surmary cases are to be submitted are investigative reports which were accompanied by letters requesting authority to interview .ubjects. It is anticipated that these interviews will be conducted and summary reports submitted by 0/1/52.

Office Memorandum • United States Gove

TO :

Director, IBI

DATE: March (.), 3070

FROM :

SAC, San Juan (

CONFID

SUBJECT:

SUITARY PROORTS
SHOURITY LUDEX CASES

is set forth below the required quarterly information reflecting the status of captioned project in this

	Total No. of Cases.	No. of initial Surmany Remorts Submitted to Tate.	No. of Sum- mary Reports to be Submitted	Expected
Category 2	2	0	2	4/21/32
Category 4	2	0	•	5/16/82
Category 5	297	20	277	3/ 9/53

The above figures do not include the following Nationalist Party of Fuerto Rico cases for which Summary Reports are not being scheduled at this time:

a. Thir w-six cases in which the subjects are incarcerated having received sentences in Insular courts as a result of their participation in the Malier list uprising of 1950. The subjects of all these cases have appealed their sentences and scheduling of fungary Reports in these cases is being held in abeyance pending the outcome of these appeals.

b. Thirty three cases in which the cubjects are presently incarcerated qualiting trial in Insular court on charges arising from their alleged corbicingtin in the Untimalist uprising of 1.00. Scheduling of Sugmery Reports in these cases is being hall in allegance wouldness the outcome of the trials of those subjects.

/

1161 changed to 48-27

Re: Surmary Reports, Security Index Cases

- at present in foreign countries.
- d. Three cases in which Bureau permission has been received to interview the subjects. Scheduling of surmaries in these cases is being held in abeyance pending completion of interviews with these subjects in view of the recsibility of their being removed from Security Index.

It is to be noted that additional agent personnel is under transfer to this office and it is hoped that with this additional personnel the date of completion of this additional personnel the date of completion of Summary Reports in Category 5 may be appreciably advanced.

2

1162 Augul 5 34-59

Director, FBI
SECURITY INDEX

March 10, 1952

The Prominent Individuals Subdivision of the Special Section of the Security Index is being discontinued. The Bureau is of the opinion that prominent and nationally known individuals should not be set apart from the general Security Index because of their prominence.

The Security Index cards for the following named individuals are carried in the Prominent Individuals Subdivision of your Security Index at this time:

The files on the above-mentioned individuals have been reviewed at the Bureau and the Security Index cards for these individuals are being cancelled at this time and you should destroy both Security Index cards for each individual. For your information, each of the above cases has been presented to the Department for an opinion as to whether the individual's name should be carried in our Security Index. Upon receipt of a reply from the Department you will be advised in each case as to whether the individual's name about the general Security Index.

34-57

There is no change in existing instructions as to the investigative attention to be afforded each case mentioned above. As outlined in SAC Letter Number 23 dated March 3, 1951, each case should be maintained in a pending or pending-inactive each case should taintain an administrative tickler for each status and you should taintain an administrative tickler for each case to be certain that reports are sucmitted at least each 6 case to be certain that reports are sucmitted even though no months. The periodic report must be submitted even though no months. The periodic report must be submitted even though no derogatory information has been developed, in which event it should contain the negative results of contacts with confidential should contain the negative results of contacts with confidential informants. Subject's place of employment and residence must be information should be contained in each report.

- 2 -

1165 changed Ze 45-16

Office Memorandum • United States Government

po : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 3/25/52

PROM : SAC, San Diego (SUBJECT: CHOURITY INDEX

To facilitate the maintenance of the Security Index box the Bureau is requested to advise whether it is permissible for the San Diego office to record the date that subject's address was verified on the reverse side of the alphabetical Security Index card as well as the name of the agent to whom assigned for apprehension under the Detcom Program.

Bureau's advice in this matter is requested as soon as possible.

7/03 crayer 76.

April 14, 1952

SAC, Jan Diago

Director, FDI

SECURITY INDEX

Reurlet dated March 25, 1952, requesting advice as to whether it is permissible to record the date a subject's address was verified on the reverse cide of the American Security Index card as well as the name of the America whom the case is assigned for approhension under the Dergency Intention Process. Notations returning verification of addresses of decurity Index subjects should not be placed on addresses of decurity Index subjects should not be placed on the reverse mile of Casumity Index each maintained in the alphabetical section of your security index.

while there is no rule set forth by the Bureau concerning the administrative processes to follow periodically the verification of addresses of Geometry India subjects, is is necessary in each case to check the case file to determine when the last check of the address of a subject was made. This verification may have been accomplished as a result of investigation and incorporated in an investigative report or investigation and incorporated in an investigative report or the verification may have been as a result of the six-month periodic address check.

The information concerning the fact that the address was checked must be reflected in the case file. In view of this it appears undesirable as well as a duplication of work to place notations on Security Index cards filed in the alphabetical section.

In connection with apprehension assignments under the Emergency Departion Program, if you believe it will be of assistance to you in following the assignments, you are authorized to place a pencil notation showing the name of the local programable for the apprehension of the Courtly Index subject on the reverse side of that subject a solution Today subject which is filled in the alphabetical receives of your descript Andox. You the alphabetical receives of your descript Andox. You are instructed here so, to subscience approximite to the scale point in the case is the on the Security shall care and the interest of the care in the security shall and that information is a spice in the factor of the security shall also the first state are subject in the case of the case of the the the approximation of a spice in the case of the case of the case of the case of the security shall also a spice in the case of the approximation of the case of the ca

Director, TPI

STOURITY LADER LIST.

There is attached horoto a current list of the name of the incivioused cointained in the Security Index. This dist is condivided alphabetically under the field office of this Eureau covering the residence of the individuals listed.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

Deliver personally ttachment Tour Merry or

 L_{D}

Note on Yellow Only:

- 1. Espionage Section of the Special Section not included.
- Prominent Individuals Section has been discontinued and all 35 cards cancelled and names removed from list to Department.

RECORDED - 55

13-18

SAC, Denvir

. Wa.

la oh 13, 1952

I'T RUAL S CLAITY - R

The Prominent Individuals Subdivision of the Special Section of the Section is the Section of the Section is the Section of the Security Index is the Section of the Security Russian is of the opinion that prominent and factionally known individuals should not be set apart from the general Security Index because of their prominence.

The Secority Index card for is carried in the Prominent Individuals Sub-

The file on the above-mentioned individual has been reviewed at the Eureau and his Security Index card is being cancelled at this time and you should destroy both Security Index cards for this individual. For your information, this case has been presented to the Department information as to whether the subject's name should be carried in our recurity Index. Upon receipt of a reply from the February you will be advised as to whether the subject's name should be added to the remark security Index.

There is no chance in existing instructions as to the investigative attention to be afforded this case. As outlined in SAC Letter Number 23 dated March 3, 1 Ml, this case should be maintained in a positive or pending—inactive status and you should maintain an administrative inactive status and you should maintain an administrative itaking to be certain that a report is submitted at 1 ast tickler to be certain that a report is submitted even each becomes. The periodic present must be submitted even though no depositely information has been developed, in a children to submitted even contacts with some idential information. In a periodic analysis, of contacts with some idential information and analysis, or a submitted and exployment and resolutions and their reports.

Carch 13, 1072

Tipector, Til.

I OF MUAL WOOGRITY - C

4

The Prominent Individuals Sundivision of the Special Section of the Security Index is being disconsinuac. The survey is of the opinion that prominent and intionally known individuals should not be a tapart from the general secrety is a because of their prominence.

The Technity India card for is carried in the Tollings pallyiduals subdivision of your Mecurity India at this time.

The file on the above-mentioned individual has been reviewed it the Tureau and his decurity Endry card is being cancelled at this time and you should destroy both decurity Index cards for this individual. For your information, this case has been presented to the Decurtment for an opinion as to whether the subject's name capable from the Department you will be advised as to whether the subject's name should be added to the removal the subject's name should be added to the removal their Index.

There is no chance in existing instructions as to the investionative attention to respond to the case case. As condition in the last promise of an expension or prolifer on prolifer or inactive status and you should reduce a manufactural to the condition of the

Office Memorandum • United States GU.

: TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: March

FROM : SAC, OMAHA (

PERSONAL - CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX
OMAHA OFFICE

Re no number SAC Letter 1, 3-14-52, entitled: "EIGURITY INDEX - PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS. Re Omaha letter 1-3-52.

The following is the status of the summary report project in the Omaha Office:

Category	Total No.	Number of initial summary reports submitted to date	Number of summary reports to be submitted	Expected date of completion
2	O			•
4	0	•		
5	3 8	9	29	12-1-52

1168 Clayd st 39-26

Office Memorandum • United States Government

· Director, FBI

DATE: March 26, 1952

SAC, Pittsburgh (

SUNTARY REFORTS IN SECURITY INDEX CASES

Re SAC letter number 95 dated September 22, 1951, and no number SAC letter dated March 14, 1952.

In accordance with instructions contained in referenced SAC letters, there is set forth below information showing the status of the project (submission of summary reports in categories 2, 4 and 5) in the Pittsburgh Office.

Category	Total No.	No.of Initial Summary Reports Submitted to Date	No. of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2 - Special Section cases	2	0	2	5-15-52
4 - No pertinent derogatory information in report form since January 1, 1949	179	8	171	4-1-53
5 - All others	17	15	2	5-1-52

The expected date of completion of summary reports in category h is based on the project rate of the press in cremeing these reports. Another agent has been recently assigned to this project and it is expected that with a decrease in the volume of applicant work in the office one or more additional agents will be assigned to the preparation of summary reports in the above categories.

STANDARD FURM NO. 64 Memorandum • United States Government

Director, FBI

March 14, 1952 DATE:

SAC, New York FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX--PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS - G=NIAUL

Relet from Seattle 2/25/52, relet from Los Angeles 1/23/52, relet from Portland 1/4/51 and remylet 1/18/52.

In connection with the practical problems which have arisen out of the program of endeavoring to prepare a summary report on every Security Index subject, it is felt that the following additional observations by this office may be of some assistance to the Bureau in resolving these problems.

In the first place this office subscribes to the use of a letter rather than leads in reports as a means of obtaining documentations. The reasons for this are those cited by Los Angeles in paragraph two of its letter. We are already undertaking to obtain documentations in this way. Our intention is to submit amended administrative pages for the summary report after we receive the documentations from the various offices to which we have directed letters in the particular case. In other words, this office has adopted a procedure combining the Los Angeles suggestion and certain features of the procedure suggested by Portland.

In connection with the suggestion made by the Scattle Division of using a form for incorporating under appropriate headings all information needed for documentation, this office does not feel it would be a desirable procedure. It appears that the use of this form would result principally in the saving of stenographic time. It is agreed that the use of such a form which would eliminate transcription would eliminate entirely the possibility of typographical errors. However, it is the opinion of this office that this suggestion unduly emphasizes or stresses the occurrence of such errors. It is felt that the chance of error would be far greater if an office were subjected to the task of deciphering the handwriting of an Agent located in another field division. Such a form could be utilized as a work sheet in preparing a letter, but the forwarding of the handwritten form in lieu of a latter is not considered desirable by this office. As a further observation, if the use of such a form is approved, it is suggested that the form be limited to standard-size paper in order that it can be conveniently placed in an investigative file.

An early response to mylet of 1/18/52 would be appreciated.

SAC, Seattle /

March 31, 1952

Directors FEI
STOTELING HORED-17
PREPARATION OF GUUMARY REPORTS

Re Seattle letter dated Tobruary 25, 1952, and Now York letter tated Earth 19, 1957.

Your attraction is directed to to dumber SAC Letter dated Tarch 14, 1952, wherein on very 13 the method of obtaining necessary information for documentation of sugary reports is set forth.

The Bureau appreciates the succession of the Seattle Division concerning the utilization of a form for this purpose, however, it is falt that this matter should be handled by separate latter as instructed in No Number FAC Letter dated March 14, 1952.

As pointed out in the SAC Letter the information to be included in the administrative pages should be set out in tabulated form. It is suggested that when requesting necessary information for documentation from other offices the latter may set out in tabulated form items already in the possession of the requesting office with the spaces left blank where the information is desired. This will facilitate the handling of this matter in instances where extensive documentation is reducated from another office.

1110 charges

STANDARD FORM NO. 6

Office Memi undum • United Stalls Government

TO

Director, FBI

DATE: 3/31/52

GEROM:

SAC, Philadelphia

SUMMARY PEPCRTS IN SECURITY INDEX CASES

Re No Number SAC Letter I.

The following is the compilation of statistics regarding summary reports requested in re SAC letter:

Category	Total No. of Cases	No. of Initial Summary Reports Submitted	No. of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Dataci Completion
2	6	2	Y	4/15/52
4	49	14	45	7/1/52
5	665	15	650	12/31/52

With regard to Category #2, there are two pending cases in which this office has authority to interview the subject. No summary report will be submitted in these cases until the interview has been conducted since, if warmanted, request will be made to deaded security index cards. Checkler Category #2 case is pending in which it is not emported that a summary report will be submitted. In this case authority to interview the subject was denied because there was no showing that the subject was an actual member of the CP. Upon Dureau inservections, this case will be reviewed when a decision has been reached by the legalty Review Doard and consideration will be given to requesting his security index card be cancelled.

The proportion of surmany reports in Caterories 34 and 35 has not yet been started as a project because of the flable reports in working on Caterory 11 and 33 cases. Category 33 cases are being handled as they come up on tickler for a six-centus report. It is a disipated that the algreasing transport has of Caterories. It is a disipated that to begin allegation proposes to handle do provide a land 3 cases on or before 5/1/32. Of course, as the cack log of Caterory 31 cases continues to decrease, additional constant vill be assisted so what the project can be completed at the earliest prosible dates.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Men idum • unite ...es government

TO Director, FBI DATE: March 27, 1952

FROM : SAC, Minneapolis (

SUBJECT: SUBMARY REPORTS IN SECURITY

O INDEX CASES

Re SAC Letter 95 dated September 22, 1951, and No Number SAC Letter I dated warch 14, 1952.

The following is the status of summary reports due in this

office:

Category		No. of Initial Summary Reports Submitted to Date	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	None	None	None	0
4	40	25	15	• 7-30-52
5	348	9 9	249 -	7-1-53

STANDARD FOLMING, 84

Office Mesmorandum • United States Govern

TO :

Director, TEI

FROM :

SUBJECT:

Ų,

TECONOMIC TO ENDAN

De 500 letter 395, ceries 1991. nates office is a crewart to applicate of sammar reports on security index subjects.

To dieta no summaries have well concluted, however, whis confoct is receiving stord for commutational. In office other responsibility is in the completion of these

cars risk

• •

Office Mer

dum • UNITED

TO : Director, FBI DATE: March 27, 1952

FROM : SAC, Springfield

SUBJECT: SUMMARY REPORTS IN SECURITY INDEX CASES

INTERNAL SECURITY - C

Re SAC Letter #95, Section B, dated 9-22-51, and No Number SAC Letter I dated March 14, 1952.

Set forth below is the progress report for this office in the submission of summary reports through March, 1952:

Category	Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submitted To Date	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	0	0	0	
4	15	3	12	1-1-53
5	71	17	54	1-1-53

In addition to the above, there have been 13 other summary reports submitted by this office, for a total of 33 summary reports.

1175 Sary d 23-16

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

Director, FBI

DATE:

March 29, 1952

FROM

SAC, Kansas City (

SUBJECT:

SUNCHAFY REPORTS IN SECURITY INDEX CASES KANSAS CITY DIVISION

Remylet 12/27/51.

There are a total of 37 Security Index cards maintained by this office and in these cases 17 summary reports have been written to date. It is estimated that the balance of 20 reports will be completed by June 15, 1952.

There are no cases coming within categories 2 and 4 as set out in No Number SAC Letter I dated 3/14/52.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: March 28, 1952

FROM:

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security

Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 67 new cards were added to the Security Index and 19 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 48 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,137.

1177 Light 55 2-17

Office Memor. dum: united s.

, GOVERNMENT

DATE: March 28, 1952

TO : Director, FEI

bei : SAO, Atlanta (

SUBJECT: SHIPRITE TOWN

Re SAG letter 27, Series 1952. Pleast be navised that the Security Index in the Inlanta Division does not contain a subsidiation for prominent individuals, or a special section.

.

+7-

Office Memorandum • United States Govern

TO : DIRECTOR, FRI

DATE: March 29, 1952

FROM : SAC, San Francisco (

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: SECURT TV INDEX - PREPARATION OF SUIMARY REFORTS

Re SAC Letter No. 95, Series 95, Series 1951, dated September 22. 1951 and mylets captioned as above, dated October 20, 1951 and January 10, 1952.

The status of the project of writing summary reports in Security Index cases is as follows:

	2					-	
	Special Section	Top Func- ticnaries	Key Fi <i>s</i> ures	% Key Facilities	 ∆ll ot	tore	
•	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		-		Mo raper since 1/1/49	it	
Number of subjects in categories as of 1.0/20/51	13	3	814	163			
New SI subjects added to each category 10/20/51 - 1/5/52				10)	52	1480	
Subjects added to category by cate-gory change during	0	0	1	6	o	91	
1/5/52 - 3/28/52 Subject removed from SI in San Francisco	1 .	0	0	6	o	19	
Subjects deleted from category by category	0	O	1	6	0	23	
Number of subjects in each category as of	1 .	0	1	13	0	7	
3/28/52 Surnary reports in	13	3	83	157	52	1562	
10/21/51 - 3/28/52	2	3	из	39	0	1:0	

SAC, San Francisco

April 10, 1952

Director, TRI

SECURITY 1908X PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

Rourlet dated March 29, 1952.

Your attention is directed to pages 4 and 5 of No Number SAC Letter I, dated March 14, 1952, which set forth the prescribed form for reporting the status of your successy report project. In your letter of reference you did not furnish the Euroga with the estimated dates of completion of successy reports in categories 2, 4 and 5. Please submit this information at this time.

It is suggested that in submitting your next quarterly status latter you utilize the form suggested in No Number CAC Latter I.

Office Mer.

dum • UNITED ST...

JOVERNMENT

DILECTOR, FBI

DATE:

3/31/52

SUBJECT: **OSECURITY INDEX
PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

In compliance with instructions contained in no number 2.0 Let er I dated 3/14/52, the following table is set forth showing the status of the summary report project in this office in regard to categories 2, 4, and 5.

Category	Total No.	Number of Initial Summary reports sub. itted to date	Number of Summary reports to be subjitted.	Expected Date of Completion
2 4 5	1 28 436	0 2 20	26 416	5/15/52 5/15/52 5/1/53

1121 crangelt to 11-29

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum

• UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TC

Director, FBI

DATE: March 31, 1952

FROM

SAC, Cleveland

SUBJECTS

SULMARY REPORTS IN SECURITY INDEX CASES

Re SAC Letter Number 95 dated September 22, 1951, and No Number SAC Letter 1, Series 1952, dated March 14, 1952.

There is set forth below the following information regarding the status of the summary report project in categories 2, 4 and 5 in the Cleveland Office:

Celegory	Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submitted to Date	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completic
2	2	2	0	
4		0	7 By	6-1-52
5	- 263	27	236 By	10-1-52

1182 charged # 3-2-1

STAP DARD FORM NO. 64

Office Information of united states government

то :

TIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 4/1/52

₩ FROM :

SAC, BALLIMORE (

SUBJECT:

SUMMARY SUPCOUS IN SECURITY INSIN CASES

Re SAC Letter #95, Section B, dated 9/22/51, and No Number SAC Letter I, dated 3/14/52.

The status of the summary report program in the Baltimore Division is as follows:

Category	Total Number of Cases		Reports to be	Expected Date of Completion
2	9	6	3	April 1952
4	14	0	14	June 30, 1952
5	235	5	230	December 31, 1952

1185 erangel 75 40-18

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO , DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: March 29, 1952

FROM SAC, PORTLAND

SUBJECT: SINGARY REPORT INTERCHRUTY INDEX CASES

Re SAC Letter Number 95 series 1951 and no-number SAC Letter series 1952 Letter I.

Category	Total No. Cases	No. of Summaries	To Be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
245	1 3 149	1 3 31	0 0 118	completed completed 10-1-52

1184 changel

C_{M} or I_{M} orionalla i united states government

TO ; Large La, III

DATE: 175-1, 26, 1953

FROM

19 - w

SUBJECT:

Re no mulber SAS lebber I, Perios 1952 dated . web 14, 1992.

Page 5 of the reference 2 800 hours esta for decimal continuous with respect to the sound of a continuous continuous the five electric sound along the five electric sound that the instruction can be further noted that the instruction cat furth that in these items further not included either in the calls or administrative parties of the report, the report is not fully documented.

Thereafter, the suggestion is set forth that the procedure of recording the norosomary documentation on Administrative Pares under appropriate headings is being followed intropy Field Divisions in lieu of a narrative form and thorsalter on Fage 7, there is an outline of suggested type of documentation.

It is assumed that the suggestion referred to above relating to the inclusion of this documentation either in the details or the administrative portion of the report does not mean that if items 1 through 5 are included in the administrative section of the report that the details need only set forth an identification of the source under appropriate symbol.

Under such circumstances, the date the information was developed as well as the date of the activity being reported would not be available for examination unless the administrative page of the report was also available, and such not being the case in alabaminated reports, this diffice will generally continue to set forth material under the headings 1, 2, and 3 in the details of the report with items 4 and 5 being included in the administrative accordance.

With further reference to the general item of docum mention, Paragraph 1, Page 7, states that in the matter of documentation as it relates to the location of the original embibit in the office files that the "file and cerial ne har of the interrunt's original report" be used as identification with respect to the location of the material utilized.

1184 Claryed 5-29

Substitution in is noted to at measured, in set forth in the supposted outling from one inscribing and the file numbers whose the meterial is to be found are in the classification which covers investigation matters rather than equipmentive sattless and further that it would appear the reference as contained on page 7 refers to the file that the corresponding is contained rather than the file and successful additional and a post is constituted.

If the file numbers would be identical with the exception of the item referred to as an exhibit, and the variation would be in the serial number as it related to each informant's report.

It has been the practice of this office, over an extended period of time, to propare channolizing renoranda for individual case files where information has been received from live informants which renoranda would set forth the various items of identification referred to on Page 6 of the referenced no number SAC latter but could not set forth the serial in the informant's file where the original informant's report was located.

The usual reference is as follows: "The original report of the informant is being retained in Boston File -- ".

It is the desire of this Office to closely fellow the instructions set forth in the referenced letter and to utilize such instructions in connection with documentation of investigative reports presently being prepared pertaining to both summary reports as well as investigative reports.

As indicated above, if the Bureau will permit recording as the source where the material is located, the Eosten like and Sorial number containing the channelizing memorandum prepared from the informant's report in lieu of a search being made for the specific location of the informant's report, it will greatly empedite the preparation of such reports as in all instances, the channelizing memorandum has been prepared from the original informant's report, or if not, it is the report of an oral interviews

1972-29 1625d 185 & 25, 1078.

individual to the desirent transition of the following the first transition of the reserved to the first transition of the reserved transition in the page 8 of that \$10 Letter the last two little are aircle the date of activity was not placed in the exercise and appearing on that page. The date the instruction was information in all instances are my in the first matation information incorrect to the details with the administrative data.

In your latter you call attention to the file numbers which were included in the CAS latter. Those numbers were merely set forth as examples and it was not intended that they replace the location of channelizing memoranch as equalished in you. The will note that throughout the CAS Lebter to to you. The will that decompatition of the CAS Lebter to to the content to be as equalled as possible will include the content to the CAS Lebter to the content to the CAS Lebter to the content to the CAS Lebter to the CAS Le

Note on Tellow Only: The items of dominated tion reflection of the contest of the contest on the contest of the

original addibit is located will not not receive ity to that of the channelising memorands. It will be the file and serial location where the informatic original popular, original surveillance log or other original solubit is located. Therefore, you should not proceed with your subjection that the documentation be only the course, if the channelizing memoranda policet the file and strial number of the original exhibit, can information should be included in the documentation of reports and it will not be necessary to conduct a review of files to locate the original exhibit; otherwise such review should be made.

Your attention is directed to your letter of April 2, 1952, your file to the status of the of instant memorandum which set forth the status of the summary report project in your office. You did not advise of the expected date of completion of summary reports in categories 4 and 5. Please do so at this time.

1185 crawd 6-19

STATILIARD FORM NO. C.

Office Memorandoms . United States Government

TO : Director, and

DATE: 1 411 1, 1952

FROM : S.C. Buffelo

SUBJECT: ON JUNETRY OF DUM PREED AND DEVELOR OF SUBJECT OF SEED OF SUBJECT OF

Re No % 315 Letter I, March 15, 19/2, and 3.5 Letter No. 95, September 22, 1951, respective status report in Servicey-Report project as of Orill 1, 1,52.

Review of SI cards reflects the following:

	C ATEGORY	TOTAL NUIBER OF CASUS	EUNEER OF EMITTE E SUITE EM EMPCHUS SUE ELECTE O DUTE	NUNCIR OF SUNCIRY NUPCHIS TO DA SUNCIND	EMPECTED DUTE OF COUPLUTION
2.	Special Section	2*	0	2*	-
3.	Vital Facility	44	9	35	7/1/52
4.	No Summary Reports Since January 1, 1949	10		10	6/1/52
5.	All Others	180	6	174	12/1/52
6.	Top Functionaries & Key Figures	_35_	6_	29	3/1/53
		271	21	250	•

^{*} Both subjects and in the Arma Drvices and reports will not be submitted by E.

1185 Edwyd To

Cose's in the set of cosets and the set of t

- Laged 76-17

Office Memorandum: United States Government

PROM A Received

SUBJECT:

PERPARATION OF MUSELING PRECOUN

Re No Warbor EAR Letter I, 3/14/52.

The project of preparing summary reports on all Security Under subjects for this office is as follows:

· Category	Total No.	Number of Initial Summary Roports Submitted To Date	Number of Summar Reports to be a Submitted	Expanted Date
2	. 0	Ser.		•
4	2	0	2	3/30/59
5	94	15	79	12/51/63

In Category 3 above there is shown a total of 15 sugmary reports abbitted to 1969. This total, fire of the opening reports are appropriately to subjects of the present Delations to opening the property subjects of the prepared and documented in accordance with the letest Europa incorrections.

SAC, Honolulu

April 10, 1900

Director, FBI

SPOURITY TURNS PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

Reurlet dated March 31, 1952.

It is noted that you state that 5 surmary reports have been prepared in the past on subjects of Labor Management Relations Act cases who are also Security Index subjects.

Summaries prepared in LURA cases will not suffice for the summaries to be prepared in connection with the Security Index summary report project.

It should be noted that while detailed information is required in the LARA summaries dating from the date that a subject signed his non-Communist affidavit, such detailed information is not required concerning his activities prior to the date of his signing the affidavit. It should also be noted that if Security Index subjects are apprehended the summary reports required under the caption of this memorandum will be utilized for presenting the cases of these subjects to the proper legal bodies. It is not desired that the LARA reports be used for this purpose. The reflects, you are to present survey reported in the security investigations on those individuals against when you have LARA cases.

STANDARD FORM NO. 61

Office Memorandum • united states gover

Director, FBI TO

DATE: March 31, 1952

ROM :

SUBJECT:

SAC, Louisville (

SECURITY INDEX PREPARATION OF SULMARY REPORTS

Re no number SAC letter I, dated March 14, 1952.

Category	Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submitted To Date	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
i ji	13	3	10	July 15, 1952
4	3	0	2 *	July 31, 1952
5	14	2	11	September 31, 1952
6	_1	0	_1	October 15, 1952
TOTALS	31	5	21.	

(* Eliminated by interview.)

It is to be noted that the above figures do not compare with the figures submitted by my letter of October 25, 1952, or December 29, 1951. The difference is accounted for by the opening of new cases in category 1, and the addition of subjects in category 5 by reason of their moving into Louisville territory from other divisions.

The time estimated for the completion of the project has been extended. This is caused by the fact that other investigative activity in this division has necessitated utilizing personnel assigned to security work on other mutters, resudigment of personned to other types of work including a supervisory position, two specials, and the assignment of personnel to inspecthous.

Two of the four agence assigned to this phase of investigative activity have been replaced and are precently familiarizing themselves with the MO LK.

The schedule as set out by the Bureau will be adhered to in the future, hope very little to the little through the property of the incidence of Cocke entirements capes cover within entriesy 5 and the one force the company of in time with the provious serviciae. Lather than a andom the work already done on their one of, the out of the state of the company of

One case in category 5 involves a subject who has moved from this division. The summary in this case will be submitted as soon as possible without regard to the schedule.

DATE: Assil 1, 1002

FROM : 01.01, 01.01.1

SUBJECT: .

Cabercar	Total No. of Carab	No. of intities Same any Reports out- naming to this	No. co Symmetry Reports to declarate fixed	Emperted date
2.	O	0	0	
140	1	0	1	4/30/52
5•	123	7	116	10/1/52

- changed - changed - 45

Office Memorandum • united states government

ro. : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: April 1, 1952

FROM :

ETANDARD FORM NO. 04

SAC, WFO (

SUBJECT:

SUMMARY REPORTS IN SECURITY

INDEX CASES

9

Re no number, SAC letter 1, 1952 and mylet of December 29, 1951.

The following is a tabulation of the security index cases of this office falling into categories 2, 4 and 5, upon which summary reports will have to be prepared:

CATEGORIES	TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES	NUMBER OF INITIAL SUBSISTED TO DATE	NUMBER OF SUMMARY REPORTS TO BE SUBMITTED	EXPECTED DATE OF COMPLETION
2 4 5	26 19 173	11 3 8	12 16 164	Next 60 days Next 60 days Approximately January, 195

In connection with category 2, it is to be noted letters to the Bureau recommending the removal of three security index cards have been prepared.

Of the cases falling in category 5, 67 of these cases are now assigned and have been placed in line for the writing of summary reports. One of these cases will be prepared by the Milwaukee Office. The remaining cases in category 5 will be scheduled for summary reports as soon as the assigned cases are completed.

STANDARD FOLM NO. 54

Office Intercorandom • united ...

GOVER

FVB
FROM :

A FV

SUBJECT:

octor, TL

DATE: April 1, 1052

PORMET STATES

Ne See letter U.S., Series 1951, section D., and Fo Hawker SAC letter "I", Series 1952, 3/11/50.

The following is the status of the project in the Albany Office.

Total Number of Toses	Number of Initial Suchary Reports Submitted to Data	Number of Summery Reports to be Submitted	Empected Dute of Completion
2	2	Hone	
29	1	23	12/31/52
120	4	116	7/31/53
	Number of Joses 2 29	Number Subscript Reports of Coses Subscripted to Date 2 2 29 1	Number Swinary Reports Reports to be of Onses Submitted to Data Submitted 2 2 Inne 29 1 23

Cong Internet of the United STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE:

293

Control of the Contro

Limaet enla c Coumie tica 2/10/2 Ũ 1 2 Unime: ---Unknov 275

Office Memorandum • United States Government

: Director, FBI

DATE: March 27, 1952

FROM : SAC, New Orleans (

R_{SUBJECT:} SUMMARY REPORTS IN SECURITY INDEX CASES

NEW ORLEAMS DIVISION

Re Paragraph B, SAC Letter No. 94, dated September 22, 1951, and No Number SAC Letter I, Series 1952, dated March 14, 1952.

In accordance with instructions contained in SAC Letter I, the following information is set forth concerning the summary reports in Security Index cases in the New Orleans Division:

Category	Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submitted To Date	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2 .	0	0 (0	541
4	9	4	2	June 1, 1952
5	42	ο .	42	January 1, 1953

In connection with the cases under Category 4, a review of the files of three of the Security Index subjects reflected that no summits needed and requests for Bureau outbonits to intend that no summits is needed and requests for Bureau authority to interview these individuals have been submitted.

In addition to the four summaries in Category 4 which have already been submitted, the New Orleans Office has also submitted summaries on three Smith Act Subjects, five Mey Figures and nine Security Index subjects who were employed in vital facilities.

The New Orleans office will endeavor to complete submission of the remaining summaries as expeditiously as possible.

Office Memorandum • united states government

To : Director, FBI (

DATE: 4/1/52

ES FROM:

SAC, New York (

SUMMARY REPORTS IN SECURITY INDEX CASES

Rewylet 1/2/52; re SAC Letters #95 and #121, deted 9/22/51 and 12/20/51, respectively; and re no number SAC Letter I, 3/14/52.

Following is the Status of the project in this office:

Cetegory	Total No.	No. of Initial Summary Reports Submitted To Date	Number of Summary Reports To Be Submitted	Expected Dete of Completion
2	103	72	31	9/1/52
Ц.	204	1	203	<u>Ц/1/53</u>
5	2667	65 *	2602	Indefinite

1194 -changed to 19-13

\$TX - 02 (2 mos M tio), 14

Cy. 33 L. L. S. C. C. UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : . [31. 87.87, 3.]

DATE: Narch 31, 1952

FROM :

www. Edward (

SUBJECT:

Tabeakala (Commany) comma

Re SAC No Number Letter I deted 3/24/50.

is incorrector in callet, the faulthing innormation is being set

IOP SH		-	3 ,		
CATEGORY	TODALL INTEREST. OF CASES	TOTAL OF IMITIAL REFORTS SUBMITTED TO 1100	TOTAL OF SUMMARY REPORTS TO BE STANTING D	EXPECTED DATE OF COMPLETION	
2 3 5	%o 4 43	No 3 No	No 1 > 43	4/25/52 9/15/52	

For the information of the Eureau, it is to be pointed out that the Houston Office has submitted sin reports on Individuals who are considered Key Figures in the Houston Field Division.

By September 15, 1952, it is anticipated that sum ary reports on all subjects of Security Index cards will have been submitted to the Bureau.

AIR MAIL

LOS ANTENES CONTRINER.

TO :,,

UPD FORM NO. CT

DATE: 2 225 31, 152

FROM : DAG, 7 (\$040) (

المراوع والأراب والمنافرة والمنافرة

SUBJUCE:

Re We We to the sale Tubble, dirting 1952, distud Larch 14,

In the state of th

<u>Carmory</u>	Total Number of Outpa	District of Carloid Ca	Number of Survey, Maporta to be <u>wastinger</u>	Expected Date of Completion
2	9	2	7	6/31/52
4	9 7	64	33	6/31/52
5	703	4	699	12/31/52

In addition to the above it is pointed out that a number of individuals in catagories four and rive have been the subjects of request for authorized interviews to determine their present appathisa. No tabulation for both categories has been mainvalued, hence no total figure can be supplied. A review of cases in category nature from, however, reflects that I have all the case a table of the group have reserved approval for inserview or a reputet for authority to interview is pending with the pureau.

All remaining cases in case, pay number four are presently assigned for the enchangeion of a summary report and cases in category number five are being assigned on a regular basis.

This starms the restrict our increase of the assention and supervision in cruse that is may be empediatedally demploted.

1196 - 12 ged 56 61-17

March 13, 1952

SAC, Mobile
Director, FPI

U STOURITY MATER - C

The Prominent Individuals Subdivision of the Special Section of the Special Section of the Security Index is ceing discontinued. The Bureau is of the opinion that prominent and nutionally known individuals should not be set apart from the general Security Index because of their prominence.

The Security Index card for is carried in the Prominint Individuals Subdivision of your Security Index at this time.

The file on the above-mentioned individual has been reviewed at the Eureau and his Sec rity Index card is being cancelled at this time and you should destroy both requity Index cards for this Individual. For your information, this case has been presented to the Department for an opinion as to whether the subject's name should be carried in our Security Index. Upon receipt of a reply from the Department you will be advised as to whether the subject's name should be added to the general Security Index.

to the investibility attaction to be afforded this case. As outlined in the Letter Turk of 23 detail force 3, 1961, this case should be maintained in a conding or pending-inactive status and you should maintain an administrative tickler to be certain that a report is sugmitted at least each 6 months. The periodic report must be sugmitted even though no derogatory information has been developed, in which event it should contain the negative results of contacts with confidential informants. Surject's place of employment and residence must be verified at the time such report is written and that hackeround information should to contain the reserve.

March 13, 1952

SAC, Paltimore

Director, FDI (

The Prominent Individuals Subdivicion of the Special Section of the Security Index is being discontinued. The Eureau is of the opinion that prominent and nationally known individuals should not be set apart from the seneral Security Index because of their prominence.

The Security Index cards for the following named individuals are carried in the appairant Individuals dub-civition of year Security Index at this time:

been reviewed at the ureau and the security Index cards for these includents are being cancelled at this time and you should destroy both security Index cards for each individual. Should destroy both security Index cards for each individual. For your information, each of the above cases has been presented to the Repartment for an opinion as to whether the individual's name should be carried in our Security Index. Upon receipt of a reply from the Department you will be advised in each case as to whether the individual's name should be added to the general to whether the individual's name should be added to the general Security Index.

There is no change in existing instructions as to the investigative attention to be afforded each case mentioned above. As outlined in SAC Letter Fumber 23 dated Tarch 3, 1051, each case should be maintained in a pending or gending-inactive status and you should maintain an administrative tickler for each case to be certain that reports are submitted at 1 ast each 6 months. The periodic report must be submitted awan thrush no derogatory information has been developed, in which event it should contain the negative results of contacts with confidential should contain the negative results of contacts with confidential informants. Subject's place of employment and resticance must be verified at the time each report is written and that background information should be contained in each report.

Note On Yellow Only;
Authority is Executives' Conference
Memorandum dated 2-19-52.

1198

ETANDARD FORM NO. 6

Office Memorandum · United States Government

TO

DATE: 3/29/52

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

There is attached hereto a table showing by Field Office the total number of Security Index cards in our files. This table indicates the nationalistic tendency, the dangerousness classification, sex, race, citizenship status, and others on one of the "special" lists.

3 37 Hay 10 m

These statistics are based on Statistical Section records as of March 16, 1952.

KEY

FIELD OFFICE

The first four letters of the field office are used in all cases except St. Louis, which is coded STLO.

NATIONALISTIC TENDENCY OR ORGANIZATIONAL AFFILIATION

GOW BNT IST	Cormunist Party, USA Bulrarian Independent Socialist Leavue
NPR	Mationalist Party of Puerto Rico
PPA	Proletarian Party of America
RWL	Revolutionary Workers League
RUS	Russian
POL	Polish. Socialist Morkers Party
SMP	Vucceleyien
YUG UPR	Union of the people for the establishment of the Republic of Puerto Rico
ULP HUN MIS	United Labor Party Hungarian Miscellaneous(any Nationalistic Tendency or Organizational Affiliation not listed)

DANGERO'SH-SS CLASSIFICATION

DC CS	Priority Detention in the event of an Emergency (DetCom) Potential Communist Saboteurs (ComSab)
KF TF	Key Figures Top Functionary

CITIZENSHIP STATUS

NВ	Native Born
NA	Naturalized
AL	Alien
un	Unknown

SPECIAL SECTION

AEP	Atomic Energy Program employees
ESP	Espionage subjects
FGE	Foreign Jovernment employees
GOV	United States Bovernment employees
-P710-	Linguis - Lander -
UNE	United Nations employee
YUG	Pro-Tito

ENU

TI

1110

SEE KEY ATTACHED

				0:::1				70102	5.61	AFFILIA'	YUJ	ima	ULP.	HU34	113	
FIELD OFFICE	OTAL	co;	Fi ^{rt} ,	IS'	NPR 2J4	PPA 2D	E ¹ L	RUS - 3 8	PCL 1	<u>50.5</u> 5⊎7		70 7137 —	2	1	3	
15	ا كنان	0530	Š	110	201	~~	•		_							
		• • •						2	•	1						
あたた。 第一段時間以前	247 51	244 51						•								
CHORACE	28	26		1						1						
TLANTA ALTINOSET	12 355	12° 348		3						4		• .				
				_												
. 1 S 14 1 N / S 11 2 11	13	13				2		1		14						
05104 - 65104	441 272	236	1	2		•		2		31						
: '	7.8	78														
SLADIULLE .	48	48								•		4				
CHICAGO	1057	982		15	4	11		1	1	3 A 1	5					
CINCINNAFI CLEVELANA	179 507	178 474	1	3			1			24	2		2			
TERVELAS TALLAS	42	42	•	-			_									
EX WER	155	1.55	•						-						_	
= TROIT	1153	1093		4		1				53	1				1	
EL PASO	15	15														
	96 65	96 61								4						
THOTANAPOLIS	229	224				.3				1	1			:		
2 11 1 14 CITY	37	36		1		-										
annavitte	- 8	8		•												
1:30E4 POSK	8 2375	8 2257		15		2		7		91	3		•			
ETRIANSALAS Etrisvilla	18	18		1,7		-										
		10														
(144-1) 최연출동 - (1.521-1) (1.521-1)	18 104	18 104								2.2						
, t	261	238								23 74						
	460 5	3·85 5		1										i		
···· 4. • 1 =										.11						
1	596 458			3				1								
ing a consequence of the consequ	458 67	67						• •		62	4			1	2	
10 m - 10 m - 10 m		3254	1	20	26			14		0.2	-•					
	18	18														
LA THE CITY								. 1								
	38 824			13				2		40						
	96	95				1				21						
	337	315		1												
10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	176	175		1												
the serve that the	26	26		-						6						
: 1111	195 62			•												
	16															
	40	99														
	1876	1800		16			1	2		57		10)			
	3 4 1.				263	S						-				
and the second second	5.5 6.2.7	5 5 7 595		c	,					23						
										2						
	112	1 110 1 225			1	l										
	231											• "				
						EN	_									

	1 1			. S	FY	CTT	TZ-NSH	TD 3741	rtic		PACE			1,100.00		S P: (TAT		
<u>c</u>	cs	KF	TF !	VALE	FEMAL	E HH	NA	AL	UN		NEGRO	OTH: PS	ASP	ESP	FGE	GOA	Ţ	NE	TO
38	5001	1289	53 1	2671	5354	13813	3531	473	153	15968	1823	234	2	T	44	51.	2	9	16
24	94	40		160	7.0	, 1 6 5	48	6	P	221	2.	_		1					
23	118	7		35	16	46	3	2	ſ	40	24 1	. 1				•.			14,
4				- 24 8	4	. 22 11	6			- 27 10	1								
17	129	51	2	233	122	273	. 75	6	1	309	45	•		其		5	, .		
12 64	, 6 80	23	3	10 307	3 134	13	00			12	1			1		1			į
41	68	36		190	A 2	338 227	90 37	4 A	5 2	416	25 50						•		
39 26	26 20	16		60 31	18 17	71 48	7			78 39	9								ş
13	444	.134	7	789	268	693	- 314	45	5	885	166	6			1	2		•	5
77	35	25		133	45	147	, 50		3	139	40								2
95 . 23	201 16	57 4		372 31	135 11	38 <i>2</i> 36	115	á.	. 1	45,0 37	5 7			1					
0	15	15		116	39	130	13	8	4	141	10	4				1			
7	394 6	51 1	4	854 10	299	780 12	31A 2	40	- 6	961 15	188	4	. •		1	1			1
,4	q	32		75	21	80	7	À	1	28	1	67		Ħ					•
1	92 92	13 18	1	46 171	19 58	5a 165	7 54	8	2	50 197	15 32		,	11					1
5	13	3		27	10	35	2			35	2.		1					,	
4.,	, 4	. 1		7 5	1 3	6 7	2			. 8	5	> .	,2		•	•			
2	616	9.1	1 1	535	840	1774	s į ė	60	25	2204	141	30		15 毫 第 型		3	•		3
9	7	• 1		15	3		1			17				23					
, 7	6 35	2 4		12 68	6 36	18 65	36	2	1	13°	.5 ` 5					·1			
5	101 147	11 24		190 323	71 137	207 388	48 62	5 9	1	244 441	13 18	4 1	:	1					
2	1		•	4	i.	5			•	1	4	•	1	П		1			•
4	110	97		443	153	466	116	7	7	540	56		1	1					
1	156	30	1	304 52	154 15	305 60	. 143 5	5 2	5	414	44 17	1		1		1			
7	568 3	.172 3	16 2	431 15	953 3	2463 12	756 6	125	40	3105 13	232 5	47	!	1 1	22	14	2	7	4
					•								,	Š	`				
3 Q	19 15	7		54 30	14"	65 37	2.	1		35	13	*	•	4 \$		1			
3	301 9	63 5	3	570 61	259 35	649 69	170 23	8 1	2.	724 77	104 19	1				6		1	•
1	106	28	1	2 58	79	248	78	7	4	290	47			1	•	2			
5 .	43	20	1	127	49	148	50	3		172	4	. ,		Service Control		1		•	
1	10 52	4 26		18 137	8 58	22 159	2 33	. 2		23 152	43	•				,		,	
0	24 3	13		44] A - 7	59° 16	3			56 14	6					:			
n	8	7		67	32	78	17	3	. 1	89	5	5	1	11		•		•	
8	679	78	3 1	203	673	1533	283	4Ř		1622	217 38	37		1		7			
0 3	37	. 13		341 4	29	363 4	7		-	318	1	• 14 .		3 8		1			
3	208	31	2 4	416	211	550	54	16	7	574	45	8	,		: È	1			
?	42 65	6	1.	92 145	20°	92	18 53	· 2	5	94 195	18 ,34	2		4	20	3	. • .	2	
3	62	1.5		. 7	,,,,	•	,,	••	,	• • •	1 .	-		4	30	. 2			
	•										*			1	•				
				,	•						(•, . •		£,	٠				'.	

5nc3

STANDARD FORM NO. 54

Office Memorandum • united states gover

DIFECTOR, FBI

DATE: 3/31/52

CONFIDENTIAL

Re SAC Letter 95 dated 9/22/51 SAC Letter "I" No Number dated 3/14/52.

The status of the Security Index Furmary Report Projectis as follows in the San Diego Office:

Category	Total No. of Cases	No. of Initial Summary Reports Submitted to Date	No. of Surmary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
l		5	12	12/1/52
2 3	0 3	0	3	12/1/52
4176	92 7	7	85 6	12/1/52 12/1/52

Office Memorandum • United States Government

DIRECTOR, F.B.I.

DATE: March 28, 1952

SAC, DENVER

SUBJECT:

- SECURITY INDEX PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

Re SAC letter 95 dated 9-22-51 and No Number SAC letter "I" dated March 14, 1952.

CATEGORY	TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES	NUMBER OF INITIAL SUICARY RE- PORTS SUB- MITTED TO DATE	NUMBER OF SUMMARY REPORTS TO EL SUBMEDED	EXPECTED DATE OF COURLETION
2	1	1	0	
. 4	7	0	7	7-1-52
5	139	6	133	(18 will be completed 7-1-52)

Under this program it is to be noted that a total of 25 summary reports have been submitted including:

Special Section	1
Vital Facility Cases	4
All Other S.I. Cases	7
Key Figures	13

During the past three months, prior to receipt of No. Number SAC letter "I" dated March 14, 1952, this office prepared summary reports on the Key Figures which were the more difficult and took a greater length of time.

It is to be noted that there are only seven Security Index Cases in the Denver Office which have not had reports since January 1, 1919. These have been assigned and will be submissed expeditiously.

It is felt that this program will be accelerated depending upon the release of Asimts who are presently working on expedite applicant type investigations.

It is difficult at this time to estimate when the program will be completed, however, it is felt that the remilitari repende will her felte as then vite as the Mer Firm of the

1200 changed 13-19

DIRECTOR, F.B.I.

March 28, 1952

RE: SECURITY INDEX
PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

and the Agents will be able to handle more summary reports than they have in the past three months.

All Agents handling security work have received instructions regarding the preparation of summary reports as set forth in the No Number SAC Latter and this matter will receive my personal attention.

1201 changed to

25-15

Office Memorandum • United States Government

to : Director, FBI

.DATE: March 31, 1952

FROM : SAC, Little Rock (

SUBJECT: SUMMARY REPORTS

SECURITY INDEX CASES
INTERNAL SECURITY - C

Re SAC LETTER NO. 95 dated 9-22-51 and NO NUMBER SAC LETTER I, Series 1952, dated 3-14-52.

This is to advise that the Little Rock Division presently has eight subjects carried in the Security Index. One of the eight Security Index subjects is listed as a key figure, namely, Bufile . The Security Index subjects are classified in the following categories, and reports will be submitted as set forth:

Category	Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submitted To Date	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	None	None	None	None
3	None	None	None	None
4	3	None	3	4-15-52
5	4	None	4	4-15-52
6.	1 .	1	None	None

submitted on , was - INTERNAL SECURITY - C, Bufile , who was carried as a key figure in the Little Rock Division and is presently residing in the Philadelphia Division, upon the Philadelphia Office being made office of origin.

1202 Changed 7-17

Tie Memorandum • United States Government

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: April 17, 1952

Po HFROM : SAC, Butte

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX PREPARATION OF REPORTS

CONFIDERTIAL

Re No Number SAC Letter (I) dated March 14, 1952.

Since any Agent preparing a summary report pertaining to security matter cases will need a copy of No Number SAC Letter (I) in his possession to assist him in the preparation of the report, the Bureau is being requested to furnish nine additional copies of this SAC Letter. These copies will be kelt under lock and key and will be charged out only to those Agents who need them for the purpose of preparing summary reports.

1202 changel 7-17

SAC, Butte

4/26/52

Director, FBI*

PRESONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

SECURITY INDEX PREPARATION OF REPORTS

Reurlet 4/17/52.

In accordance with your request, there are enclosed herewith nine additional copies of No Number SAC Letter (I) dated 3/14/52.

As you have stated in referenced letter, a strict accounting must be maintained of all copies received by your office. In the event you desire to make suitable disposition of any such copies, a natution in the file must reflect the disposition and date of same.

Langed 26-32

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

Director, FBI

DATE: April 1, 1952

ate

FROM :

SAC, Los Angeles (

SUBJECT:

SUMMARY REPORTS IN SECURITY INDEX CASES

Re No Number SAC Letter I, Series 1952, dated March 14, 1952.

The following reflects the total number of summary reports submitted from the beginning of the Summary Report Program to March 31, 1952:

Category	Total Number of Cases	No. of Initial Surmary Reports Submitted to Date	No. of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	10	6	3	6-1-52
h	536	42	14914	4-1-53
5	1642	58	1584	4-1-53
1 (S. I. Re	quests)	83		
3 (Key Faci	106 lities)	60	1 .6	6-1-52
6 (Key Figu	res) 79	62	17	
		TOTAL 311		
		Confidence of the second		

Office Memorandum • united states government

DIRECTOR, FBI

SAC, Milwaukee

DATE: April 3, 1952

SUBJECT: SUMMARY REPORTS IN U SECURITY INDEX CARDS

> Re SAC Letter dated 9-22-51 and No Number SAC Letter 1 Series 1952.

The following is the status of submission of summary reports in Security Index cases in the Milwaukee office, using the category numbers as set out in referenced No Number SAC Letter:

1) NEW SECURITY INDEX CASES

Five summary reports have been submitted in cases in which the subject is not as yet on the Security Index. It is, of course, not possible to state in how many cases the activities of the subject will warrant the preparation of a summary report. Cases in this category will receive top priority.

2) SPECIAL SECTION CASES

None

3) VITAL FACILITY CASES

Thirty-two. Seventeen summaries completed. Fifteen assigned for preparation. These will receive attention in accordance with the new schedule as set out in referenced no number SAC letter.

- 4) The Milwaukee Office has no Security Index cases in which reports have not been submitted since 1-1-49, and accordingly a schedule in this category is not being set up.
- 5) ALL OTHERS Security index subjects not falling under categories 1 to 4 or category 6:

NUMBER OF INITIAL TOTAL NO. OF CASES SUMMARY REPORTS SUBMITTED TO DATE

NUMBER OF SUMMARY REPORTS TO BE SUBMITTED EXPECTED DATE OF COMPLETION

214

40

174

12-31-52 unless category 1 above will prove greater than anticipated or requirements for S.I. subjects are clamed to increase volume

1204 changed to 30-20

6) TOP FUNCTIONARIES AND KEY FIGURES

The Milwaukee office has two top functionaries and 12 Key Figures. Summary reports have been submitted on all of these subjects.

- 2 -

1205 Langel To 21-17

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

Director, Fb1

DATE: April 3, 1952

STATEOM :

5.0, Indianapolis

L. CURITY INDIA

PHIPARATION OF SUBJECT REPORTS

Re no number SAC letter I dated March 14, 1952.

The first quarterly status letter of 1952 will be submitted to the Eureau by April 7, 1952.

1206 charged 75

Office Memorandinis • United States Government

· DIPECTOR, FEI

DATE: 4/2/92

SUBJECT: CTOURTY INDIX
PREPARATION OF SUBJECTY PEFORTS

Re No Number SAC letter I, dated 3/14/52.

Summary reports on all OI subjects of this office have now been completed.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • united states government

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: April 1, 1952.

SUBJECT: CHOURITH DIDLO.
PROPABATION OF SUCCESS.

Re No Number SAC Letter I, dated 3/14/52.

The following are total number of cases in categories 2, 4 and 5 in the Birmingnam Office:

Category	Total Number of	Number of initial Surmary Reports Submitted To Date	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion.
2	None	None	None	33.51001011.
4	None	None	None	
5	1	9	1	4/30/52.
		•		4/ JU/ JC •

The one Security Index subject remaining in this office on whom we have not submitted a summary report is

Bureau file

It is expected that this subject will be interviewed by Special Agents of the Birmingham Office upon coverage of outstanding leads in that case. If the subject is then recommended to remain in the Assumity Index a report will be prepared. Otherwise in the Security Index, a report will be prepared; otherwise the case will be closed.

62-11-

Office Memorandum • UNITED SIALLS GOVERNMENT

TO: . : Director, FBI

DATE: March 31, 1952

ROM (SAC, Albuqueroue (

SUBJECT: SUBJECT PERCETS IN SECURITY

7

Re SAC Letter #95 and No Number SAC Letter I requesting that the Eureau be advised of the status of the above cartisand project of arterly. As of April 1, 1952, the following is the status of this project in the Albuquerque Division:

Category	Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports submitted To Date	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Data of Completion
2	9	0	0	
3	7	5	2	5/1/52
4	1	0	1	5/1/52
5*	35	28	5	5/1/52
6	7	5	2	5/1/ 52

*It should be noted that the summary recents on two subjects presently residing in this Division will be prepared by the Pesson Division.

120° 100 29-16

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: April 1, 1952

A FROM :

SAC, Miani (

SUBJECT:

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

SUMMAY REFORTS IN SECURITY INDEX CASES :

Reference is made to No Number SAC Letter I, Jaries 1952; dated March 14, 1952 concerning the preparation of security reports in escurity Index cases.

Pursuant to the instructions contained in the reference letter, the following figures are supplied:

Category	Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submitted To Date	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expertage 1983 a or Somblet had
2	1	0	1	
4	. 0	0	0	
5	108	63	45	·

It will be noted from the above figures that applied the past 18 months, 63 summary reports have been prepared by the Miami Office. Of these 63, 51 were submitted over 1 year ago and consequently the annual supplemental report is now due or overdue. Thus, it will be noted that Miami has as of this date the summaries to prepare and 51 annual supplemental summary records, making a total of 97 reports to be prepared at this time.

Further reference is made to mylet of January 3. 1932, which was the Guarterly Status Report on this program due as of that date. In this letter I pointed out that the Missi Office has been under a tremendous burden of special Civil sights and other top priority investigations which has required the Mississippractically all of the mannever absigned to this office. The investigation of these special matters has continued since the

29-16

Letter to Director, FBI From SAC, Miami

writing of the referenced letter of Jonuary 3, 1952, and in fact the burden has been increased in recent weeks as a result of the convening of a Federal Grand Jury at Mami, Florida, which Grand Jury has requested this office to grap are made of information requiring extensive file reviews and the was of all available mannower.

The Agents normally assigned to accurate work in the headquarters Gity have been spending most of shear time on them special projects and, in addition, have managed to work the dealer line Loyalty and Espionage leads that require prompt and immediate attention.

In addition, the Agent results by its is med to be half the of the security work in the Jacksonville desident Agency, which Resident Agency has a considerable number of recority of agency recently been removed from Jacksonville and assigned to easier ington on a 6-months' special. This of course has been dather set-back so far as the security work of the Miami Office is concerned.

At the present time, there is space inalcabled that wished the immediate future the special invocationables and the outer the use of so much manpower will the security work.

The importance and necessity of the proposition of these summary reports in security independent as so is consistent of the me and as soon as the agents can be relieved in a continue to assignments they are now performing, they will be addinged to security work in an effort to effect the preparation of the summary reports and the annual supplemental customy response as the Bureau desires.

I sincerely hope that the Bursau will bear with and usakers stand the problems confronting the Bissau Bivision at this give and I feel certain that, when the usakers are all the block summary letter is submitted on July 10 as and 10 and 10 block block report and snow that substantial processes has been made an accordance with the Bursau's accordance.

- 2 -

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO : DIABONA, TOT

.FROM : GAC, GA ANYONEO (

SUBJECT: SECURITY TRAIN
FREPARATION OF SUMPARY REPORTS

Reference No Number SAC Letter 1, Series 1952, dated March 14, 1952.

Referenced SAC Letter contained instructions to the field regarding status of the project of preparing survivary reports on subjects who are included on the Security Index.

The following information is submitted:

Category	Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submitted To Date	Number of Summary Reports to Be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	0			- 1
4	1	1	0	
5	12	6	× 63.	2/24/53

72 • UN

)VERN

) : Director, TDI

FROM SAC, Detroit

SUBJECT: SAGURITY 1:DAR

DD DOMEST IN SIGNOST PART

Reference is nowe to all ratte humber 35 (z), dated peptember 44.

1951 and No Number 5AC Letter I, series 1952.

In accordance with instruction, contained in referenced No Manber 510 Letter, the bankary Reports prepared by the Datroit Division on becurity Index daugests from the date of maintain as this program to world 1, 1932 are set out in the following prescribed manner.

Category	Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submitted To Data	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	2 .	2	0	-
14	11	1	10	June 1952
5	580	3	577	Decemper 1952

In regards to category five above, it is noted that in the schedule of priorities, Disjects suployed in a Vital Facility (priority three) carry a higher priority than routine Security Index Subjects in category five. There are presently over 1,100 persons in the Decurity Index of this office and almost half of these and preparation of reports on these Vital Facility Dubjects in view of their importance. As the vocate of these cases is large, it has not been possible, which importance are assumed. The property examples the or possible, which is available accounsed, the property examples the or businessy deports on categories four and three above (decarity index Subjects and carry no cases in for a size a result senting our Lord Sately information and control of the scale of the scale of the same control of the scale of the sca

Office M

-1 :-

• UNITED

ERN

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

AMSD

DATE: 4-2-52

FROM SAT, THEAS (
SUBJECT: STORETT HODEX

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

(10)

Re SAC Letter No. 95 dated 9-22-51, and No Number SAC Letter I, dated 3-11-52.

The Dallas Office has no subjects in Category 2.

There were a total of three cases in Category 4 (formerly Category 6). All three summary reports have been written.

There were a total of 33 cases in Category 5 (formerly Category 7). All 33 summary reports have been written.

San Francisco recently changed the office of origin to
Dallas in the case entitled, " , was. - SECURITY MATTER -C",
Bufile . They did not appropriately forward serials to this
office, and it is not known whether or not a summary has been submitted.
San Francisco has been requested to prepare a summary if this has not
already been done. For the information of the pureau, the summary report
project is now completed in the Dallas Office. Summary reports have been
prepared in all categories. No further quarterly letters will be submitted
to the Bureau concerning this matter UACB.

213 rate 1-19

March 13, 1952

pirector, FBI

11

The Prominent Individuals Subdivision of the Special Section of the Security Indon is being discontinued. The Eureau is of the coinion that prominent and nationally known individuals should not as set apart from the general Security Index because of their prominence.

The Security Indea cards for the following-named individuals are carried in the Proximant Individuals Subdivision of your Security Index at this time:

The files on the above-mentioned individuals have been reviewed at the Turau and the Security Indah cards for these individuals are being cancelled at this time and you should destroy both Security Index cards for each individual. For your information, each of the above cases has been presented to the Pepartment for an opinion as to whether the individual's name should be carried in our Security Index. Upon receipt of a reply from the Department you will be advised in each case as reply from the individual's name should be added to the general. Security Index.

There is no change in existing instructions as to the investivative attention to be afforded each case mintioned above. As outlined in SAC Letter Tumber 23 (ated March 3, 1951, each case should be maintained in a pending or pending-inactive status and you should maintain an administrative tickler for each case to be certain that reports are submitted at least each 6 months. The periodic report must be submitted even though no derogatory information has been developed, in which event it should contain the negative results of contacts with confidential informants. Subjects place of employment and residence must be verified subjects place of employment and that background information at the time each report is subjected in view of the fact that about the contained in each reports. In view of the fact that he employed at a facility which has been designated a key facility which has been designated a key facility which has been reports in that case should contain the factory of reference. In the fact that reports in that case should contain the factory of reference.

Office

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

..... • UNITED J...

OVERNMENT

TO

DATE: April 4, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 63 new cards were added to the Security Index and 8 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 55 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,192.

Office Memor

em • United STA'... Government

: Director, FBI

DATE: April 4, 1952

FROM : Sau, Indianapolis

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

PREPARATION OF SUMLARY AMPORTS

Re No Number SAC Letter I March 14, 1952.

The following is being furnished for your information in accordance with the instructions set forth in referenced letter.

Caborrowy	Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submitted to Late	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
Category				
2	0	0	0	
~	20'	0	12	7-1-52
4	12	•		
5	75	4	71	9-1-52

This office has approximately ninety summary reports to prepare on Security Index subjects, which are employed in a vital facility. (Category # 3)

This project is proceeding as rapidly as possible.

Office Memo andum • United States Gove

Director, Fil

FROM :

FROM : CAC, 30, 7,000 in STOLLING THE STATE

Re no number 200 Letter I, Series 1 52 mated forom 14, 155-

Pursuant to instructions in the above referenced 200 leater, a complete review has been made of all Security Reservable could in this ballos and the following schedule is herewith submitted:

Category	Total Number Of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submisted To Dase	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	0		••	·
7.	. 16	0	16	6-1-52
5	134	0	134	12-1-52

STANDARD FLAM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Govern

: Director, FBI

DATE: April 5, 1952

FROM

SAC, Oklahoma City (

SUBJECT: SHOURINY INDIK PHEPARATION OF CUMMARY REPORTS

> Re No Number SAC Letter I, Series 1952, dated 3/14/52, Subsection Documentation of Surmary Reports.

) A review of the files of this office reflects that prior to 1945 little or no effort was made to identify written reports of informants, particularly those of , who are still active.

This review also reflects that for a certain period of time written reports of informants were block stamped and mutilated with punch holes in filing. These reports, although signed by the informant, in many instances were not initialed or dated by the Agents to whom delivered. If the report was more than one page, the informant did not sign or initial any of the pages other than the last. These reports in their present state cannot be documented as required. It is believed that they could now be exhibited to the appropriate informant and that he could sign each page and acknowledge it as having been prepared by him on the earlier date. The agent at that time could intial the report.

Please advise if this procedure may be adopted.

April 21, 1952

SAC, Oklahoma Clty

Director, FBI

STOURICH INDUX - PREPARTION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

Reurlat dated April 5, 1952, wherein you advised that prior to 1955, little or no effort was made in your office to identify written reports of informants. You suggest that the reserve aboutd now be embitted to the appropriate informants so that they can sign each page and acknowledge the reports as having been propaged by them on the earlier dates and an Agent could sign the initial reports at this time.

It is not desired that you take this action at this time inaumuch as no purpose will be served by so doing. Page 9 of No Number SAC Letter I, dated March 14, 1952, points out that when documentation information is not contained in the files that fact should be shown in the administrative pages of reports in security investigations. You should follow this procedure.

You should also be certain that you are now following the correct procedure in handling reports of your informants.

Suit

SAC, Omaha

April 7, 1952

Director, FBI

O SECURITY INDEX - GLASSIA.

• -	R		ند	Ü	n	. 1	_	ŀι	(; ;	1	1	1	l	•		ij	, (3'1'		j	
	Λ]	Н			1	J.	į,	÷	ίi		.)	Ī.		,	1	7	[]	Ņ	7	31	,
					_																	_
	F	7	Ţ.	25	0	.,	Α	L		7	II.)	C	0	1 1	די	D		IT	I	ΛΙ	

90

ReBulet dated December 29, 1950.

There is enclosed herewith a sealed package containing a new Security Index list of all subjects maintained in the general and Special Sections of the Security Index.

This package should be maintained in your office safe in accordance with instructions in referenced memorandum. This new list replaces the list in your possession. It is your personal responsibility to see that the old list is destroyed by burning.

The Bureau should be advised of your receipt of the attached list and the destruction of the old list.

Enclosure

1212 changed 3

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO : DIRECTOR

DATE: 4-8-52

FROM SAC, RICHMOND

SUBJECT: SUBJECT SUBJECT REPORTS IN SECURITY INDEX CASES.

Re SAC Letter No. 95, Series 1951, dated 9-22-51 and No Number SAC Letter I, Series 1952, dated 3-14-52.

Attached hereto is the status report of this project at the Richmond Office as of April 1, 1952.

SUMMARY REPORTS IN SECURITY INDEX CASES.
RICHMOND DIVISION.
STATUS REPORT- APRIL 1, 1952.

	Cotegory:	Total Number Of Cases.	Humber of Initial Summary Reports Submitted To Date.	Number Of Summary Reports To Be-Submitted.	Expected Date Of Completion.
	1		1.	1	5/1/52
	2	llone	None	None	
	3	3	3	None	
1	$l_{\dot{ au}}$	None .	None .	None	- •
	5	18	6 1- WFO \	. 11	8/1/52
	6	5	5	None	•
	Totals-	26	16	12	!

1220 charged to 56-10

fice Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, F3I

DATE: 4/8/9

FROM KASAC, Norfolk

SUBJECT: SUBMARY REPORTS IN SECURITY INDEX CASES

Re SAC Letter #95, 9/22/51, Section (B).

Summary reports have been prepared on all Security Index subjects in this division except one, who is being interviewed in the near future and on whom a summary report may not be necessary.

1221 Com year ? 31-17

TAO, Pietaburgh

April 7, 1952

Director, FDI (

PROPERTY OF REPORTS

Documentation of Information

Re No Member SIG Letter (I) dayed March 14, 1952, which not forth inconstions be tarding cocurantivion of information contained in secretary renorms.

The question has lead raised as to the necessity for fully documenting information unled was received from Bureau sources.

past of trinmitting rhotostate of the recording in the sources to the field. Copies of all such reports which were transmitted to the children concerned with the information contained bushein enough now to available to those critical in their false.

For security reasons it is not desired that the reports of these informance he decemented further than the intornation which is not countimble those the copies of the informats' reports now in postession of the field.

The date of activity of a subject will be reflected in each copy in your possession. The date received from the informant can be shown by you in your security reports as the date of the informant's report which will also be reflected in the copies of the reports which will also be reflected in the copies of the reports in your procession. The documentation in the security reports which you will submit should reflect the location of the copy of the informant's report in your office of the copy of the informant's report in your office files and you should state in the documentation that the source is a bureau source. The following is an example source is a bureau source. The following is an example illustrating the manner of setting forth the documentation information in the administrative portion of security reports when it pertains to a Bureau source:

Date of
Activity
and/or

Identity Description
Of Of Pate to Whom File Number
Source Information Received Furnished Where Incated

3-22-47 3-24-47 Euraau Source

This will make it unnecessary for you to request documentation information concerning reports of Bureau sources when copies thereof are in your possession. Of course, if the some instances you find that you have not been furnished with a copy of a written report of a Bureau source and you find that it is necessary to include the information in a report concerning the subject of a security investigation, you may request that the Bureau furnish you with a photostat of the original report of the Bureau source.

1222 Elaped Elaped 38-18

Office Memorandum • United States Govern

TO : Director, FPI ; REGISTERED DATE: April 9, MARY REPORTS IN SECURITY - LAW CASES

Re pulet to Phoenix deted Amrch 21, 1952.

	Re bule	t to rade 114 c	MO. OF SUMMARY	EXPLOTED I CE
CATEGORY	TOTAL NO. OF CASES	NO. OF INTUIAL SUM ARY REPORTS	HIPORTS TO LE SUR ITTED	OUMPLETION.
			0	٥
2	0	0	o .	0
4	. 0	0	62	1/1/53
5	8 7	25	02	

THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF

1223 August to

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

.......... • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Pluston, bal

DATE: March 26, 1952

SUBJECT: Freguesian of Camery Reports PIR (1984) of 1.12 PM

To SAC Letter 4.5, 9-22-51.

This is to advice that the project of cubmittime swinary results in substrict index cases is completed in this Office with the following expentions:

In the case entitled - R, Birmington Fills , consideration is being given to interviewing subject. If the Figure approved the conten-- DEPTOMAGE plated interview and if subject is still retained in the security index after the interview, a summary report will be submitted.

In the case entitled MATTER - C, Eureau file , subject was employed at Oak Midse, lannessee and was a security index subject in the Knoxville Office. His employment was terminated at Oak Ridge, Tennessee. Subject secure i employment with Wilson Dam, Alabama. His Samily continued to reside at knoxville. Mnonville 331d in stant case to similarnam by report , 1-30-32. - Wideot was laid off by

on January 30, 1952 and apparently returned to his home at Knawville, Jannessee. It is contemplated that Form F2- 28 will be sant to dashville as soon as that office has varified his return to lennessee.

Charge 18 116-18

1.C, Can Siero

Murch 31, 1992

Frontor, Tot (

TO US RITY IN THE -IP MARATION OF TURNAY REPORTS

Tourist dated Tebruary 8, 1952, captioned "Preparation of Lumbery To onto and Other Reports in County Type Cases," relative to use in your office of a mide for Amenta in preparing sec mity reports.

The Furnau has no objection to the use of this mide by any heat, it made office who desire to utilize it, however, it is not believed that it should be mandatory for leants to use this two of muide. If the Arent believes that it will facilitate his handline of a case and organization of a report he may utilize the mathod suggested.

It is noted that the mide but up by you contains excepts of the londers. Your attention is directed to the Letter Muder 2% and darch 2%, 1952, which reiterates that 5.6 letters are not to be reproduced. Lay cuide used by Areats in your office of all och contain ender to from the letters. It would be persissible, however, for the mide to contain a surveries of the information of the information of the following the reiter.

Top your information, setten 170 of the Manual of Instructions is being constructions and will contain formiled instructions remediately start of the sets of the set of the set of the sets of the se

, Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: April 11, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX - SOME PAR

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security

Index.

DETAILS:

The following is a report on the increase in the Security Index since the last count was furnished to you on March 14, 1952.

Week of	New Cards	Cards	Net
	Added	Cancell'd	Increase
March 15-21 March 22-28 March 29 - April 4 April 5 - 11	80 67 63 69 279	51 19 8 9	29 48 55 60 192

The Security Index count as of today is 18,252.

For your information, during the preceding four-week period 240 cards were added and 72 cards were cancelled, a net increase during the period of 163 cards.

1226 Changey-To 28-14

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

STANDARD FORMING, 64

DATE: April 17, 1952

FROM: CAC, MEMPHIS (66-1264)

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX
PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

Reference no number SAC Letter I dated March 14, 1952. The following information is being submitted pursuant thereto.

Category	Total No. of Cases	No. of Initial Summary Paparts Submirted to Date	No. of Surmary Reports to be Lubilitied	Expected Date of Completie
<u> </u>	1	0	2 1?	6-1-52
2	0	0	0	o .
3	0	0	0	Ο.
4	0	0	0	0
5	16	10	6	9-1-52
6	3	5.	1	9-1-52
TOTALS -	- 21	12	9	

1227 charged of 45-16

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

11

DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 4/18/52

FROM

SAC, SAN ANTONIO

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

Reference SAC Letter 97, Series 1949, dated 10/19/49. Reference SAC Letter 48, Series 1950, dated 7/25/50.

SAC Letter 97, 1949 sets forth certain provisions and instructions to be followed in the recommendation, preparation and maintenance of Security Index Cards. Among the items to be listed on the front of the Security Index Cards was the Office file number. The bureau advised, however, in SAC Letter 48, 1950, that the prior instructions would be largely superseded by the inauguration of the IBM System, which system is still in use. The latter SAC Letter instructed that the Division Office File Number, description and photograph should be placed on the reverse side of the geographical card. This letter instructed that no changes should ever be made to the face of the IBM cards without Bureau permission. This was amended by SAC Letter 69, Series 1950; which permitted that changes of address, etc. could be made in handwriting pending the receipt of corrected cards after submission of FD-122. SAC Letter 7, Series 1951, further amended the instructions to provide for the posting of information beneath the physical description on the geographical card that a Security Flash has been placed in the particular case.

A review of paragraphs 3 and 4 on pages 34 and 35, Section 87C, Manual of Instructions, however, indicates that these paragraphs are very similar in phraseology to SAC Letter 97, 1949 although the IBM System is still in use. Page 34 of the Manual was last changed on 4/14/51.

Page 34, Section 870 at the present time calls for the placing of the office file number on the front of the Security Index Card. The bureau is respectfully requested to advise whether it is desired that the file number be placed on the front or the reverse side of the geographical and whether there is any objection to placing the file number on both the geographical and alphabetical cards:

1227 clarya :: 45-16

SAC, Sun Antonio (__

Hay 21, 1952

Director, FBI (
SECURITY INDEX

Rewriet dated April 18, 1952, requesting advice from the Bureau as to whether it is desired that the file number be placed on the front or the reverse of the Geographical Security Index card and whether there is any objection to placing the file number on both the Geographical and Alphabetical cards.

The file number should be placed on the front side of the Geographical card. There is no objection to placing the file number on the Alphabetical card inasmuch as to do so will readily provide the file number to personnel in your office checking the Alphabetical card. It will not be necessary to undertake a project to place this information on all of the Alphabetical Security Independs in cuisvence as this time. As new cards are received from the Europa the jile number may be placed thereon.

COSS A TRANSPORT A PROGRAM DIFFERENCE SE MES GOMESMEN

TO : The cost, as the cost of the c

Subjuct:

,

Ellenot dated typel 10, 1994.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO

DATE: April 2, 1952

FROM :

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX - CENERAL (W PREPARATION OF REPORTS

Documentation of Information Received From Bureau Sources

PURPOSE:

To recommend the transmittal of the attached letter to the Field regarding documentation of information in security reports which was received from Bureau sources under our TT-l operation.

BACKGROUND:

The Field is under instructions to fully document information received from live informants when that information is set forth in security reports. As you know the Bureau has operated an informant arrangement through TT-1 which has been a highly confidential operation. We have transmitted copies of the reports of such Bureau sources to the interested offices.

Pittsburgh has requested the Bureau to furnish information to them to enable them to complete the documentation of the original reports of Bureau sources. That is, in addition to the other items necessary for complete documentation they want the Bureau to furnish the identities of the Bureau employees receiving the original written reports of the Bureau sources and the location of these originals in the Bureau's files.

RECOMMENDATION:

In view of the highly confidential nature of the TT-1 setup, I believe that we should refrain from further disclosing to the Field at this time the identities of the Bureau personnel receiving the reports of Bureau sources and the location of the originals in the Eureau's files bearing in mind that this information would appear in the administrative portion of numerous reports submitted on subjects of security investigations.

Since the Field is in possession of copies of the reports of Bureau sources they will be able to sufficiently document the information contained therein by reflecting in their security reports the fact that the source is a Bureau source and by identifying the location of the copy of the source's report in their office files. The dates of activity of the subjects will be reflected in the copies of the Bureau sources' reports which are in the possession of the office. The date that the information was received from the source can be shown by the date of the source's report which will be reflected on the copy in the possession of the office.

ACTION:

If you agree, the attached letter should be transmitted to the Field.

123/ charge! to

Tie Memorandum • United States Gover

: Director, FBI

DATE: April 17, 1952

PROM . SAC Butte

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX -

PREPARATION OF REPORTS

CONFIDENTIAL

Re No Number SAC Letter (I) dated March 14, 1952.

Since any Agent preparing a summary report pertaining to security matter cases will need a copy of No Number SAC Letter (I) in his possession to assist him in the preparation of the report, the Bureau is being requested to furnish nine additional copies of this SAC Letter. These copies will be kept under lock and additional copies of this SAC Letter. These copies who need them for the purpose of key and will be charged out only to those Agents who need them for the purpose of preparing summary reports.

1231 - Langett 7-17

SAC, Tutte

4/23/53

Director, TTT

PROSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Reurlet 4/17/52.

In accordance with your realist, there are enclosed herewith nine additional covies of No Jumber SAC Letter (I) dated 3/14/00.

As you have stated in referenced letter, a strict accounting must be main ained of all copies received by your office. In the event of desire to make suitable disposition of any such ecoies, a notation in the file must reflect the disposition and date of same.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

Director, FBI

DATE: April 21, 1952

SAC, New Haven (

SECURITY INDEX PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

Re No Number SAC Letter I, Series 1952, and New Haven letter to Bureau, January 2, 1952.

The following shows the current status of the summary report project in the New Haven Office:

the summary report project in the New Haven Office:				
Category	No. of Cases	No. of Initial Summary deports Submitted to Date	No. of Summary Recorts to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	2	2*	2	June, 1952
4	12	3	9	Sapt., 1952
. 5	362	23	339	Feb., 1954

*Both cases for which these reports were prepared have subsequently been removed from SI of this office.

The two which require summary reports are new additions to this category.

BAC, Pittabarah
Director, FBI

April 10, 1952

SCURITY INDIX. PREPARATION OF SUCHARY REPORTS

Reference is made to your letters under the following captions on the following dates:

In all of the above letters you requested the Eureau to Furnish documentation independent concerning the Eureau sources.

Your attention is directed to the Eurosu's letter to jour office with copies to all other offices, dated ippil 7, 1952, somewhich insurable a requiring the handling of the decommention of information received from Eurosu sources.

You are to handle this latter in line with the instructions extrached in that letter.

Assistant Attorney General Criminal Division

April 7, 1952

Director, FBI

SECURITY INDEX LIST - CENTRAL

There is attached hereto a current list of the names of the individuals maintained in the Socurity Index. This list is subdivided alphabetically under the field office of this Bureau covering the residence of the individuals listed.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

Addichment

NOTE ON YELLOW ONLY:

Espionage Section of the Special Section not included.

-15-15

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES (

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 4211 1, 1352

/ /FROM :

310, El Paso (100-4884)

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX -PREPARATION OF SUICERY REPORTS PERSONAL AND (AIR MAIL - DE

Re No Number SAC Letter I, dated March 14, 1952, and ET Paso letters to Bureau, dated 11-5-51 and 12-28-51.

There follows the status of the project in the El Faco Office of preparing surmary reports regarding Security Index subjects:

Category To

Total Number of Cases Number of Initial Surmary Reports

.Submitted to date

Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted

Expected Date of Completion

2 0 (Special Section Cases)

(No pertinent derogatory Information in Report Form since January 1, 1949)

13

5 (All Others) 9

September 1, 1952

It should be noted that the latter of the two referenced Il Pare letters was incorrect in that it indicated that two summary reports were in distation. This should have read, two summary reports being prepared for distation.

For readons of clarity the fellering is the statue of all cristeries of summary reports in the all Indo-Affice:

Total of Jecurity Index Jubjects - - - 1 - - 5 Judger of Du tany Letacta unition (3 "177 . 5 Jugger", T "Vital Duciliay")

althor of close currently resilent to lay on the control of the

TIMI

16-15

Director, FBI

April 1, 1952

It should be noted that in addition to the 13 cases listed above in the "All Others" category, the El Paso Office has an in "Vital Facility" category in which the report has already been written and one in "Key Figure" category (report not yet written).

It is planned that in the immediate future all cases will be assigned for the definite purpose of having surmary reports written and it is hoped that the completion date will be seener than listed above.

.. ? ..

STANDARD FORM NO. 6

Office Memorandum · United States Government

TO

DATE: April 18, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 73 new cards were added to the Security Index and 12 cards were cancelled, a net increase of (1 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,313.

· UNRECONDER 4-13-52

CHICLETTY CASES-"THE PARAMETERS OF STROTTER

The attached fac below incorrect the field that it will no learn be according, when submitting surrany reserves in connection with the becauley Index Stream Report Inc no. , to

- 8 -

ablach cover letters rointing out that the summary reserve contains information obtained by the learn of a limitable contained by the learn of a limitable contained to a vice was expect that the results of a contained to a vice was expect that the results as a contained to a vice was extended to properly to a contain the results of th

behind the symbol number of the technical and derouble symbolishment was described and derouble symbolishment was described and incommission in the seministration of committing reports. This eliminates the necessity of committing file necessary to consider the office willes at this time.

Transment as this procedure is now restricted to technical and districted a proveillances and interpretate for the other by serior as surrect be fully documents; it is believed that the profes will have a technicistic language of the course when viewing the asterial in possibly reports.

in a burnaine appoints that importants who are on such the levels in a burnaine appoints that importants as possible. If the field is instructed to hardle the documentation of information received from these informants in the same namer as information received from technical and disrephone surveillances the identifies of such live informants will not be encount to the Amerts by the requirement that their original resource he located in office files. This wall also then account in the position of not being able to definitely conclude a for visual the actual lehind in informant symbol number he promite the actual band in a promite is a technical or alterophone surveillance. The attached NAC better includes these instructions.

ACCITO:

It is recommended that the attached to thitee be

1276

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: April 25, 1052

FROM:

SUBIRCT

CSECURITY INLEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 73 new cards were added to the Security Index and 17 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 56 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,369.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO

DATE: 4-20-52

FROM :

SUBJECT:

OSECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

There is attached hereto a table showing by Field Office the total number of Security Index cards in our files. This table indicates the nationalistic tendency, the dangerousness table indicates the nationalistic tendency, and others on classification, sex, race, citizenship status, and others on one of the "special" lists.

These statistics are based on Statistical Section records as of April 15, 1952.

SECURITY INDEX CHROSTATISHES BREIZER, CR

			×
į	and the contract of the contra	CATIONALISTIC TENDENOTION DECENTRATION ASSISTANTIATION	Эď
			e
	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		w
		COL FILE ISL SPA	227

FIEL OFFICE.	TOTALE SOOK- STEEL		TOPR OF SPAR	RAL GRIS - F	OL SAPE SYLES	UT ION	EN VICE	
	15,272 17,158= 5	- 119-	304 22	- 2 - 40	n	9 2 5 2		
ALALVE -								
LES TUESCO	158-3756 712-30-350-50							
4 CHERAGE								
AYLANTA SA	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		12 - 2					
FI TIME 25	254-347		7					
and the same of th					4			
STRUMENTS								
BOSTON CERTS	244 427					2	建筑和	
CRUFFALOR STATE	273 235						1	
04.21 (01.25)	8: 7A					< 2 4 4 4 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1		
	50 -50,45							
- Chicago	1066 989	16	12		17 5 75			
SEVER- 10	186 185							
บนเรา	SIT 481	1 = 5			25 25			
DE! VERTILE	45 45							
	15F 15P							
DETROPPING	-119F 1124							
FLEAST	15 4 15							
-Charles -	95 - 95							
"CILETON	65 - 61						ALC: NAME OF	
TEDIA POLIS	232 22T =						1000	
				THE REAL PROPERTY.				
LANSAS CHIVE	21 T2F							
aroning to	42 (C 80)				MONEY STATE OF			
CLITTER FOCK	c cr_≥≻		200					
LOS CHOLINA	Z438:2316	16	2-	- t - 5				
Calsville.	31 37 - 1							
A NOW S	16 16							
W. W.	108 108							66.05
" "AUKEE	470 395							116
VINVEARCE IS	70 - C - 2 - 2 - 2	-						
		D.						
NEWARKED	586							
NEWHAVEN	466 460							216
NEE-OFLEANS	67 67			53 F 32 74				
N- A YORK	3765_=	20		16.5	62.2			
LOR-DER	18 18							
CKL THOME GETY	67 66							
CMana	75 35-3 435 781		7.12				A PERSONAL PROPERTY.	2 181
PHILEDELPHIA	97 96							(C) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E
21115868GE-2	355 333							
23040								
FC-TLEND	177 176							
TIC-MORT	26 26						- N. C. C.	
SAINE LONG	26. 26 197 189	Z -						
SELF LAKE CITY	63 63				we construct the			
SAN MYONIG	17-17-3						THE PROPERTY.	THE THE TANK
SAN RECELE	101-101							
SA'L FRANCISCO	-184F-1811	6			56		* 33 E	10333-66
SAME BURN	377 500		68				25 P. 1950	
SAVANNAH	635 603							
					THE PARTY OF			
SERVICE	11L 109							
	- 231 226				A STATE OF THE STA		T	
				THE REAL PROPERTY.	经过一种			

PIELD OFFICE

The first four letters of the field office are used in all cases except St. Louis, which is coded STLO.

NATIONALISTIC TENDENCY OR ORGANIZATIONAL APPILIATION

110		
COM BUL ISL NPR PPA	Communist Party, USA Bulgarian Independent Socialist League Nationalist Party of Puerto Rico Proletarian Party of America Revolutionary Workers League	
rwl Rus Pol SWP Yug UPR	Russian Polish Socialist Workers Party Yugoslavian Union of the people for the establish of the Republic of Puerto Rico	shment
ulp Hun Mis	United Labor Party Hungarian Miscellaneous(any Nationalistic Ten Organizational Affiliation not list	dency or

DANGEROUSNESS CLASSIFICATION

EROSONIS	Priority Detention in the event of an	
	Priority Detention in the State Friedrich (DetCom) Emergency (DetCom) Potential Communist Saboteurs (ComSab)
CS		

Key Figures
Top Functionary KP TF

CITIZENSHIP STATUS

NB	Native Born
NA.	Naturalized
AL	Alien
UN	Unknown
OM.	

SPECIAL SECTION

AEP ESP FGE	Atomic Energy Program employees Espionage subjects Foreign Government employees	
GOV	United States Government Supre-	1000
PRO	Prominent Person United Nations employee	•
UNE YUG	Pro-Tito	•

123611

April 30, 1952

NPlut

Assistant actorney General James M. McInerney Criminal Division

Director, FBI

SECURITY INDEX LIST

There is attached hereto a current list of the names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. This list is subdivided alphabetically under the field office of this Bureau covering the residence of the individuals listed.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

DELINERED WAY

Attachment

NOTE ON YELLOW ONLY:

Espionage Section of the Special Section not included.

RECORDED MAY 23 1952

RECORDED MAY 25 1952

51 JULIZO 10021

SECURITY IMPORMATION - TOP SECIEL

THIT

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: January 4, 1952

FROM:

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

SECURITY TRAINING PROGRAM CLEVELAND DIVISION

PURPOSE:

Comment on the material being used by the Cleveland Division for training Agents assigned to security investigations.

DETAILS:

Attached is a copy of a memorandum for Agents on the security squad of the Cleveland Office and a sample security report used by that office for training purposes. These items were referred to the Internal Security Unit by the Training and Inspection Division for observations and comments.

The above-mentioned material in use by the Cleveland Office for training purposes indicates that the Cleveland Office has taken an excellent approach to the handling of security matters. It appears that their program will undoubtedly be of material aid to their security scuad and particularly to new material aid to the cuad. It should increase the effectiveness Agents assigned to the cuad. It should increase the effectiveness of their security squad and the standard of work turned out.

A review of the model report and the memorandum with attachment prompted the following observations

Re Report:

Page two under "Background" (8). The results of investigations by police agencies should be attributed to the police agency or department rather than to individual officers.

(SAC Letter No. 58 dated August 16, 1950, page five)

Page three, last paragraph, line one; page four, second paragraph, line one and page four, third paragraph, line one. It is suggested that the phraseology "by report" be deleted in each instance. It would be sufficient to say "reported" with no mention made to a written report. It is possible that at some future date a reference to a report might prompt a demand or request that the report be produced which situation would be undesirable.

of the report, T-5 and page eight, T-8. According to the body of the report, T-5 and T-c appear to be live informants and information should be set out on the Administrative page as to the date the information was received and by whom as was done in the case of T-3 and T-4 on page seven.

Re Attachment to Memorandum:

Page three (7), CAPTIONS. Recent instructions to the Field in SAC Letter No. 121 dated December 20, 1951, (issued subsequent to the preparation of this material by the Cleveland Office), directed that the form for summary reports set forth in SAC Letter No. 95 dated September 22, 1951, be used whenever possible in investigative reports as well as summary reports. This includes the use of headings prescribed in the recommended form for summary reports in each investigative report whenever information is included that logically falls under such headings. This instruction was issued to ensure uniformity in report writing in the Field and to facilitate the preparation of summary reports in the future.

Cleveland, if not already done, should include this recent instruction in their training memorandum.

Page four (8), POLICE INVESTIGATIONS. As previously pointed out, the results of investigations by Police Agencies should be attributed to the agency or department rather than to individual officers. (SAC Letter No. 58 dated August 16, 1950, page five).

Page eight (15), CHARACTERIZING ORGANIZATIONS. Instruction contained under this heading is correct in that organizations should be characterized where cited by the Attorney General but should be broadened to include citations by duly constituted legislative subversive investigative committees such as the House Committee on Un-American Activities, the California Committee on Un-American Activities and the Massachusetts House Committee on Un-American Activities, etc.

OBSERVATION:

It is believed that such a planned training program as . is in use at Cleveland, if a similar program is not already in operation, would be beneficial if placed in operation in offices having large security squads and a considerable amount of security work.

ACTION:

If you approve, it is recommended that this memorandum be routed to the Training and Inspection Division.

Office Memorandum • United States Gover

Director, FBT

PERSONAL MAD CONTIDURATION

SUBJECT: 10000 DIN A PAR Rebulct //7/52.

The new Scownity Index list has been procedured in this office () and the old list has been accommonly by how in ().

TO: ALL SUPERVISORS SECURITY INDEX DESK

DATE: March 29, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS

REVISED SECTION 870

MANUAL OF INSTRUCTIONS

Attached hereto is one copy of the first draft of Section 870 of the Manual of Instructions which has been completely revised in form and content with a view to including therein all pertinent instructions relating specifically to security investigations of individuals.

It is desired that you review this draft of the Manual for the purpose of making any observations and suggestions which you believe will improve its content. It is not desired that your suggestions submitted in connection with this review involve changes in basic Bureau policy.

To facilitate the handling of any suggestions each of you may have, it is desired that each suggested change, addition, or deletion be submitted by you on a separate sheet of standard size paper, typed in rough draft. Each sheet should be headed by the identity of the page and paragraph therein affected by your suggestion. If your suggestion changes the wording of the content, please include the exact wording that you desire be placed in the Manual. Your name should be included on each suggestion sheet.

The attached copy of the Manual is charged to you and should be returned to Room 1264 along with your suggestions when you have completed your review.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

. TO

1

DATE: May 2, 1952

FROM:

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 57 new cards were added to the Security Index and 15 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 42 cards.

The Security Index count as of touch is 18,411.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: May 9, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

C SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

The following is a report on the <u>increase</u> in the Security Index since the last count was furnished to you on April 11, 1952.

Week of	New Cards	Cards	Net
	Added	Cancelled	<u>Increase</u>
April 12-18 April 19-25 April 26- May 2 May 3-9	73 73 57 80 283	12 17 15 19	61 56 <u>42</u> 61 220

The Security Index count as of today is 18,472.

For your information, during the preceding fourweek period 279 cards were added and 87 cards were cancelled, a net increase during the period of 192 cards. Office Memorandum • United States Gov.

o : Director, FBI

DATE: 5/12/52

ROM SAC, Oriaha

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTI

subject: SECURITY INDEX — ("INTERN!
Rebulet 4/30/52.

The new Security Index list has been received by this office and the old list has been destroyed by burning.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64 Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO

DATE: May 16, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

To advise you of the todal cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the last week 34 now cards were added to the Security Index and 22 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 62 cards.

The Security Index count as of votag is 18,584.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO

DATE: May 21, 1952

FROM

SUBJECT:

OSECURITY INDEX - CARENTE REPORTS

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the progress of the Field in submitting summary reports on all Security Index subjects.

BACKGROUND:

Each office is required to submit a quarterly status letter in connection with the project of preparing summary reports reflecting the progress made in submission of summary reports on all Security Index subjects who are not employed in vital facilities or are not key Figures. Summary reports on vital facility employees are to be submitted at the time the regularly scheduled six-month report is due in each case. Summaries on key Figures are being deferred until after summaries are prepared on all other Security Index subjects.

There are 14,606 non-vital facility and non-Key Figure cases in which summary reports have been scheduled for preparation. The Field has reported that as of April 1, 1952, 1,196 summaries had been submitted. This is approximately 8.2% of the reports in such cases.

Four of the offices having a small number of Security Index subjects have completed the project. The majority of the offices anticipate completion of the project by the end of 1952 or the fore part of 1953. The date of completion in each office will, of course, depend upon the manpower made available to the project.

Attached for your information is a breakdown of the status of the project in each office.

We are following those offices who are not making satisfactory progress in this matter on an individual office basis. You will be advised of the progress of the project after receipt of the next quarterly status letters which will be due July 1, 1952.

STATUS OF

SECHBITY	المنافق الماسية الماسية	-	
		7007707	
المراء مناز	J. 12 C		

As of Abril 1, 1958

lecurium Injen elnec en ether than jugal meditim mi Ney Migure publicats

Albany Albany Albanyercue Anchorage Atlanta Baltimore Birningham Boston Buffalo Rutte Charlotte Chicago Cincinnati Cleveland Dallas Denver Detroit El Paso Honolulu Houston Indianapolis Kansas City Knowville Little Rock Los Angeles Louisville Memphis Miani Nilwaukee Minneapolis Mobile Newark	Tumber of Summaries Cohest 126 .for Preservisor 127 .54 .28 .10 .274 .25 .26 .127 .538 .12 .27 .27 .27 .27 .27 .27 .27 .27 .27 .2	Tunber of Cummories ubmit ed as of 17 26 18 10 10 70 72 26 15 36 77 6 15 10 63 40 10 63 40 10 6 22	Humber of Summories to be Fredered. 144 6 20 11 247 1 247 1 248 0 140 507 0 21 44 53 20 0 7 25 6 40 174 264 1 413	### ##################################
•				•

IIII

Office	Number of Eummaries Scheduled for Preparation	Eumber of Summaries Submitted as of April 1, 1952	Number of Evaleries to be <u>Frencred</u>	Percentage <u>Con luted</u>
New Haven New Orleans New York Norfolk Chlahoma City Omaha Philadelphia Phoenix Pitteburgh Portland Bichnond Saint Louis Salt Lake City San Antonio San Diego San Francisco San Juan Savannah Seattle Springfield Washington Field	376 40 2,000 15 61 36 720 87 198 153 18 150 40 13 92 1,627 201 4 543 36 915	26 4 138 15 31 9 21 25 23 35 7 0 20 7 42 20 4 16 20 22	350 44 2,836 0 30 29 699 62 175 118 11 150 20 6 85 1,585 281 0 527 66 193	75 50 51 20 37 20 39 20 39 39 50 54 30 54 30 54 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30
Total	14,606	1,196	13, <u>4</u> 10	C . 15,3

3

123 75

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum · United States Government

TO:

DATE: May 23, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 88 new cards were added to the Security Index and 28 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 60 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,594.

Office Mer

m · UNITE

OVERNMENT

TO

DATE: 5/26/52

FROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

There is attached hereto a table showing by Field Office the total number of Security Index cards in our files. This table indicates the nationalistic tendency, the dangerousness classification, sex, race, citizenship status, and others on one of the "special" lists.

These statistics are based on Statistical Section records as of May 15, 1952.

KEY

FIELD OFFICE

The first four letters of the field office are used in all cases except St. Louis, which is coded STLO.

NATIONALISTIC TENDENCY OR CREANIZATIONAL AFFILIATION

Cormunist Party, USA COM Bulgarian BUL Independent Socialist Learue ISL Nationalist Party of Puerto Rico Proletarian Party of America MPR PPA Revolutionary Workers League RWL Russian RUS Polish POL Socialist Workers Party SWP Yuroslavian Al.C Union of the people for the establishment of the Republic of Puerto Rico UPR United Labor Party ULP Hungarian HUN Miscellaneous(any Nationalistic Tendency or MIS Organizational Affiliation not listed)

DANGERO'SHESS CLASSIFICATION

DC Priority Detention in the event of an Emergency (DetCom)
CS Potential Communist Saboteurs (ComSab)
KF Key Figures

KF Key Figures
TF Top Functionary

CITIZENSHIP STATUS

NB Native Born
NA Naturalized
AL Alien
UN Unknown

SPECIAL SECTION.

AEP Atomic Energy Program employees
ESP Espionage subjects
FGE Foreign Government employees
GOV United States Government employees
PRO Prominent Person
UNE United Nations employee
YUG Pro-Tito

ENC

SECURIAY INDEX CARD STATISTICS - BY FIELD OFFICE BASED OF STATISTICAL SECTION RECORDS AS OF MAY 15, 1952

				WATIC	HALI ST	IC TEN	DENCY (OR ORG	ANIZAT:	ION AF	ILIATI	OF						
PIELD OFFICE	TOTAL	CCM	BUL	ISL.	MI.S	PPA	RML	RUS	POL	EMP	YUG	UPR	ULP	HUN	MIS	_00_	C8	
	18,524	17,384	3	131	308	22	2	42	1	600	16	9	2	1	3	7,784		1,29
ALBANY	262	258	-					2		2						129	88	3
ALBUQUERQUE	49	49														23	18	
ANCHORAGE	28	26		1						1						3	2	
ATLANTA	14	14		_												3 3 3	_	5
BALTIMORE	352	345		3						•						213	127	-
DALITMORE	,,,															••	4	
BIRMINGHAM	11	11														11	95	2
BOSTON	452	433				2		1		16						170		
BUFFALO	277	235	1	2				4		35				•		145	74	3
BUTTE	76	76	•	-												37	25	1
CHARLOTTE	49	49														26	20	
CHARLOTTE	7.7																463	
CHICAGO	1071	993		16	5	12		1	1	38	5					521	451	13
	188	187								1						82	42	
CINCINNATI	522	488	1	6			1			23	2		1			302	207	•
CLEVELAND		45	•	·			-									26	17	
DALLAS	45 158	158														. 47	22	1
DENVER	128	190															4	
DETROIT	1206	1132		15		2				54	1		1		· 1	623	419	•
DETROIT	1205	16		17		-										8	7	
EL PASO	96	96														44	9	
HONOLULU		62								4						34	23	
HOUSTON	66					3				1	1					115	95	
INDIANAPOLIS	235	230				_				_	-						- -	
	20	37		1				•		•						16	13	
KANSAS CITY	38			•						•						4	4	
KNOXVILLE	8	8														6	1	
LITTLE ROCK	9	9		• •		2		7		98	3					959	684	•
LOS ANGELES		2410		18		2		•		30	-					11	7	
LOUISVILLE	19	19																
											V.,		•			6	5	
MEMPHIS	15	15														47	34	
MIAMI	104	104								23						118	104	
MILWAUKEE	263	240								74						173	157	
MINNEAPOLIS	472	397		1						-						2	1	
MOBILE	5	5												•				
				_					•	13						227	127	•
NEWARK	615	599		3					· · .							183	158	:
NEW HAVEN	475	470						1		4						31	17	٠.
NEW ORLEANS	67	67									_			1	2	951	589	1
NEW YORK		3299	1	21	30			16		63	-			•	-	5	3	-
	18	18														•	-	
NORFOLK	••							_								32	18	
OKLAHOMA CITY	65	64						1								16	12	
	34	34						_					,			363	309	
OMAHA	848	790		15	:			2		· 40						31.	15	
PHILADELPHIA	98	97				1.										146	119	
PHOENIX	363	340		1						22						. 40		
PITTSBURGH																66	45	
00071 4110	179	178		1												11	10	
PORTLAND	25	25								_						93	53	
RICHMOND	199	191		2						- 6						35	23	
SAINT LOUIS		63														12	6	
SALT LAKE CIT	17	17														••	•	
SAN ANTONIO	4.	• •				,										41	8	
1 - 2 - 2	105	105														1040	_	
SAN DIEGO		1829		16			1	2		.53		_				164	38	
SAN FRANCISCO				• •	270							9				3		
SAN JUAN		104																
SAVANNAH	5			9						23						276	209	
SEATTLE	640	608		7												51	41	•
		100								2						101		
COULNCEIELD	111	109						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						The second second		101	67	
SPRINGFIELD		225																

ENC 2

								4 .				•	•							
							SE			IZENSHI EA		70 3	20100	RACE NFGRO		AEP FSP P	SPEC	OA	UNE	Y00
2 1		3,	7,784 129 23			<u>TP</u> 53 1		FEMALE 5,582 1 86 16			495 7 2		16,408 237 47	1,876 23 1	249 2 1	1		51	32	16
	·.		3 5 213	5	50	2			23 13 264	5 1 80	. 7	1		1 2 45				3	•	
			11 170 145 37 26	95 74 25	24 33 13 4	3		142 84 19 17	11 344 228 69 49	94 37 6	9 9 1	5 3	10 425 229 76 39	1 27 48			•	2		
	. •		521 82 302 26 47	42 207 17	132 - 26 - 55 - 5 - 16	7	135	271 53 141 12 42	702 151 394 38 132	318 31 119 4 13	47 2 8 3 8	4 4 1 5	900 147 461 40 143	164 41 61 5	7 .					5 2
	1	•	623 44 34 115	7 9 23	52 32 13 18	4	896 11 75 47 177	310 21 19 58	523 80 59 168	337 7 7 7	41 2 8	5 1 2	1007 29 51 202	195 1 15 33	66				• .	1
			16 4 - 6 959 11	4 1 684	3 1 79 3	1	27 7 6 1609 16	11 1 3 929 1	36 6 8 1902	2 2 1 552	61	23	36 8 4 2349 18	2 5 160 1	24					. 3
•		•	6 47 118 173 2	34 104 157	3 4 10 26	2 1		5 37 74 139 1	15 65 209 399 5	37 48 61	1 5 11	1 1 1	10 99 245 453 1	5 5 14 18 4	4		1			
1	2	•	227 183 31 951	158 17 589	96 35 7 176 3		454 313 51 2458 15	161 162 16 979 3	481 320 60 2501 12	117 145 5 766 6	8 5 2 131	9 5 39	553 428 48 3151 13	61 47 18 234	1 52	35	1 1 10		30	4
			32 16 363 31 146	12 309 15	7 4 66 5 27	3	65	13 7 267 33 82	63 33 660 72 268	1 178 22 83	1 8 1 10	2 3 2	52 30 747 79 307	13 4 100 19 56	1	1	1 4 2			
	•		66 11 93 35	10 53 23	18 4 27 13 2	1	130 17 139 44	19	152 22 162 60 17	20 1 34 3	, 2	1	175 22 155 57 15	4 3 44 6 2		î	1			
			41 1040 164 3 276	690 38 2	13		70 1207 354 4	694 : 29 1	1556 377 4	18 286 2 1	3 48 4		94 1647 329 4 585	6 217 40 1 46	5 37 14		8 2 2			
			51 101	41	6 12		91 145	20	91 170	18 52	2 7	3	94 195	17 35	2 .	,	3	•	2	l

ENC 3

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE 5/27/32

FROM:

EXECUTIVES CONFURENCE

SUBJECT:

.....

SUGGESTION #209-52

MADE BY SA

-, MEWABELORFICE

AMENDMENT TO FORE FD-154 (Verification of

Information on Security Index Card)

The Executives Conference on 5/26/02 had in attendance Messrs.

The Conference considered the suggestion of 34 -. that Form TD-154, copy attached, be revised. This is a form utilized by a Field Office to obtain the semiannual verification of address, employment and similar information on subjects listed in the Security Indem of the Field Office.

The top portion of the form is filled out by the Field Office showing the name of the subject, his residence, employment address and any pertinent remarks.

The bottom half of the form is filled out by the Agent who verifies the residence, employment address, etc. and the form ultimately is placed in the file of the Security Index subject after being appropriately checked against the Security Index card.

The suggesting employee contemplates the form should be amended to show the name of the person contacted by an Agent who verifies the residence or employment of a Security Index subject and that the form be further amended to describe the pretext utilized on the previous verification and the pretext utilized on the present verification.

This was carefully considered by the Donestic Intelligence of the Internal Security Unit is Division and opposed to the idea. The principal objections are that the prevent simple system of verifying employment and address of the subject wil. be changed to a rather complicated procedure requiring the keeping of additional records, more lengthy file reviews and there will be a tendency for investigative employees to use the same pretexts over. and over again without utilizing ingenuity in verifying employment and residence.

The Executives Conference was unanimously opposed. No further action need be taken for the suggesting employed has already been thanked for his idea.

VERIFICATION OF INFORMATION ON SECURITY INDEX CARD

8 / 773	IOD	A STT	MIIC
AA H A	71 IK	αn	31 UVA

RE:

This Office File

The following is the most recent residence address, place of employment and employment address of the above subject as contained on the subject's security index card.

Residence:

Employment:

Address:

Remarks:

It is requested that the residence address of the subject, place of employment and address of employment be verified and the proper notation be made below:

Residence:

Employment:

Address:

Verified by:

Method of Verification:

Date:

The security index card on this subject should be revised if any changes are noted above.

(YORK PARSEATLY IN USE)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL EUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

EMPLOYEE SUGGESTION

	EWDFOAFF POGGEDIIO
	Date 5/10/52
W/	Anna and a second a
10	To:
7	
	From: SA
	Field Office or Division
	SUGGESTION: On suggested that an amend sent be made to form ID 154, Verification of information on Security Index Card, in order to include thereon the identity of individuals contacted in order to verify restience and place of employment of subject. Also, to include data as to recontacts with the same individuals. The suggestion also was that information relative to the nature of any pretext used in prior contacts be included for the information of Agents handling rechecks at a individuals previously contacted will be inserted at the time ID 154 is prepared as individuals previously contacted will be inserted at the time ID 154 is prepared as will the information relative to the pretext investigation previously used and the will the information relative to the pretext investigation previously used and the advisability of recontacts with individuals who previously verified the required it advantages are: He states it is believed that this procedure will eliminate the necessity of a file review in the majority of cases in order to determine potential contacts for the completion of this form. Attached hereto is a copy of TD 154 with the suggested amendments typed in.
	annually.
	It should save at least \$ annually.
•	The use by the United States of my suggestion shall not form the basis of a further claim of any nature by me, my heirs, or assigns upon the United States.
•	Aggistant Director:
	Supervisors on the Security Squad reductions of file reviews incident to during in that it is felt considerable time saving in way of file reviews incident to during in that it is felt considerable time saving in way of file reviews incident to up it agreement yearly verifications of addresses and employments will be elfected. I am it agreement yearly verifications and feel that the pureau desire to consider amending form re-154 in
	the interests of streadlining.

VERIFICATION OF INFORMATION ON SECURITY INDEX CARD

MEMORANDUM

RE:

This Office File

The following is the most recent residence address, place of employment and employment address of the above subject as contained on the subject's security index card.

Residence:

Previously verified by:

Recontact is . . is not. . recommended

Employment:

Address:

Previously verified by:

Recontact is . . is not . . recommended Remarks: Describe pretext used on previous verification

It is requested that the residence address of the subject, place of employment and address of employment be verified and the proper notation be made below:

Residence:

Employment:

Address:

Verified by:

Residence

Recontact is . . is not . .

recommended

Method of Verification:

Recontact is . . is not . . recommended

Date:

The security index card on this subject should be revised if any

changes are noted above.

Remarks: If pretext investigation utilized, describe fully on reverse side. Enc /

Assistant Attorney General Criminal Livision

June 5, 1952

g.

Director, FBI

SECURITY INDEX LIST

There is attached hereto a current list of the names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. This list is subdivided alphanatically under the field offices of this Bureau covering the residence of the individuals listed.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

Attachment

NOTE ON YELLOW ONLY:

Espionage Section of the Special Section not included.

. Office Memorandym • United STATES GOVERNMENT

TO

DATE: May 21, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

EMPLOYEE'S SUGGESTION NO. 209-52

PURPOSE:

To recommend that the suggestion not be adopted.

DETAILS:

Suggestion No. 209-52 submitted by Special Agent, presently assigned to the Newark Office has been considered at the request of the Training and Inspection Division. The adoption of the suggestion would change Form FD-154 which is used in security work. This form is used in field offices to handle the verification of the residence address and employment of each Security Index subject. This is done at least once each six months.

You will note that Form FD-154 (attached) has two parts. The upper part is filled in prior to sending the form to the Agent who is to verify the residence address and employment. The lower part is filled in by the Agent after the verifications have been made. The suggested change in the form would provide for information in both the upper and lower parts of the form to show from whom the residence address and employment was verified and whether at a subsequent six-month verification the individual should or should not be recontacted. It would also provide the nature of the pretext used, if any.

RECOMMENDATION:

Although the suggested form has merit it is believed that the disadvantages outweigh the advantages. It is, therefore recommended that Form FD-154 not be changed as suggested for the following reasons:

1. It appears that rather than simplifying relatively simple verification checks the adoption of the suggested change would make the residence and employment verifications more complicated. If the suggested change to the upper portion of the form were adopted it would be

necessary, in time, for the Agent conducting the verification to review all previous Forms FD-154 when the last form indicated that a recontact was not recommended. Such a procedure would require more time to handle than is warranted in the average verification operation.

2. If the suggestion is adopted as a practical matter we would be instructing, if the original contact for verification was favorable, that the same individual or pretext be used each time the six month verification was made. Even if not considered as an instruction, the tendency would be to reuse the contact each time rather than using initiative to vary the means of verification. The use of the same contact time and again in many instances might not be desirable as the interest in the subject would become too apparent to the individual contacted.

As the form is presently used various methods and approaches are used to verify the residence and employment which is a desirable procedure. It is obvious that when pretexts are used to make the verification under the present form that the type of pretext will vary when different Agents make the verifications.

ACTION:

If you approve, this memorandum should be routed to the Training and Inspection Division.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64 Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

THE DIRECTOR

DATE: June 13, 1952

FROM:

SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

The following is a report on the increase in the Security Index since the last count was furnished to you on May 9, 1952.

Wook of	New Cards	Cards	Net
	Added	Cancelled	<u>Increase</u>
Week of May 10-16 May 17-23 Hay 24-30 May 31 - June 6 June 7-13	84 88 56 64 68 360	22 28 13 26 13	62 60 43 38 55 250

The Security Index count as of today is 18,730.

For your information, during the preceding four-week period 283 cards were added and 33 cards were cancelled, a net increase during the piriod of 320 cards.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: June 16, 1952

FROM :

18

SUBJACT:

SICURITY INDIA PROGRAM.
DEPARTMENT ATTORNEYS REVIEWING CASES ...

PURPOSE:

To summarize information in Bureau files concerning four attorneys, in addition to those previously reported, working on the reviews of Security Index cases in the Criminal Division of the Department.

DETAILS:

of the Criminal Division of the Department of Justice has furnished the names of four additional attorneys who have been coded to the staff of Department attorneys working on the reviews of Security Index cases.

RESULTS OF SEARCH

All four individuals were the subjects of Departmental applicant investigations. was also the subject of a discontinued Bureau applicant investigation. No information of a disloyal nature was reported concerning any of these four attorneys.

There are attached four memoranda summarizing information in the Bureau's files concerning each of the four individuals.

ACTION:

None. For your information. The Internal Security Unit will continue to follow the Department concerning the assignment of other attorneys to the review of Security Index cases.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: June 17, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX STANDARDS EMERGENCY DETERTION PROGRAM

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the status of certain matters pending at the Department in the above-captioned matter.

BACKGROUND:

Three main items in connection with the Security Index standards and the Emergency Detention Program are pending at the Department, namely:

- I. The Department has been requested to furnish an opinion on the Security Index standards presently used by the Eureau. You will recall that two revised lists of standards have been furnished by the Department on which there has been considerable discussion.
- 2. The Department has been requested to review the reports for each Security Index subject and to advise us in each instance as to whether the Department approved or disapproved the listing of each name in the Security Index.
- The Department has been requested specifically to advise the Eureau as to whether the S4 individuals formerly carried in the Prominent Individuals Subdivision of the Security Index should be included in the Security Index.

You will recall that on March 14, 1852, a conference was held with and and of the Department, at which time the Penartment's proposed Security Index standards were discussed. At the conclusion of that conference stated that the Department

would draw up a memorandum to the Bureau approving our standards for placing individuals in the Security Index and that he would submit the memoranium to you informally to secure the Bureau's views on the matter before it was sent to the Bureau.

In addition to your contacts with on April 7 and April 24, 1952, when he advised that the memorandum on the standards would be forthcoming promptly, the three items mentioned above were outlined in detail by memoranda dated Hay 12, 1952, for possible use by the Director in contacting the Attorney General on pending unanswered ratters at the Department.

DETAILS:

For your information, when contacted on another matter on June 17, 1952, cavised that of the Department was working on the Security Index standards and had prepared a tentative draft in the matter which was on his desk. Although did not set any certain date the Department's reply will be furnished the Bureau, he did state the matter was receiving attention. stated that until the matter of Security Index standards is decided at the Department, the Department could not advise the Bureau as to whether it approved or disapproved the listing of any name in the Security Index or in regard to the 34 individuals formerly listed in the Prominent Individuals Subdivision of the Security Index.

ACTION:

This is for your information. We will continue to follow the Department on this matter.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum . United States Government

TO

DATE: May 29, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

PUMPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 56 new cards were added to the Security Index and 13 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 43 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,637.

Morley To Discuss "Enemy Within"

"The Enemy Within" is the sub-ject of an address to be goen here Monday evening by Form Morley, author, lecturer, news and events. educator.

educator.

Mr. Morley's appearance was arranged by the Maryland Action Guild. The lecture will be given in the Alcazar, starting at 8.30 P.M.

A graduate of Haverford College in 1915, Mr. Morley was awarded a Rhodes scholarship and, after completing his studies in England, received a Gug tenheim Fellowship in political science.

Pulitzer Prize Winner

political science.

Pulitzer Prize Winner
In 1936 he won the Pulitzer Prize
for editorial writing and in 1940
returned to his alma mater to serve
as president of Haverford until
1945.

Mr. Morley's latest book in enditled "The Power of People."

His lecture will be based on his
knowledge of events on the national
acere.

CITY ELECTION BOARD BLASTED BY COURT RULING

Barring of Candidate Called Illegal

BY GEORGE TAGGE

The Chicago election board, controled_by County Judge Jarecki, Democratic nominee for redlection, was blasted yesterday by a of a legislature to vest an elecused against a government agency.

Judge George W. Bristow of the 2d district Appellate court, sitting in Circuit court here, indicated he will order the name of Charles McCord, Negro, a Progressive party candidate, placed on the Nov. 7 ballot for state representative in the 29th senatorial dis-

which ruled McCord off the ballot a month ago, "acted arbitrarily, capriciously, and illegally."

Asserts Board "Failed"

"All evidence at the hearing indicated the objection of the board was without merit," the opinion continued.

"After considering the evidence the petition was supplied by the board. . . ."

McCord was denied a place on the ballot on the ground that his nominating petition contained too remainsted were supposed to nave been those of a normalization of these who had taken part in either the Denniur the or Republican permanents of the Denniur the Computation of the Comp

Lyes Legislature's Alm

The court said "it certainly could not have been the intention downstate Circuit judge in some toral board "with a final decision of the strongest language every where it clearly appears that its ruling is arbitrary, or predicated upon an abuse of power, or even

raudulent," he said.
Arry, John P. Daly of the election board said members will study Judge Bristow's opinion. If there is no appeal, some ballors may have to be reprinted, he said. Chief Clerk John S. Rusch said the first ballots for overseas soldiers should | Judge Bristow's written opin- be air mailed today. Even if this ion stated that the election board, is done, fighters in Kerea may not get their votes back here in time for them to be counted.

Grenville Boardsley, Republican opposing Judge Jarceki, said Ju ize Bristow's opinion does not surprise him.

Claims "Unfair" Rulings

" For too many years Judge fareciti's election board has got by I am of the opinion that the election board failed completely to conduct a legal hearing. No eviconduct a legal hearing. No evidence to support irregularities in the tool of the Democratic made dence to support irregularities in the color of the ballot all possible to the possible ballot all possi sible opponents. Where these opponents have had no strant orn multion that there been brussed in public we'y.

"The board changes its standfew signatures after removal of ords for rulings as it pleases, as unquelified signers. The names in the cases of absermance points

TITLE

SAC, Omaha

June 5, 1952

Director, FBI

OSECULIER INTER - GENERAL

Progonal, And Confidence in the Program of the Prog

ReBulet dated December 29, 1950.

There is enclosed herewith a sealed package containing a new Security Index list of all subjects naintained in the general and Special Sections of the Security Index.

This package should be unintained in your office cafe in accordance with instructions in referenced memorandum. This new list replaces the list in your possession. It is your personal responsibility to see that the old list is destroyed by burning.

The Bureau should be advised of your receipt of the attached list and the destruction of the old list.

Enclosure

KLUCKUED A

And the same of th

1.8

Yi

JUN JUN

, (....... LRI

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO :

DATE: June 6, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 64 new cards were added to the Security Index and 26 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 38 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,675.

1252

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: June 20, 1952

FROM :

-- SUBJECT:

INTERVIEUS OF SUBJECTS OF --SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS INTERNAL SECURITY - C

PURPOSE:

To present an analysis of the number of subjects interviewed curing the year beginning June 1, 1951, and ending June 1, 1952.

BACKGROUND:

The program of interviewing subjects of security investigations was initiated by SAC Letter Number 55 dated May 17, 1949. As of June 1, 1951, only 549 such interviews were recorded at the Bureau. During the past year the program has greatly accelerated. The following breakdown of the number of interviews recorded at the Bureau is set forth to illustrate the increase in the number of interviews conducted by the Field during the past year.

Number of interviews recorded at the Bureau:

As of June 1, 1951:

549

897

During 6 months period between June 1, 1951 and December 1, 1951:

As of December 1, 1951:

During 6 months period between December 1, 1951 and June 1, 1952: 1,872

Total as of June 1, 1952:

3,318

1,446

125Z

The following tabulation reflects the number of interviews recorded at the Bureau during each of the past twelve months:

105 115 103 146 147 281 214 299
299
346 236 342 435

Total as of June 1, 1951 3,318

ACTION:

None. Submitted for your information.

Office Meniorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: June 20, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

O_{SECURITY INDEX}

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 78 new cards were added to the Security Index and 23 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 55 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,785.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO : Director, FEI

DATE: June 25, 1952

FROM :

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

210, <u>14 mi</u> (200-390)

REGISTERED MAIL

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

Re SAC Letter #100, December 28, 1950, Paragraph (C).

Security Index Subjects have been chessed within the past six morths.

1256 22ggg

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO : DIRLCTOR, FBI

DATE: June 26, 1952

FROM:

SAC, LOATELIND

SUBJECT:

mo navalosta ou

Re SAC letter 95, series 1951 and no number 140 letter I, series 1952.

The following statistics obtained for the Portland Division at this time:

 Category
 Cases
 Summaries
 Remaining to be Done
 Date _xpecter

 2
 2
 2
 0

 4
 3
 3
 0

 5
 154
 75
 79
 11/1/52

Office Menzorandun • united states government

TO | DIRECTOR, FEI

DATE: June 30, 1952

FROM

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

SAC, WACHINGTON FIFLD

SUBJECT:

SUMMARY REPORTS IN SECURITY

DIDEX C SES

Re Unnumbered SaC Letter I, Series 1952, and mylet of April 1, 1952.

The following is a taculation of the Security Index cases of this office, falling into Categories 2, h and 5, with respect to the writing of Summary Reports:

Category	Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Peports Submitted to Date	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	26	19	7	Presently under preparation; as soon as possible
4	16	8	8	11 11
5	174	19	J. J. S. C.	Approximately June 1953

Regarding Category 5, this is to advise that 50 of these cases are assigned for the writing of Summary Penorts. Every effort is being made to write nese reports as soon as possible. Of course, the cases in Categories 2 and 4, which occupy a higher priority, will be completed first.

Two cases in Category 5 have been recommended for the cancellation of the Security Index eard and four Cummary deports, in this category, will be written by other offices in econoption with the change of the Office of Origin.

There are, therefore, \$40 cases, which are in a closed status and which will be opened on a statistical basis until the program is completed.

C_{l} fice Memorandum • united states government

TO : NUMBER OF STREET DATE:

July 2, 1952

SUBJECT: NUMBER TIDEX
AN INALITAN ON SUBMEY REPORTS

Reference No Number SAC Letter I, Spries 1952, dated 3/11/52, setting out new instructions for the submission of information relative to the status of the above-captioned project.

The following statistics are submitted in conformance therewith:

Category			Number of Summary Resorts to be sub- mitted	
2	ı	1	0	
L	22	3	19	9-1-52
5	532	23	509	7-1- 53

Recently, additional replacements have been assigned to the Security Squad in the Seattle office in an effort to hasten the production of summary reports concerning Security Index subjects in this effice. These now replacements are being used principally on the because Squad for work on the summary report project, and with considured insuraction these replacements should aid considerably in the output of summary reports in the Seattle office. Likewise, constanted effort is being made on the part of the entire Security Squad in an effort to increase the output of summary reports in addition to trying to reduce the ever-all delinquency in security matters in the Seattle office, and the handling of deadling cases in security work. Further, the summary report project under category I has been completed, as well as in category 2, and at the present time all of the Security Endem cases under enterpoy I are presently accioned for preparation of summary reports, and as a result, the summary report project should be completed in enterpoy 3 in the

Under cotemary h all comes in which authors reports have not been proved one presently assistant, and it is expected that this onto prystill to complete within the next ourorber

Likewise, under category 6 all easen in which commonly present in which is no expense, and

RE: SECURITY INDEX PROPARATION OF SUPERARY PERFORMS

that the summary reports in these cases will also be completed within this next summer.

Under category 5, which contains the bulk of the work on the summary report project, a slight increase has been noted during this past quarter, however, it is expected that much more improvement will result since, as stated above, several of the other categories will be completed. At the present time a substantial amount of the cases within category 5 are presently assimpled which will result in the preparation of summary reports within this next quarter. As summary reports are prepared and subsitted concerning individuals contained under category 5, additional cases are being reopened under this category for preparation of summary reports. In this way a substantial amount of cases under category 5 will constantly be in an assigned status which will result in a steady flow of summary reports being prepared under this category.

It is believed that during the next quarter a substantial increase in production of sugmary reports should be realized.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

: Director, FBI (

DATE: 7/1/52

FROM ENERGY, New York

SUBJECT:

SUMMARY REPORTS IN SECURITY

INDEX CASES

Remylet 4/1/52; re SAC letters #95 and #121, dated 9/22/51 and 12/20/51 respectively; and re No Number SAC letter I, 3/14/52.

Following is the status of the project in this office:

	Total No.	No. of Initial Surmary Reports Submitted to Date	No. of Surmary Reports to Be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
Category 2 4 5	99	77	22	9/1/52
	204	11	193	4/1/53
	2654	72	2582	Indefinite

It will be noted that in thirteen cases surmary reports have also been written, following execution of FD-128. This figure has been deducted from the total number of cases in Category 5.

STANDARD FULL OF 64

TO : Director, I I

DATE: July 1, 1000

FROM (promise none to a construction)

SUBJECT: INC. MAY TO US COME TO A COLUMN T

Project as of dual to accus

Review of SI Onrds reflects the following:

CAT	Y CODY	TOTAL INLIGER OF CASSIV		UTTUTES OF SUBSTRY REJURIS TO BE LITERATED
2.	Special Section	5*	0	5.4
3.	Vital Facility	49	14	35
4.	No Summary Reports since January 1, 1949	9	. 0	9
5.	All others	183	22	128
6.	Top functionaries	32	0	32
	and Koy Figures	-2 75.	- 53	7200

^{*}Four subjects are in the Ar. ad Services and one subject is presently employed in Bombay, India.

SAÇ, Euffalo (

July 22, 1952

Director, FBI

SECURITY INDEX -PREPARATION OF SUMMER REPORTS

status of the project of preparing successive reports in your office.

schedule for proporting success reports & cases of which you store that the subjects are included in your Special Section and are in the Armed Services. It is point & our what included who have been included in the Security Indea and who have entered the Armed Decurity Indea and who have entered the Armed Dervices should no longer be included in the active portion of the Security Indea aut their cares should be transferred to the fractive Section. It appears that these 4 cases are improperly maintained in your office. They should be placed in the inactive portion of your Security Index and no summary reports should be scheduled for preparation in these cases.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

Director, FBI

DATE: C/23/52

FROM

ROM / Dind, Graha

PLESCHAL AND CONTIDUE

SIECT:

Rebulst 6/5/52.

The new Security Index list has been received and the old list has been destroyed by burning.

Office Menu...

um • united

GOVERNMENT

TO

DATE: June 27, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

PETAILS:

During the past week 51 new cards were added to the Security Index and 27 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 24 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,809.

1264 changed to 1-24

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

Director, FBI

DATE:

July 1, 1952

FROM : azo

SAC, Albany (

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT:

SHOURITY INDEX PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

Re SAC Letter #95, Series 1951, Section B, and No Number SAC Letter "I", Series 1952, 3/14/52.

Following is the status of the project in the Albany

Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submitted to Date	No. of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	. 2	0	
29	1	28	12/31/52
125	7	118	7/31/53
	Number of Cases 2 29	Number Summary Reports of Cases Submitted to Date 2 2 29 1	Number Summary Reports Reports to be Submitted to Date Submitted 2 2 0 29 1 28

It is to be noted that the foregoing tabulation for Category #5 does not include any cases in which summary reports were written under Category #1 (new recommendations) and security index cards have been authorized by the Bureau.

Since the Bureau instructions do not seem to be entirely clear on this matter, Albany would appreciate Bureau advice as to whether in future quarterly progress letters, Category #1 summary reports, where security index cards have been prepared, should be included in the tabulation for Category #5.

SAC, Albany (

July 21, 1952

CHOURTH INTOX -PREPARATION OF SUBSERVE REFORTS

Reurlet July 1, 1952, inquiring as to whether summary reports in Category 1 should be included in the tabulation of summary reports for Category 5 in your quartically chatus letters.

No Number SAC Letter I claurly specifies the six different catagories for submission of summary reports and specifies that each office shall submit a memorandum reporting the total number of cases in Catagories 2, 4 and 5 only. It is not desired that the quarterly status letters reflect summary reports submitted in Catagory 1 as included in Catagory 5.

Office Memorandum • United States Govern

: Director, FEI

DATE: June 30, 1952

FROM

JAC, Salt Lake City

CONFIDEN

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX - PREPARATION

OF SUMMARY PEPORTS

Re SAC letter #95, Series 1951, dated 9/22/51, and No Number SAC letter I, Series 1952, dated 3/14/52.

The following information is being set out relative to the preparation of summary reports on Security Index Card subjects in the Salt Lake City Division:

CATEGORY	TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES	NUMBER OF INITIAL SUMMARY REPORTS SUBLITTED TO DATE	NUMBER OF SUMMARY REPORTS TO BE SUBMITTED	EXPECTED DATE OF COMPLETION
2	0	o	0	8/1/52
4	5	5	0	
5	35	30	5	

In addition to the above, initial summary-reports have been submitted on the 13 Key Figures in this Division. Also, lh summaries have been submitted on Key Facility Security Index subjects.

C/11:00 2:201125

TO : DIRECTOR, FEI

DATE: 6/30/52

FROM:

jag, Sali Laga ditt

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX CARDS

11

Re SAC Letter #100, Series 1950, Sub-Section C.

For the information of the Bureau, the Security Index Cards maintained in the Salt Lake City Office are in an up-to-date status.

The employment, as well as addresses of all Security Index Subjects were checked during June, 1952, and Form FD 122 has been submitted to the Bureau on all changes.

Office Memorandum • united states government

: Director, FBI

DATE: 7-3-52

FROM : SAC, New Haven (

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX_ PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

The following reflects the current status of the summary report project in the New Haven Office:

	No. of	No. of Initial Summary Reports Submitted to Date	No. of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
Catego	ry Cases	1	•	Completed.
2	30	1	ıı.	8-30-52•
4	12		342	2-54.
5	375	33		

Office Memorandum • United States Government

DIRECTOR, FBI (TO

6/30/52 DATE:

FROM : SAC, San Diego

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX SUBJECTS VERIFICATION OF ADDRESSES

ReBulet 10/5/51.

The San Diego Office presently maintains 108 SI cards. All information on these cards is in an up-to-date status and the addresses of all SI subjects were checked during the six-month period prior to 7/1/52.

Office Memorandum • UNITED GOVERNMENT

o. Sirector, fbi (

DATE: 6/3/52

FROM:

- 510, SAN FRANCISCO (

OCCUPITST PARTY USA, UNITERNAL CETRATIONS INTERNAL SECURITY-C

S.I. Cards as currently maintained at the Eureau do not carry a description of the subjects. The investigative aid being suggested hereinafter would be dependent upon the Eureau maintaining IEE cards on S.I. subjects which cards would include punches for descriptive data. The feasibility of the suggestion therefore turns first on the expense involved in setting up the system and the necessity of modifying Form FD-122 so that a subject's description is sent to the Bureau.

The technique or aid suggested is a method of identifying unknown subjects seen in contact with known Communists where all that is available is a description and possibly a given name. If IFM cards were maintained by the Eureau this description could be fed into the machine which would select those individuals most nearly answering it. The number of suspects will of course depend on how large a geographical segment is taken into consideration. There appears to be no reason why such IFM cards could not be maintained by CP Districts or by States. Thus, the description of an unknown person seen in San Francisco would be compared with descriptions of S. I. subjects in California or with those in the three West Coast States or if practical with those of all S. I. subjects.

There is some question whether through geography, race, sex, age, height, weight, hair, etc., the number of suspects arising from such a comparison would be small enough to make elimination through further inquiry feasible. In this regard, for such a method of identification to be practical how many general characteristics should be known and is some particular characteristic; e.g., an amputated finger, considered necessary?

It is realized that the description of many unknown individuals will be insufficient for use in this method of identification, but the possibility of identifying a few persons may justify the effort, and, knowledge of such a procedure will encourage agents to secure more accurate descriptions of persons encountered on surveillances.

Office Memorandum . United States Government

TO

DATE: July 3, 1952

FROM:

SUBJ.3CT:

SECURITY INDEX
CONTUNIST FARTY, USA
UNDERGROUNT OPERATIONS
INTERNAL SECURITY - C

INVESTIGATIVE TECHNIQUE

PURPOSE:

To recommend against the adoption of the suggestion of the Ean Francisco Office regarding the setting-up of a system whereby descriptions of all Security Index subjects will be submitted to the Eureau and placed on IBM cards to serve as a means of identifying persons whose descriptions are the only identifying data available.

BACKCROUND:

Attached is San Francisco memorandum dated June 3, 1952, wherein they suggest a system whereby the descriptions of Security Index subjects be placed on IBM cards whereby such descriptions would be categorized as to sex, age, height, weight, race, etc. This information would then be utilized to determine the identities of unknown subjects seen in contact with known Communists where only descriptions of such unknown subjects were available. The idea behind the suggestion would be such as to enable the Field to cause a search through a "description" index and by the process of elimination possibly identify unknown individuals.

CBSERVATIONS:

This suggestion is not feasible for the following reasons:

in the breakdowns utilized in the IBM machine in direct conjunction with the Security Index cards. Adoption of such an idea would necessitate the setting-up of a

"description" index on IBM cords for over 18,000
Security Index subjects. The Field would have to
submit up-to-date and very accurate descriptions on
all such subjects and continue to submit these
descriptions for the purpose of keeping such an
index up to date.

- 2. The descriptions of individuals are not generally sufficiently accurate to permit a definite category to be established for the various physical characteristics of an individual.
- 2. The cost of establishing and maintaining such a procedure would far outweigh the results which might be obtained.

ACTION:

If you agree, there is attached hereto a letter to San Francisco advising that the suggested procedure is not adaptable.

Office Memorandum of United States GOVERNMEN.

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: July 11, 1052

FROM :

SAC, El Paso

AIR MAIL - SPECIAL DELIVERY

SUBJECT:

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

TOGURITY INDEX; VERIFICATION OF ADDRESSES.

Reference SAC Letter #100, Series 1950, dated 12-28-50.

This is to advise that within the past thirty days, all of the addresses of Security Index subjects residing in the El Paso area have been verified and appropriate changes made by Form FD 122 in the individual cases.

i .

Office Memo.....um • united st.

ro : Director, FBI

DATE: July 8, 1952

FROM : SAC, Little Rock (

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX
PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

Reference No Number SAC Letter I, Series 1952.

The information set forth below reflects the preparation of summary reports and the susmission of same by the Little Rock Division as requested by referenced SAC Letter:

Category	Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submitted To Data	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	0	0	0	
4	0	0 , ?	0	
5	5	ş	5	

1273 charges 5 47-47

STANDARD FORM NO. 6

Office Memorandum • United States Governm

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

REGISTERED

DATE: 7/7/52

FROM : SAC, SAN FRANCISCO

subject: SECURITY INDEX

Re SAC Letter 100 dated 12/28/50.

All Security Index cards maintained on subjects of this office are in an up-to-date status and addresses have been checked for each of the subjects within the past six months.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memoranaum • united states govern

FROM / DIRECTOR, FBI COMPIDENTIAL DATE: 7-11-52

SUBJECT: CONTINUE DAZZO

Fa SAC Letter No. 100 dated Dadember 24, 1950, pertaining to six months verification of the appresses for all Seburia; Index subjects.

Mais is to advise that the Security Index Cards mainteined at the Fichmond Division are in an up-to-date status and the addresses for all the subjects have been checked within the last six months.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Men..... • United States Government

TO

DATE: July 2, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURIT

SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS REVISED SECTION 87C MANUAL OF INSTRUCTIONS

PURPOSE:

To submit for your approval the attached revised Section 87C of the Manual of Instructions dealing with security investigations of individuals.

BACKGROUND:

Attached hereto are three copies of Section 870 as revised in the Internal Security Unit. One of these copies is for your retention, the second is for referral to the Training and Inspection Division for printing and incorporating into the present Kanual of Instructions. The third copy should be recorded in the Bureau's files.

It will be noted that a detailed table of contents appears at the beginning of this revision. It is suggested that when Section 870 is printed in the Training and Inspection Division that the table of contents be included as a portion of that section of the Manual separate and distinct from the general table of contents appearing elsewhere in the Manual of Instructions.

ACTION:

If you approve the content of the revised Section 87C, it should be submitted to the Training and Inspection Tivision for incorporation into the Manual of Instructions and issuance to the Field.

Office Memorandum • United States Governmen

TO

DATE: June 24, 19:

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS

PHRPOSE:

To advise you that all necessary action which was recommended as a result of a recent analysis of 1,000 of the pending unassigned security matter cases in the New York Office by Eureau Supervisors has been completed and to recommend that the attached SAC Letter be approved.

DETAILS:

Reference is made to the attached memorandum to you dated June 6, 1952, furnishing the results of an analysis of 1,000 of the 4,280 pending unassigned security matter cases in the New York Office. In connection with this survey certain recommendations were made which were approved by the Director. This is to advise that all of the action which is required at the Seat of Government has been taken as follows:

- 1. The appropriate instructions have been issued to New York in an over-all memorandum dated June 10, 1952. This letter was attached to referenced memorandum.
- 2. The New York Office has been furnished with a complete list of the identities of those cases analyzed by Supervisors P. L. Cox and J. L. Schmit.
- 3. The New York Office has been advised by separate memoranda for the case files involved of the results of the observations of the Bureau Supervisors based upon recommendations contained in the attached memorandum. These individual memoranda were transmitted to New York under date of June 12, 1952.
- 4. In accordance with the recommendation contained in the attached memorandum, there is attached hereto an SAC Letter for all offices reiterating

current Bureau standards for opening security matter investigations and instructing the various offices to review all pending security matter cases to be certain that they are sound and do warrant investigation based upon these standards. The New York Office, of course, has already received these instructions in the Bureau's letter of June 10, 1952.

All action which was recommended as a result of the survey of New York cases has now been completed. The New York Office will be closely followed to see that these instructions are carried out.

ACTION:

The SAC Letter is attached for your approval.

4.

Office Memor ndum . United states government

TO

DATE: July 14, 1952

FROM

SUBJECT:

DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS,

PURPOSE:

To advise of the progress made by the field during the months of April, May and June in reducing the backlog of work and delinquency in the security field.

BACKGROUND:

Since December 1951 we have been closely following the progress of the 12 larger offices having between 70% and 80% of the total pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100 and 105 to determine if headway is being made in the handling of security work. Konthly letters have been sent to the 12 offices encouraging those offices that are making progress and forcefully pointing out deficiencies to. those offices who have made no progress. By SAC Letter Number 27, dated March 15, 1952, we pointed out the absolute necessity of reducing the backlog of work and delinquency in the security field and issued specific instructions as a guidance to all offices to bring their security work into line. Progress of all offices has been followed during Warch, April, May and June, letters. being sent to those offices who are not making progress in this matter.

Progress of the Fleven Larger Offices (New York Office Mandled Separately)

The progress of the 11 larger offices

has been analyzed. The New York Office is being considered separately hereinafter. Although the backlog of pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105 remains high, the 11 offices as a whole made some progress in this matter during the months of April, May and June, 1952. The following figures illustrate the progress as reflected from an analysis of the March, April, May and June administrative reports.

Attachment

<u>Date</u>	Active Matters (65 - 100 - 105)	Delinquent Matters	Percentage <u>Delinquent</u>
3-31-52	15,144	10,347	68.3%
4-30-52	14,978	9,628	64.3%
5-31- 52	14,246	9,008	63.2%
6-30-52	14,157	9,103	64.3%

The major portion of the work is in classification 100 matters, in which classification the delinquency is the highest.

During April, 5 of the 11 offices were able to reduce the pending backlog in classifications 65, 100 and 105 and 8 offices reduced the delinquency. Progressive gains were made by Los Angeles, San Francisco, Cleveland and Philadelphia. During May, 9 of the 11 offices reduced their pending backlog in those classifications and 6 offices reduced their delinquency. Progressive gains were made by Los Angeles, Cleveland and Philadelphia. During June, 5 of the 11 offices showed some progress in reducing their pending backlog and 2 offices reduced their delinquency. None of the offices made progressive gains during the month.

Observation:

As you will note above, due to the guidance and direction from the Bureau the 11 larger offices have not only held their ground but have shown some improvement in spite of the heavy responsibilities placed on them in the preparation of summary reports in all Security-Index cases. Although the delinquencies remain high and the backlog heavy there is a continued downward trend in the backlog which had shown a steady rise since the Korean situation. The delinquency has been downward except for the month of June.

From a review of the accomplishments of the 11 offices for the past few months it appears

that with close guidance from the Bureau the offices will be able to work out the backlog and reduce the delinquency in the security field.

Progress of Forty Field Offices (Twelve Larger Offices Excluded)

After reviewing the administrative reports for the months of March, April, May and June, 1952, letters have been directed to the offices not making progress in this matter. After the review of the March 1952 administrative reports letters were directed to 18 field offices. A review of the April 1952 administrative reports prompted letters to 13 field offices. Letters have been transmitted to 15 offices as a result of the administrative reports for May and to 12 of the offices on the June administrative reports.

Twenty-seven of the 40 offices were able to reduce the total pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105 during April 1952. Twenty-nine of the 40 offices reduced their total pending active matters in these classifications during May. During June, 19 of these offices reduced their total pending active matters in these classifications.

The major portion of the security work in the 40 offices is in classification 100, in which classification 26 of the 40 offices were able to make a reduction in their delinquency during April. During Yay, 18 of the offices reduced their delinquency in this classification. In June, 17 such offices reduced their delinquency in this classification.

The following figures illustrate the progress of the 40 offices as reflected from an analysis of the March, April, May and June, 1952, administrative reports:

<u>Date</u>	Pending Active Matters (65 - 100 - 105)	Delinquent <u>L'atters</u>	Percentage Delinguent
3-31-52	9,421	5,011	53.2%
4-30-52	9,138	4,355	47.7%
5-31-52	8,724	3,990	45.7%
6-30-52	8,417	3,842	45.6%

Observation:

Due to the instructions contained in SAC Letter Number 27, dated March 15, 1952, and the guidance and close following of each office who is not making headway in this matter, you will note that there is a favorable trend downward in the backlog of work in the 40 offices. With the exception of the month of June there has been a favorable reduction of the delinquency in these offices.

It is believed that the 40 offices will continue to make progress and will be able to work out from under their problems.

Progress of the New York Office

The problems facing the New York Office are the Bureau's biggest problems in the security field. Estimates of Communist Party membership in the area covered by the New York Office have been approximately 50% of the total field estimates for Communist Party membership. On March 31, 1952, the New York Office estimated the Communist Party membership in New York to be 12,108. As of June 15, 1952, New York had 3,455 Security Index subjects.

The New York Office has 25% of all pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100 and 105 and approximately 37% of all delinquent matters in those categories.

The following statistics were taken from the New York administrative reports for March, April, May and June, 1952:





Classification 65:

		Total Pending Active <u>Matters</u>	Pending Active Matters Unassigned	Delinquent <u>Matters</u>	Percentage <u>Delinquent</u>
	March	296	3	121	41%
	April	307	2	127	41%
	May	298	2	160	<i>53</i> %
	June	293	2	150	51%
	Classific	eation 100:			
		Total Pending Active <u>Matters</u>	Pending Active Matters <u>Unassianed</u>	Delinguent <u>Matters</u>	Percentage Delincuent
	March	6,914	3,837	6 , 056	88%
\parallel	April	7,548	4,280	6 , 233	83%
II	May	7,882	4,505	6,812	86%
1.	June	7,990	4,427	6,933	87%
	Classific	cation 105:			
		Total Pending Active <u>Matters</u>	Pending Active Matters Uncasioned	Delinquent <u>Vatters</u>	Percentage Delinauent
	March	614	119	371	60%
)	April	622	117	330	61%
	<i>H</i> ay	<i>65</i> 1	126	409	63%
11	June	693	224	455	66% ·

Observation:

The general trend in the number of panding active matters in classification 100 ct New York has been upward. Since October 31, 1951, the number of pending active matters in this classification has been increased from 6,298 to 7,990, a net increase of 1,692 matters. It will be noted that in this classification 4,427 of 7,990 matters are unassigned.

Attached hereto is my memorandum to you of June 12, 1952, which sets forth in detail action which has been and is being taken regarding this problem in the New York Office.

In connection with the survey conducted at New York it should be noted that they were instructed to review their pending active unassigned cases (other than the 1,000 cases reviewed by Bureau representatives) with a view to closing administratively those cases which do not fall within the Bureau's standards. New York has not yet been able to complete this review. This matter is being closely followed and you will be advised of the results.

ACTION:

We will continue to analyze the progress of security work in all offices and follow them concerning their backlog and delinquency. You will be informed of the progress of the field as reflected in the June administrative reports.

Office Memorandum • United STAILS GOVERNMENT

DATE: June 12, 1952

FROM : 1....

ST'NDAHD FORM NO. 64

SUBJECT: DELT TO BEET IN BECT OF Y TEVESTICATIONS

PUBPOSE:

To advise of the progress made by the field during the months of April and May in reducing the backlog of work and delinquency in the security field.

BACKGROTND:

Since December 1951 we have been closely following the progress of the 12 larger offices having approximately 80% of the total pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100 and 105 to determine if headway is being made in the handling of security work. Monthly letters have been sent to the 12 offices encouraging those offices that are making progress and forcefully pointing out deficiencies to those offices who have made no progress. 35 SAC Letter Number 27, dated March 15, 1952, we pointed out the absolute necessity of reducing the backlog of work and delinquency in the security field and issued specific instructions as a guidance to all offices to bring their security work into line. Progress of all of ices has been followed during March, April, and May, letters being sent to those offices who are not making progress in this matter.

Progress of the Eleven Larger Uffices (New York Office Eandled Separately)

The progress of the 11 larger offices has been analyzed. The New York Office is being considered separately hereinafter. Although the backlog of pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105 remains tigh, the 11 offices as a whole made some progress in this matter during the months of April and May 1952. The following figures illustrate the progress as reflected from an analysis of the March, April and May administrative reports:

Attachment ED: bh

<u>Dat e</u>	Pending Active Matters (65 - 100- 105)	Delinquent <u>''atters</u>	Percentage Delinguent	
3-31-52	15,144	10,347	<i>63.3</i> %	
4-30-52	14,978	9,628	64.3%	
5-31-5 2	14,246	9,008	63.2%	

The major portion of the work is in classification 100 matters, in which classification the delinquency is the highest.

During April, 5 of the 11 offices were able to reduce the pending backlog in classifications 65, 100 and 105 and 8 offices reduced the delinquency. Progressive gains were made by Los Angeles, Ban Francisco, Cleveland and Philadelphia. During May, 9 of the 11 offices reduced their pending backlog in those classifications and 6 offices reduced their delinquency. Progressive gains were made by Los Angeles, Cleveland and Philadelphia.

Observation:

As you will note above, due to the guidance and direction from the Eureau the 11 larger offices have not only held their ground but have shown some improvement in spite of the heavy responsibilities placed on them in the preparation of summary reports in all Security Index cases. Although the delinquencies remain high and the backlog heavy there is a continued downward trend in the backlog and delinquency which had shown a steady rise since the Korean situation.

From a review of the accomplishments of the 11 offices for the past few months it appears that with close guidance from the Bureau the offices will be able to work out the backlog and reduce the delinquency in the security field.

Progress of Forty Field Offices (Twelve Larger Cylices Excluded)

After reviewing the administrative reports for the months of March, April and May 1952, letters have

been directed to the offices not making progress in this matter. After the review of the March 1952 administrative reports letters were directed to 18 field offices. A review of the April 1952 administrative reports prompted letters to 13 field offices. Letters have been transmitted to 15 offices as a result of the administrative reports for May.

Twenty-seven of the 40 offices were able to reduce the total pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105 during April 1952. Twenty-nine of the 40 offices reduced their total pending active matters in these classifications during May. The major portion of the security work in the 40 offices is in classification 100, in which classification 26 of the 40 offices were able to make a reduction in their delinquency during April. During May, 18 of the offices reduced their delinquency in this classification.

The following figures illustrate the progress of the 40 offices as reflected from an analysis of the March, April, and May 1952 administrative reports:

<u>Da te</u>	Pending Active Matters (65 - 100 - 105)		Percentage Delinguent
3-31-52	9,421	5,011	53.2%
4-30-52	9,138	4,35 5	47.7%
5-31-52	8,724	3,990	45.7%

Observation:

Due to the instructions contained in SAC Letter Number 27, dated march 15, 1952, and the guidance and close following of each office who is not making headway in this matter, you will note that there is a favorable trend downward in the backlog of work and delinquency in the 40 offices.

It is believed that the 40 offices will continue to make progress and will be able to work out from under their problems.

Progress of the Lew York Office

The problems facing the New Tork Office are the Eureau's biggest problems in the security field. Notice the off Communist Party we lead in the area covered by the new Port office and Den in approximately 50, of the total field estimates for Communist Party membership. In Naron 81, 1802, the New Port office estimated the Communist Party membership in New Port to be 12,103. As of may 15, 1952, the New York office had 3,437 Security Index ourds. The New Port office had 3,437 Security Index ourds. The New Port of its has been of all pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100 and 105 and approximately 80, of all delinquent matters in those categories. The following statistics were taken from the New Lork administrative reports for March, April and May, 1952.

Classification 65:

			· ·	
	Total Pending Active <u>Matters</u>	Pending Active Patvers Unaccioned	Delinguent <u>, at tar</u> s	Percentage Delinquent
March	2 9 <i>6</i>	3	121	41,5
April	307		127	41,5
lfay	29 <i>8</i>	2	160	53 %
	Classifica	<u>vion 100</u> :		
	Total Pending Active <u>Katters</u>	Pending Active Matters <u>Massianed</u>	Delinguent Tatters	Percentage Delinguent
March	6,914	3,337	0 , 050	<i>88</i> %
April	7,548	4,280	ರ ್ಕ ಪ33	<i>23,</i> ;
May	7,882	4,505	6, 312	36,1





Classification 105:

<u> </u>	Total Pending Active <u>Matters</u>	Pending Active Hatters Unassigned	Delinquent <u>Matters</u>	Percentage Delinquent
March	614	119	371	60%
<i>April</i>	622	117	380	61%
May	651	126	409	63%

Observation:

The general trend in the number of pending active matters in classification 100 at New York has been upward. Since October 31, 1951, the number of pending active matters in this classification has been increased from 6,298 to 7,882, a net increase of 1,584 matters. It will be noted that in this classification 4,505 of 7,882 matters are unassigned.

In this connection a survey was recently conducted at New York by Bureau representatives and an examination was made of 1,000 of New York's pending active unassigned security cases to determine whether there is sufficient substance to the allegations or existing information to indicate that these cases should be investigated. It was ascertained from the survey that approximately 25% of the 1,000 cases examined could be closed with a minimum of effort on the part of the New York Office provided the check of file references failed to reveal subversive data bringing such cases within the Bureau's current standards for instituting security investigations.

A memorandum covering the results of the survey has been submitted and New York has been instructed regarding the handling of the cases mentioned above. In addition, New York was instructed to review the remainder of their pending active unassigned cases with a view to closing administratively those cases which do not fall within the Bureau's standards. While it is anticipated that New York may be able to close a number of its 7,882 pending matters in the 100 classification as a result of recent instructions, it must be realized that the New York Office will still have a tremendous backlog of

of security cases which must be worked. In an additional effort to facilibate the handling of security matter cases you will recall that the New York Office was instructed to split Section 12 in the New York Office which handles both loyalty and security matter investigations and to set up a separate section to handle only security matter cases. It is hoped that these instructions will facilitate a reduction in the pending case load and we will closely follow the results in the New York Office for the next 2 months. If substantial progress is not made we will re-evaluate New York's needs in its attempt to reduce this delinquency.

ACTION:

We will continue to analyze the progress of security work in all offices and follow them concerning their backlog and delinquency. You will be informed of the progress of the field as reflected in the June administrative reports.

a nei of 66 agents

have been ordered to

new york in the past
30 days. additional
agents will be sent
to new york.

1278 Angell 7

etandard form no. 64

Office Memorandum • United

S GOVERNMENT

TO

SUBJECT:

: Director, FBI

DATE: July 14, 1952

Rem : SAC, Dallas (

Re SAC Letter No. 47 dated 5/12/51.

A check has been made and it has been determined that the Security Index cards in the Dallas Office are in an up-to-da'e status and the addresses of all Security Index subjects whose whereabouts are known have been verified within the last six months.

1271

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: 6-27-52

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

There is attached hereto a table showing by Field Office the total number of Security Index cards in our files. This table indicates the nationalistic tendency, the dangerousness table indicates the nationalistic tendency, and others on classification, sex, race, citizenship status, and others on one of the "special" lists.

These statistics are based on Statistical Section records as of June 13, 1952.

SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTIC BASED ON STATISTICAL SPOTICE RES

							PPA	RITL	OR ORGA	POL	ION AF	TUS TUS	UPR	ULP	HUZ	WIS
PIELD	OFFICE	16,729		EUL 8	ISL 135	512	22	2	42	1	604	16	9	0	1	6
				•	200	024		-	2	_	2					
	ALBANY ALBOQUEES IF	265 49	261 49						2		•					
	ANCHORAGE	29	27		1						1					
	ATI ANTA	14	14		•											
	PALTIMORE	351	344		3						•					
	BIRMINGSAR	11	11				2		1		16					
	FOSTON	455 276	436 233	1	2		Z.		4		36				•	
	BUTTE	74	74	-	_											
	CHARLOITE	47	47				•									
	CHICAGO		1002		16	5	12		. 1	1	38	5				
	CINCIMMATI	194	193	,				· 1			1 25	2				1 .
	CLEVELAN	542 44	504 44	1	8			•	:			-				-
	MENVER	161	161													
	DETROIT	1209	1135		15		2				. 54	1				2
	FL PASC	16	16													
	-ONCLULU	94 67	94 63								4					
	HOUSTON 1 CTANAFOLIL	233	228				3				1	1				
	MAN AS CITY	38	37		1											
	KNOXVILLE	8														
	LITTLE ROCK	8	8				2		. 7		100	. 3				
	LOUISVILLE	19	2476 19		18		•		•		100					
	MEMPHIS	13														
	MIAMI	101 269									22					
	MILWAUKEE MINNEAPOLIC	476			1						74					
	MCBILE	4														
	I.F.ARK	625	605		. 3	3					14					
	FW HAVE	475							1		5					
	INFW ORLESKO	66	66 3319	1	21	31			16		60	4			1	2
	NORFOLK	19		•		•			•							
	OKLAHOTA CITY	62	61						1							
	AMAMA	35	35			_			•		4.3					1
	PHILADELPHIA PHOENIX	866 98			14	1	1		2		43					•
	PITTSEURGH	372			1		•				21					
	PORTLATO	187	185		2											•
	PICHMO"	24									,					
-	SAINT LOUIS	196			2						6					
	SALT LACE CITY	73 18														
	years miss to the to															
	SAN DIFOS	108			16			1	2		53					
	SAN FRANCI_CO SAN JUAN	1924 386	1852		10	210		•	•				9			
	SAVANNAM	5,110	5													
	SEATTLE	640	609		9						22					
	SPRINGFIFLD	109									2					
	WASHINGTON	233	226			2			5							

ENCI

N FIELD OFFICE AS OF JUNE 15, 1952

																				·	•	
			A TT2	SF	X			P STAT		WHITE	PACE NEGEO	OTHER	AFP	ESP	FOE	STAL		THE	YJG	 '		1
<u>c</u>		KP			FINIS		<u> </u>	<u> 11.</u>							47	47		32	16	-		
, 687	5,415	1,288	52 1	5,022	5,707	14,340	3,737	498	154 1	16,597	1,894	258	1		47	• /		32	. 10			İ
131	89	39		177	88	202	47	٠ 7	9	238	25	. 2										
23	18	7		33 25	16	44 24	3 5	2		47 27	1 2	· 1 .	•							1.		1
- 5	5		•	9	5	13	í			12	2			17								
213	124	50	2	229	122	262	80	8	1	306	45			#1	100	2		٠.,		•		·
11	4	4		9	2	, 1				10	. 1											
174	99	24	3	313	142	350	93	8	4	429	26			1 1		3			•			- 1
142	74	32	1	191	85	226	37	10	3	229 74	47		*									
37 26	25 20	12		54 32	20 15	67 47	6	1		37	10			1 1								ĺ
20	20	. ~										_		11	٠,	4			5			
527	454	134	7		276	708 156	321 32	47. 2	4	907 152	166 42	7 ,		1 1	•					•		.
84 312	43 215	27 54	3	137 393	57 149	406	127	8	ĭ	479	63			E	1			7	. •2	•		
25	18	5		32	12	38	4	2		39	. 5			1 6		1						
73	56	16		115	46	135	14	7	5	146	11	•		\$ 30		•						i
023	414	24	4	840	313	024	334	41	כ	IUUY	140	4		8 3	A			•				i
8	7	1		11	5	12	2	2		16	,	63		1 3		•						- 1
45	9 23	32 13		73 48	21 19	79 59	6 7	8 1	1	. 30 52	1 15	0,5		\$ \d	,	Ċ			,			[
34 114	96	17	1		57	166	57	8	2		33			11			٠.		1			Ì
		_		27		36	2			36	2											
16	12	3		27 7	11	· 76	2			8	_		1									
6	1	1		6	2	7	1		26	2411	4 166	29								•		
977	705 7	79	1	1638 16	968 3	1952	566	62	. 20	18	1	- /		21		•	٠.					!
11	•	• •		••	•					_				3 1	λ.	¥						
5	4	2		9	4 34	13 61	38	1	1	96	5			3		1		•			•	1
47 118	34 103	5 10	2	67 192	77	214	50	5	•	252	14	3		\$ \$				•				i
173	157	26	1	333	143	403	62	.10	1	457	18 4	1								•		
2	.1			3	1	4	•				•					•				•		i
231	126	95		465	160	487	120	9	9	563	60	2		, v		1			•			}
184	158	35	1		165	319	146	5 2	5 1	428 47	47 18	1		E 2)	i						
33 964	18 600	7 178	16	50 2465	16 990	58 2511	775	132	_	3170	233	52			24	8		30	4			
6	4	3	••	16	3	13	6			14	5			1								
		-		• •	12	60	1	1		50	12			1		1						
31 16	18 12	7 4		50 28	17	34	•	i		31	4		•									
372	315	65	3	589	277	675	181	8	2	765 79	100 19	1		1 1		3						_ ;
31	15	5		65 288	33 84	72 276	2 <i>2</i> 84	10	2	311	61			4 3		1						•
148	124	26	1	200	6.4				_					1		•						
68	47	18	1		51	157	22 1	3 2	5	183	4	•		\$ \$		4						
11 91	10 51	4 27		16 137	8 59	21 159	34	. 2	1	152	-	_		7 1								
39	27	13		48	25	69	3		1	64	8	7		1								
12	6	. 2		10	8	18				16	2			C		•						
42	8	7		72	36	85	18	4	1	99	5	3		1		_						
042	693	79	2	1213	711	1574 380	290	48	12	1666 332	219 40	39 14		· *		7						
165	36	13		354		380 5	2	4		332	2	• •		. 3		•						
3 277	2 210	30	2	419		562	56	17	5	585	46	9		5. 3		2.		·		•		
			_				10	2		93	16											
51	41 65	6 12	,	89 143	20 90	89 172	18 51	7	3	196	35	2			20	3		2				
100	6.3	12		440	, ,															,		
																		:				
												$\overline{}$										

1273

FIELD OFFICE

The first four letters of the field office are used in all cases except St. Louis, which is coded STLO.

NATIONALISTIC TEMDENCY OR ORGANIZATIONAL AFFILIATION

COM	Cormunist Party, USA
	nul renter
	tha randent Socialist Learus
ISL	Nationalist Party of Puerto Rico
NPR	Nationalist rary of imprica
PPA	Proletarian Party of America
RWL	Revolutionary Workers League
	Russian
RUS	
POL	Polish
SWP	Socialist Workers Party
YUG	9 1
	train of the people for the educations and
UPR	of the Republic of Puerto Rico
	of the Republic of 1 dollar to the
ULP	United Labor Party
HUN .	netionalistic idiachoj vi
MIS	Organizational Arfiliation not listed)
	Organizational Allillation has Illustration

DANGEROUSNESS CLASSIFICATION

DC.	Priority Detention in the event of an Emergency (DetCom)
cs	Potential Communist Saboteurs (ComSab)
KP	Key Figures

TF Top Functionary

CITIZENSHIP STATUS

NВ	Native Born
NA	Naturalized Alien
AL	Unknown
UN	UILKIUWII

SPECIAL SECTION

AEP	Atomic Energy Program employees
ESP	Espionage subjects
F'GE'	Foreign Government employees
GOV	United States Government employees
PRO	Prominent Person United Nations employee
UNE YUG	Pro-Tito

Iffice Memorian 2 . United States Government

DATE: July 3, 1952

TO

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

During the past week 56 new cards were added to the Security Index and 24 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 32 cards. DETAILS:

The Security Index count as of today is 18,841.

UNRTROVES

(B) SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS -- A recent survey of pending security-matter investigations in the Field indicates that cases are being investigated or scheduled for investigation in which the subversive information available in the office considered along the subversive information available in the office considered along with other factors does not bring the cases within existing Bureau with other factors does not bring the cases within existing bureau standards for conducting such investigations. In view of this it is

believed necessary to reiterate and define existing instructions regarding the opening of security-matter cases. These instructions apply to those security-type investigations initiated solely for the purpose of determining whether the activities of the subjects warrant their inclusion in the Security Index and do not encompass those investigations involving espionage, foreign intelligence, et cetera.

Because of the manner in which the Communist Party and other revolutionary organizations function and because of the great scope and variety of their activities it is not possible to formulate hard-and-fast standards by which the dangerousness of individual members or affiliates may be automatically measured. Sound judgment and discretion must be applied in evaluating the importance and dangerousness of individual members and affiliates of those groups.

In certain cases Bureau authority is necessary under existing instructions before security-matter investigations may be initiated. However, as a matter of general policy security-matter cases shall be opened and a thorough investigation conducted of any individual who comes within one or more of the following categories:

1. Membership in Basic Revolutionary Organizations Subsequent to January 1, 1949.

Any individual reported as having been a member of the Communist Party, Socialist Workers Party, Independent Socialist League, Revolutionary Workers League, Proletarian Party of America or other basic Markist revolutionary organizations or splinter groups or the Nationalist Party of Puerto Rico, on or after January 1, 1949.

2. Espousing Line of Revolutionary Movements

Any individual who since the outbreak of hostilities in Korea (June 25, 1950) continued to espouse the line of one or more of the above-mentioned revolutionary organizations or related groups thereby defining his or her adherence to policies opposed to the best interests of the United States.

The espousal mentioned above encompasses a wide variety and range of activities and as mentioned previously

7/8/52 SAC LETTER NO. 65 Series 1952

- 2 -

it is not possible to formulate any hard-and-fast standards to cover all cases falling in this category that warrant investigation. Membership in one or more of the basic revolutionary organizations is not a prerequisite to the institution of an investigation of an individual within this category.

In connection with espousal expressed by membership and active participation in subversive front groups (whether or not cited by the Attorney General) the nature and type of the front organization as well as the extent of the individual's activities on behalf of the front organization or in support of the Communist Party.must be evaluated in each case. Investigation should be opened in every instance when the derogatory information available indicates the subject is actively engaged in the affairs of a subversive front organization in a leadership capacity or by active participation in the furtherance of the aims and purposes of the front organization.

When there is an allegation of mere membership alone in a front organization and there is no supporting information to indicate active participation or leadership in the group, investigation should not be instituted unless information is available indicating past membership at any time in a basic revolutionary organization or sufficient other derogatory information is known.

Of course, in determining whether an investigation should be conducted when an allegation of membership in a front organization is received you should be guided also by the nature and activities in behalf of the Communist Party of the particular front group throughout the country or in a certain area. For example, members of the Labor Youth League should be investigated because of the organization's close affiliation with the Communist Party in carrying out the dictates of the Party. On the other hand, an allegation of routine membership in the International Workers Order alone does not warrant the institution of an investigation, while leadership or active participation in carrying out the subversive aims and purposes of the International Workers Order does warrant investigation.

7/8/52 SAC LETTER NO. 65 Series 1952

- 3

UNRECORDES

3. Special Training in a Subversive Movement

Lenin School or has received training in the movement abroad.

Any individual who has received at any time leadership training in one of the basic revolutionary organizations mentioned above.

4. Military Service

Any individual who served with the Abraham Lincoln Brigade. Membership in one of the basic revolutionary organizations is not a prerequisite to initiating investigations of individuals in this category.

Any individual who has been alleged to have been a member of one of the basic revolutionary groups at any time and has served in the Office of Strategic Services or has served in the military forces of any country including the United States Armed Forces.

5. Employment in Vital Facilities

Any individual employed in or having access to a vital facility who is alleged to have been at any time in the past a member of any of the basic revolutionary organizations or against whom sufficient other subversive information is known which warrants investigation.

6. Other Individuals with Revolutionary Beliefs

Individuals who, because of anarchist or revolutionary beliefs, are likely to seize upon the opportunity presented by a national emergency to endanger the public safety and welfare. The individuals in this category should be investigated where sound judgment and discretion dictate. Membership or affiliation in basic revolutionary or front groups is not a prerequisite to initiating investigations of individuals in this category.

7/8/52 SAC LETTER NO. 65 Series 1952

- 4

UMRECORDEL

As you have been instructed previously, complaints alleging subversive activities of individuals which are received from anonymous sources should not be disregarded. If the facts of the complaints are sufficiently specific and of sufficient weight to oring the cases within existing standards for opening cases for investigation, such investigation should be conducted just as it would be if the identity of the source were known.

In this same connection, cases should not be opened for investigation based on nonspecific or vague allegations which do not come within the existing standards in this matter.

In order that we can direct our investigative efforts and resources to the fullest measure on important and necessary matters in accordance with existing Bureau standards for opening investigations and upon sound judgment and discretion, you are instructed to immediately undertake a review of the pending active security-matter cases in your office and you should be guided by the following instructions:

All pending active assigned security-matter cases should be reviewed carefully by the Agents to whom they are assigned and if any of the cases examined are not within the standards requiring investigation such cases should be brought to the attention of the office supervisor in order that they can be closed administratively or by a letter or report.

A project should be instituted to review all pending active unassigned security-matter cases to close administratively, or by appropriate notice to the Bureau, those which do not rall within the Bureau's standards for opening security-matter cases.

In addition, during the examining of case files under the preceding two paragraphs to determine whether there is sound basis for conducting an investigation, an examination should be made of all outstanding leads for auxiliary offices to be certain that auxiliary offices are not being requested to conduct unwarranted and needless investigations. If the examination and re-evaluation of outstanding leads indicates that requested investigations of auxiliary offices are not essential in the matter you

7/8/52 SAC LETTER NO. 65 Series 1952

- 5 -

7-8-52

should immediately advise the auxiliary office to disregard your request.

In connection with the foregoing instructions regarding cases that should be closed because there is no sound basis for the investigation under current Bureau standards, it is realized that there may be cases which do not come within the standards but which good judgment dictates should be investigated. If you have any question in any individual case of this nature you should communicate with the Bureau for guidance and instructions.

The project outlined above should be completed within thirty days of the receipt of this communication. As soon as the project is completed, each Field office should advise the Bureau by a memorandum captioned "Security Investigations of Individuals."

July 15, 1952

SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS NATIONAL MILITARY ESTABLISHMENTS

PURPOSE:

To obtain your approval for the attached letter to of the General Electric Company and for the attached SAC Letter.

BACKGROUND:

Attached hereto is nemorandum to the Bureau dated July 9, 1952, wherein he calls the Bureau's attention to the possibility of industrial security personnel developing a complacent attitude regarding subversives employed in industry as a result of contacts by Bureau Agents.

ACTION:

Attached hereto for your approval is the recommended reply to as well as an SAC Letter cautioning the field with regard to the conduct of inquiries of personnel employed in vital facilities concerning subjects of security investigations.

7-18-52

(J) SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS - VITAL FACILITIES - NATIONAL MILITARY ESTABLISHMENTS -- The Bureau's attention has been called to the possibility that persons engaged in industrial security in manufacturing plants and other facilities coming in contact with FBI Agents are becoming complacent about the presence of subversives in their facilities because the FBI is aware of the presence of such subversives. An expression has been made to the Eureau indicating that many persons in industrial security may be drawing false conclusions from the reactions of agents in having located subversives in their facilities.

I desire that all agents be instructed that in making contacts with security or other personnel in manufacturing plants and other facilities regarding subjects of security investigations who are or may be employed at such facilities they make absolutely no commitments as to the purpose of their inquiries or discuss information in their possession as to the subversive character of the subjects about whom the inquiries are being made. It is realized that in many instances the personnel of the facilities will be aware of the subversive character of the subjects at the time the contacts are made by the agents. In all instances agents should exercise extreme caution to avoid leaving the impression that the facilities need have no concern because of the presence of such subjects. Agents should not make any expression of satisfaction with having located such subjects which might cause the persons

7/18/52 SAC LETTER NO. 68 Series 1952

being contacted to assume that because of our knowledge of the subject's whereabouts their potential dangerousness is eliminated. Agents should not give any impression to persons being contacted that they desire that the subjects "stay put."

Jurisdiction to make any recommendation, real or implied, regarding the removal from or continuance in the employ of any individual in a vital facility. When contact is made with blant personnel in connection with verifying a subject's employment in a vital facility and the person being contacted expresses concern as to what action should be taken by him he should be informed that any recommendations regarding the continued employment of an individual will necessarily come from the interested agency or agencie; within the Department of Defense and not from the FBI. It should be pointed out that the inquiry by the Bureau Agent should not be interpreted as an indication that action should or should not be taken against the subject of the inquiry.

10-22

TO : 17

na de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

12 83 Chargest 76 48-36

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE:

7/15/52

FROM

SAC, SAT JUAN +

Confidential

SUBJECT:

STATUS OF SHOURITY INDEX CARDS AND ADDRESSIS SAN JUAN DIVISION

Re SAC Letter No. 100 of 12/28/50. .

Addresses have been checked and S.I. cards were in a current status as of July 15, 1952.

hist

Assistant Attorney General Tuly 3, 1983
Criminal Livision

on)

Director, FBI

SECURITY INLEX LIST

There is attached hereto a current list of the names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. This list is subdivided alphabetically under the field offices of this Bureau covering the residence of the individuals listed.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

Attachment

NOTE ON YELLOW ONLY:

Espionage Section of the Special Section not included.

1285 Langel To 18-19

STANDARD FORM NO. 84

Office Men

1972 • UNITED LILL LOVERNMENT

TO:

Director, Fall

FROM E SAC, Horolulu

SUBJECT:

SECRETAR INDEA

PATEL CONSTRUCTS II

DATE: 3030 74, 3952

Re SAC Letter No. 167, A ries 1950, da ed 12/28/40, and my letter ditad 1/18/51.

In accordance with implimitations converted in referenced SAC letter, the addresses and combined to of all Caparity Index Subjects of this office have been verified within the past six sonths.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office M..... 2 • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

THE DIRECTOR

July 11, 1952 DATE:

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

The following is a report on the increase in the Security Index since the last count was furnished to you on June 13, 1952.

Week of	New Cards	Cards	Net
	Added	Cancelled	Increase
June 14-20 June 21-27 June 28 - July 4 July 5-11	78 51 56 66 251	23 27 24 18	55 24 32 48 159

The Security Index count as of today is 18,889.

week period 276 cards were added and 80 cards were cancelled, a net increase during the period of 198 cards.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 70 new cards were added to the Security Index and 18 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 52 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,941.

to the authorization of a warrant of arrest, which is a determination which the Department must make and will not be accepted by the Bureau.

(2) Notification to the Department regarding techs, mikes, and unusual techniques in Bureau investigations.

With reference to this subject, it was recalled that an agreement had previously been entered into between the Bureau and Messrs. and 'of the Department as to the manner in which the Department would be notified as to the existence of techs, mikes and similar techniques and thereafter the identities of such techs and mikes as reflected in investigative reports. This agreement was subsequently disauowed by

At the conference on July 9, after discussion of this problem,

ment previously entered into by

continue to handle these matters as previously outlined.

(3) How far shall a Bureau representative go when called upon to testify regarding wire tap evidence in court?

It was agreed during the conference that had indicated that an answer to the Bureau's question regarding how far a Bureau representative should go in testifying to wire tap evidence had been prepared and had been submitted to . . . for approval. indicated that Departmental policy had been formulated and approved only as to testimony in Smith Act cases. His attention was directed to previous overtures to the Department insisting that Departmental policy apply to all testimony regarding wire taps in any type of case. It was position that this should not be done.

I pointed out to that the Bureau still maintained that a fixed policy should be adopted by the Department which will cover wire tap testimony in any type of case, which would be similar to that adopted by the Department in Smith Act cases.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: July 10, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

CONFERINCE LITH MISSRS.

OF THE DEFLIRE BUY

JULY 9, 1952

of the Department called at my office at 2:00 P.M. today. He said he would like to go over with me matters which have been discussed at the above conference which, you will recall, was attended by and myself. Le reviewed the following items and my comments to are set forth as to each:

(1) Bureau standards for Security Index.

During the conference — had advised that the Bureau's standards for inclusion of individuals on the Security Index were being reviewed by the Department and that the Department will communicate with the Bureau with reference to its approval of the standards which we have adopted. In this connection I pointed out to that the Bureau's position during the conference was that the Bureau will insist that the Department either approve our standards as submitted or will stipulate standards which it will approve which can then be applied by the Bureau. The Bureau further pointed out with reference to — statement that the Department might adopt a set of standards different from that of the Bureau, that the Bureau feels that the Department should operate under the identical standards as those used by the Bureau.

With reference to the review of files by the Department of pirsons to be included on the Beourity Index,
indicated that the Department Lad reviewed
approximately 1200 cases and indicated that after reviewing
several thousand, the Department night decide to accept the
Bureau's decisions on inclusions and not review further files.
I pointed out to that it was the Bureau's position
that the Department should review all those files and advise
the Bureau of their conclusions either approving the inclusion
on the Security Index or disapproving. I told that this
was clearly a function of the Department and was comparable

It was agreed that during the conference we had discussed the various phases of the handling of subjects who are in the United States under 3(1) or 3(7) visas. position that the responsibility It was generally . of the Criminal Division should be limited to a determination of whether there has been a violation of criminal statutes. He said that other phases of these cases, such as the determination as to whether the subject is excludable or deportable, the determination of whether persona non grata would apply, and in double agent and espionage cases the over-all determination as to whether intelligence values of a particular operation were such as to preclude other action, should be handled by someone outside the Criminal Division. He suggested this could be done either in the Attorney General's Office or, as in the case of excludability or deportation, by the Commissioner of the Immigration and Naturalization Service.

that in these cases the I pointed out to Criminal Division had originally accepted the responsibility to make all of the determinations discussed, and that we have, in accordance with this agreement, fulfilled our obligations. He agreed that at the approximate time when had advised the Bureau that the Department that all the functions of the Department pertaining to internal security matters were being vested in the Criminal Division and that since we have received no other advice from the Department since then, we are, of course, bound by instructions to look to the Criminal Division for unswers. I pointed out that at that time the Criminal Division apparently accepted this responsibility and had, in fact, ucted on a number of cases; that recently the position of the Criminal Division appeared to be one of disavowing their responsibility except for determination as to whether criminal prosecution will lie. I told him that the Bureau did not intend to be put in the position of having to go back and re-do our handling of these cases in accordance with some changed policy of the Department, and that we intend to continue to look to the Criminal Division as being responsible for handling these cases until some other plan is worked out.

ACTION:

For your information.

au 2⁹ ---

SAC, Omaha

July 22, 1952

Director, FBI

ReBulet dated December 20, 1950.

There is enclosed herowith a scaled package containing a non-Security Index live of all subjects maintained in the general and Special Sections of the Lecurity Index.

This puckage chould be reinfained in your office safe in accordance with instructions in referenced memorandur. This new list replaces the list in your possession. It is your personal responsibility to see that the old list is destroyed by burning.

The Bureau should be advised of your receipt of the attached list and the destruction of the old list.

Enclosure

RECORDED - 78 'JUL 24 1952 65 FRUSHLED 11

100 -

1011 31 1901

87ANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Mem.

um · United States Government

TO:

DATE: July 25, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

PETAILS:

During the past week 80 new cards were added to the Security Index and 23 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 57 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,998.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

)

DATE: July 16, 1952

FROM:

FIRLD DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY/
INVESTIGATIONS

At the recent conference of Special Agents in Charge, Assistant Special Agents in Charge, and field Supervisors held at the Bureau on June 26 and 27, 1952, concerning problems in security investigations, considerable stress was given to the question of the field's delinquency in this type of work.

In this regard, it will be recalled that as early as March of 1751, the Domestic Intelligence Division recognized the rising delinquency in security work in the field and noted the large backlog of work that existed in this type of investigation. By SAC Letter dated March 21, 1951, this problem was pointed out and instructions were issued for each office to review the delinquency situation and to place into effect a program which would bring about a reduction of the percentage of delinquency. Thereafter, this program was closely followed hereat the Seat of Government and again in December 1951 an analysis of the over-all situation was made. The results of this analysis indicated that the bulk of our security work was concentrated in twelve of the Bureau's larger field offices and the preponderance of delinquency was also in these offices.

On December 17, 1951, a letter was directed to these offices again instructing them to analyze the problems in their offices and to make concrete plans and take positive steps to bring about a reduction in the delinquency and backlog of work in security-type cases.

On March 15, 1952, an SAC Letter was again directed to the field pointing out the absolute necessity for reducing the backlog in delinquency. This same letter gave specific instructions as a guide to all offices to bring their security work into line.

Since that time, the monthly administrative reports have been carefully studied and letters of encouragement have been directed to those offices which showed progress in reducing the delinquency. At the same time, as to those offices where no progress was being made or there was a retrogression, their deficiencies were forcefully pointed out to them.

WAR:GAS

The above facts were called to the attention of the members of the conference. As was explained to them, the accomplishments in reducing the delinquency have been worthwhile, but that nevertheless, the problem was an ever present one and required their continued attention.

During the discussion which followed, the question of increasing the manpower on security investigations was raised. In doing so, the field representatives stressed the fact that their offices were looking to meeting this situation fact that their offices were looking to meeting this situation with the manpower available and no office had applied adopted a with the manpower available and no office had applied adopted a defeatist attitude that the problem was too big to cope with defeatist attitude that the problem was too big to cope with All of the members were optimistic and felt a solution could be achieved, pointing out that the general trend during the past months has been a decline.

The conference was of the opinion that the inequities in the delinquency and backlogs in the security field as compared with the over-all work of the Bureau is not justified when it is considered that a high proportion of the Bureau's when it is considered that a high proportion of the Bureau's financial appropriations is based on security work and financial appropriations is based on security work and particularly because of the terrific responsibility of the Bureau in the internal security field. The conference felt Bureau in the internal security field. The conference felt that some positive steps should be taken because the Bureau's reputation was at stake.

The members of the conference expressed a hope that as Agents became available through the reduction of our applicant program, these Agents could be assigned to security work. The members of the conference also stated that continued work. The members of the conference also stated that continued attention would be given to streamlining the work both in the attention would be given to streamlining the principle of direct action opening of cases and by applying the principle of direct action to the investigation to insure that those cases were receiving the proper attention.

The conference suggested that in view of this pressing matter and importance of our Security Program and the fact that we have not been able to implement some of the highly important programs within the security field as yet, the Eureau might well again consider whether we are being injudicious in the use of Special Light personnel on investigative matters and programs of Special Light personnel on investigative matters and programs not essential at this time. The conference, of course, was not essential at this time. The conference, of course, was security-ninded as the responsibility for the Bureau's Security Program rests in a large measure on the shoulders of the Program rests in a large measure on the shoulders of the Program rests in a large measure on the shoulders of the Program rests in a large measure of the suggestion that the felt when the representatives advanced the suggestion that the felt when the representatives advanced the suggestion that the function examine its current programs and investigations in other fields to see whether they are essential.

ACTION:

The conference was advised that the Bureau has given this matter most careful consideration and is continually examining its programs to eliminate items which are not essential, even though they may be desirable. For example, we have declared a moratorium on re-contacts in the American Legion Program and have reduced the contacts necessary in rural posts to either the Adjutant or the Post Commander, instead of both. We have declared a moratorium on the re-contact of plant informants for the same reason, namely, to cut down the amount of work in the field to enable the Agents to apply themselves to security cases.

The Bureau sponsored S-2077 for the express purpose of relieving the Eureau of applicant investigations in order that we might devote ourselves to the heavy responsibilities we have in the security field. The IIC sponsored an internal security report which accelerated our security program and resulted in larger appropriations for the Bureau and, of course, additional personnel. The pressing need for additional personnel, particularly in the Washington Field Office and the New York Office has been recognized by the Bureau and additional personnel has been assigned to those offices.

We have instructed the field to analyze carefully the opening of cases to insure that cases are not being opened where the information does not warrant investigation. In the New York Office, in order to assist in clearing up the delinquency and backlog, we conducted a survey of some 1,000 cases and the New York Office is presently analyzing all remaining cases for the purpose of eliminating those cases which either do not warrant investigation or can be closed with a minimum of effort. We further permitted the New York Office to submit investigative reports in certain instances in order to place subjects on the Security Index, rather than insisting that summary reports be prepared at this time in those cases, with the understanding that summary reports would be submitted later.

We will continue to analuze carefully all of our programs and techniques with a view to eliminating, wherever possible, procedures or programs which are not absolutely essential in order that we can direct our efforts to the handling of the heavy backlog and delinquency in the security field.

lit

Assistant Attorney General James M. McInerney Crininal Division

July 22, 1952

Director, TEI

SECURITY INDEX LIST

There is attached hereto a current list of the names of the individuals raintained in the Boarita Index. This list is subdivided althought absorbed under the field offices of this lureau covering the residences of the individuals ligand.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

1246 A ttachment

حاصر

NOTE ON YELLOW ONLY:

Espionage Section of the Special Section not included.

STANDEND FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: July 10, 1956

FROM :

SUBJECT:

STOURITY INDEX STANDARDS ELECTROPHICY DETENTION PROGRAM

While discussing other matters with Hr. of the Department, and his assistants,

on July 9, 1952,

brought up two questions we have been attempting to resolve with the Department for some months; namely, the approval by the Department of the standards used by us for placing persons on the Security Index, and the review of our Security Index cases by the Department.

advised that Departmental attorneys have reviewed approximately 1,200 Security Index cases, with the result that the Department is in tentative agreement that all of these cases are warranted for inclusion in the Security Index. He said that the reviewing attornsys have set aside not more than 2% of the cases for further review and, in the event there is any question as to whether they should be retained in the Index, the Bureau will be consulted on each individual case. was asked whether he contemplates reviewing every case in the Index. He advised that he contemplates reviewing several thousand of the cases and if the present pattern continues, reflecting agreement by the Department that the individuals should be included in the Security Index, the remainder of the cases will not be reviewed, but the Department will approve the inclusion of the remaining cases in the Index for Il apprehension.

We have previously requested the Department to review the reports for each Security Index subject and to advice us in each insurance as to whether the Department approved or disapproved the listing of each name in the Security Index. I think we should insist on this procedure, if possible.

Relative to the Amertian of atomicris. Pau will recall we have been insistent that the Department approve our standards or give us a reason to the contrary. Several months ago the Department furnished us a proposed set of standards to be used by them in reviewing these cases. These standards were a patent attempt to apply the detention provisions of the McCarran Act, in spite of the fact the Department has consistently stated the McCarran Act is not workable and that the emergency detention program would be launched under the Department's program rather than the McCarran Act. We returned these standards to the Department, pointing out they were not properly drawn, in that they did not cover potentially dangerous individuals. Since that time the Department has been attempting to work out a set of standards satisfactory to them for review purposes. Because this matter dragged on and on, we insisted that the Department give us written approval for the standards under which we are operating, and we have been pushing the Department for such written approval.

In discussing this with on July 9, 1952, we again asked when this written approval would be forthcoming. stated he understood we have already been given written authority to apprehend anyone on the Security Index, pending the decision on these standards. This is true, as gave us such authority. Nevertheless, we told we must insist on the Attorney General's specific approval of the standards under which we are operating, inasmuch as we are an investigative agency, and the policy as to whom should be apprehended under any detention program must rest with the Attorney General.

advised that for purposes of review, he is thinking in terms of applying a broad general standard rather than a set of standard; that broad standard to sover includingly potentially democrate as saboteurs or assistant against . He will a marrandum is being prepared in this respect.

We reiterated we should have written approval from the Attorney General of the standards used by us which we have furnished to the Department, these standards to be used for the purpose of placing individuals on the Security Index to be apprehended in the event of an emergency.

RE COMME NDATIONS:

(1) That we insist that the Department review all of the cases on the Security $I_n dex$ and give us clearance or denial in each case. This recommendation is made despite the fact Mr. indicated that one of the reasons for not completing the entire review is the imatter of expense to the Department.

(2) | That we insist on written approval from the Department of our standards for purposes of placing subjects on the Security Index and their subsequent apprehension.

(3) That we continue to follow the Department to secure a copy of their standards for review, for the purpose of seeing whether their standards conform with ours. クダ

July 25, 1952

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

PROGRAM FOR APPREHENSION AND DUTENTION OF PERSONS CONSIDERED POTENTIALLY DANGEROUS TO THE NATIONAL DUFTING AND FUELIC SAFETY OF THE UNITED STATES

of the Criminal Division of the Tenerthent and the Eureau the matter of the review by Leparthent attorneys of investigative reports on subjects whose names are listed in the Macurity Indea was discussed. The Tenerthent representatives indicated that to date approximately 1,200 Security Indea cases had been reviewed and that the Department is in tenestive agreement that all of the cases reviewed are warranted for inclusion in the Lecurity Indea except approximately two percent usion have been set aside for further review. They indicated that in the event there is any question as to whether an individual's name should be retained in the Lecurity Indea to ensulted in each individual case.

representatives raised the question as to thether the representatives raised the question as to thether the reportment contemplates reviewing every case in the recurity rade. They were advised that it is contemplated that several thousand cases will be reviewed by the referring attorneys and if the present pattern continues replaceding agreement by the reportment that the individuals should be included in the reviewed and the remainder of the cases will not be reviewed and the remaining individuals in the receiving radio of the names of the remaining individuals in the reserving radio.

It is my opinion that all cases for subjects whose names are livied in the Laurding Index and are coheduled for any robunsian diffice because of a national energonous chauld be revilled by the places at a national all authority for any robuncions in an empressed under the above-or evidenced from a flows at rectile from you. As you know after apprehensions are effected it will be the

lepartment's responsibility to handle the appropriate hearings that will be efforted the detainers. If the magaryment masses reviewed a psourity famor case prior to the appropriate to the appropriate to the appropriate to the appropriate of the appropriate to the appropriate of the solution of the nestion, it places this tureous in the position of the nestings, and the proceedor until and time as the legarinest takes over for the purpose of the mearings. Whose this sureous is a fact-finding indeathealists according to decision to dearibe an individual of nersonal liberty in any motion over lased this sureous has investigated for is the responsibility of the reportment. I fuel that it is essential that all decurity Index cases be reviewed by the Sapartment.

In view of this X again wros that all cases for subjects litted in the Lecurity Index to reviewed by Department attorneys to determine whether the Repurtment approves or discourages the liating.

Cuestions relating to the standards used as a basis for including names in the Security Index were discussed at the conference. The importance of this Program in maintaining the internal security of the country at the time of an emercency and the corresponding responsibilities of the Percriment and the Eureau dictate that all quastions relating to the atandards be resolved promotly by the aspertment in order that there can be a complete understanding in the matter. These questions relating to a proval by the Ispartment of the security Index standards presently utilized by this cureau nave been under consideration at the Lepartment for some vina.

Department advised that for the purpose of the review of Department advised that for the purpose of the review of Decurity Index coses by Jecartment attorneys a broad general standard nowed he wood, the broad standard to cover inciviately notentially cangerous as tuboteurs or emission and the sensial etcadard would be used for review numposes of the this standard would be used index standards willised by this suredue. I connot understand the procedure of the Lepartment using one set of standards for review purposes and appropriate a different set of Decurity Index standards for this Eurecu to use in

contidering individuals for the Security-Index. Inless the Court and Can the Termon have common prounts for the Courtine on individual is hanconous or patentially dangerous to the internal security of the country in dangerous to the internal security of the country in the angula of the internal there are he to contain the internal and fire Survivale in understanding between the Jesuretons I firmly besieve that this vital matter. Therefore, I firmly besieve that the Jesurbisho and the Tureou chauld use the same foculty index standards.

in the interest of the metional security, I will appreciate an early reply to this communication.

- cc (3) Leputy Attorney Ceneral
- co (2) Assistant Attorney General Criminal Liuisian

1275X

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office M

" • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO

Director, FBI

DATE: 7/17/52

FROM

SAC, Cmaha

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

Rebulet 7/3/52 forwarding the new Security Index list. The old list has been destroyed by burning.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum . United States Government

TO

DATE: July 29, 1952

FROM ;

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX .

-PREPARATION OF-SUMMARY REPORTS

PURPOSE:

To submit for your approval who addached SAC

Letter.

BACKGROUND:

At the recent Internal Facurity - Espicaage Conference the question was raised as to whether it is necessary to completely document information included in summary reports on important Communist functionaries against whom a prima facie case can be made. It was suggested that the reports contain information concerning all of the subjects activities but only that information necessary to make a colid case would be accumented.

OBSERVATIONS:

We have previously issued instructions stating that it will be necessary to document all information specifically reported but have provided for the elimination of repetitious and cumulative items with a view to cutting-down on the work necessary to prepare reports particularly those of important Communist functionaries. The Internal Security Section recommends that these instructions remain in effect and that we continue to document all evidence and intelligence information which is openifically out forth in summary reports.

ACTION:

If you agree, the attached SAC Letter should be sent to the giold.

28--16

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Monnorandom • united

ES GOVERNMENT

.Director, FBI

DATE: 7/2/52

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDOM

PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

Reference No 2 SAS Letter I dated 3/1/52.

The following information is being submitted pursuant thereto:

Category	Tobal d	No. of Initial Substituted to data	No. of Seasary Reports to be Submitted	Unpactor late of Constation
1 2 3 4 5 6	1 0 0 0 9 3	0 0 0 6 3	0 0 0 3 0	10/1/52 0 0 0 10/1/52
TO TAIS	15	9	. 4	

Placed note that the above injures relate to the 51 eases as they exist at this date. This office now has 12 SL subjects and is in process of recommending one additional which will make 13.

/2 98

STANDARD FORM NO. 54

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO :

Director, FBI

DATE: 8/1/52

FROM/

SUBJECT:

SAC, Omaha

SHOURITY INDIX

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

--- Rebulst 7/22/52.

The new Security Index list has been received with relet and the old list received with Bulet of 7/3/52 has been destroyed by burning.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64 Office Memorandum • United States Govern

: Director, FBI (

DATE: July 31, 1952

FROM : SAC, New York (

CONFIDENTI

SUBJECT: INTERGULICY DETERMINENT PROGRAM, APPRENISIONS BY POLICE OFFICERS O Security Index - Genera

Rebulet May 7, 1952 granting authority for the use of police officers alone, without Special igents being present, in effecting the apprehensions of routine Security Index subjects at the time of an emergency.

It is noted that the Master Warrant of Arrest is signed by the Attorney General and directed to "The Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation" authorizing and directing "you and your duly authorized agents to arrest ---",

The Bureau is requested to advise whether the phrase "duly authorized agents" can be said to include officers of the NYC Police Department acting alone under this program.

The question of legality of arrest by police officers alone may come up at some future conference with the NYC Police Commissioner.

August 21, 1952

SAC, New York (

Director, FII (

THERESECT INTENTION PROCESS AND REMILESIONS OF POLICE OF LOSES

noted that the finter warrant of Arrest is to be eighed by the Attorney Ceneral and direct a to The Director of the Tederal Bureau of Invistington' accounting and circeting the Journal of the Journal o

For your information, instructions from the Attorney Ceneral covering the plan of operation for the Emergency Leventien from an provice that the oureau will enlist the aid and assistance of other law enforcement abended where needed. The term of the Laster warrant of arrest on this point is worded as follows: "... I hereby authorize and aircot you and your duly authorized agents to arrest or cause the arrest of the persons set forth ..."

As indicated above, the Attorney General of the time of an emergency will authorize arrests by courtity Index subjects under the direction of the Eureau and the Eureau will request assistance in the matter where needed.

In respection with the efeterent in relet that the Congression of legalism of errest by poulse officers alone may by congrue of each juture conference with the low Yerb with Pelfe. Some isterent, you are juic constituted an usu fore this Runsey letter each ley V. L.D., that when the city ordered for with reliable law engeroenemy officers for poulse manuscription according to the laweau as the property of the conferency you chould remeat assistance for more of informational assumity manuscript nature of the estimation when the property of the easterness when the property of the confit assistance of the estimation of the entresses. It is not the easterness of the entresses of the

Office Me.....n • Uniti

3OVERNMENT

TO

DATE: August 1, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 50 new cards were added to the Security Index and 19 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 31 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 19,029.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: 7-28-52

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

There is attached hereto a table showing by Field Office the total number of Security Index cards in our files. This table indicates the nationalistic tendency, the dangerousness classification, sex, race, citizenship status, and others on one of the "special" lists.

These statistics are based on Statistical Section records as of July 15, 1952.

FIELD OFFICE

The first four letters of the field office are used in all cases except St. Louis, which is coded STLO.

NATIONALISTIC TEMDENCY OR ORGANIZATIONAL AFFILIATION

COM	Cormunist Party, USA
BUL	Bulgarian
ISL	Independent Socialist League
NPR	vationalist Party of Puerto Rico
PPA	Proletarian Party of America
RAL	Revolutionary Workers League
RUS	Russian
POL	Polish
SMP	Socialist Workers Party
YUG	Yugoolovian
	Union of the people for the establishment
UPR	of the Republic of Puerto Rico
*** 0	United Labor Party
ULP	**************************************
HUN	Miscellaneous (any Nationalistic Tendency or
MIS	Organizational Affiliation not listed)

DANGEROUSNESS CLASSIFICATION

	Priority Detention in the event of an Emergency (DetCom) Potential Communist Saboteurs (ComSab)
***	Var Pimmes

KF Key Figures TF Top Functionary

CITIZENSHIP STATUS

NB	Native Born
NA	Naturalized
AL	Alien
UN	Unknown

SPECIAL SECTION

1

AEP	Atomic Energy Program employees
ESP	Espionage subjects
FGE	Foreign Government employees
GOV	United States Government employees
PRO	Prominent Person
UNE	United Nations employee
YUG	Pro-Tito

1297

ENC 1

ENCL

SECURITY INDEX CAPO CT THE SS - BUELD OFFI RATIO ON STATE TOTAL SECT IN COURSE JOY JULY 1

FIELD OFFICE	TOTA	_الحاليات	E.	1		ONALIS	nc i	Z. UFNOX	<u> </u>	MAPUTA S:	Ti-U A	<u> </u>							_
	18,506		3		7 518	£2	2											Fi.	
ALPANY	-	-	•	, 13	/ 320	L	Z	41	1	610	13	9	C	- 3 .	:	-, _U			5
ALBUDDE ROUE	266	262						2		2	•							•	
ANCHOPAGE	47	47						-		•						3 (39	
ATLANTA	2 4	•		1						1						;		7	
BALTIMORE	15	15															5		
CHELLMONE	351	344		3						4						1	5 1 1;	4.0	
PIRMINGHAM	11	11					•												
BOSTON	462					2										1.7		4	
BUFFALO	273		1	2		-		1 4 .		13						7 9		25	
BUTTE	74	74	•	-				4 .	•	36						+		31	
CHARLOTTE	49	49														37		12	
CHICAGO	1072	995		16	5	12		1	1	26	4			•		\.	-		
CINCIMATI	194	193			-	••		•	•	36 1	6					4.7	4.6	135	
CLEVELAND	554	516	1	. 8			1			25	2					86	-4	28	
PALLAS	45	45	-	-			. •			2)	4		•		. }	16,	2.A	51	
THNVED	163	163						•							: .	25 - 7f	18 57	5 18	
THE TROIT	1222	1146		15		2				55	2							-	
IEL PASA	15	15		•		•				22	~				2	5.13	4.29	52	
POMOLULI	92	92				•										7	6	1	
HOUSTON	70	66								. 4						4.6	٩	32	
IN HIM FAULTS	?32	227				3				1	1					114	24 97	1'3 16	
KANSAS CITY	39	38		1			•									_		_	•
KNOXVILLE	8	. 8		•												17	13	3 •	
. TILE ROCK	16.	14														4	4		
LOS ANGELES	2664	2520		21		2		7		102	3					11	. 3	1	
LOUISVILLE	24	34									•					393		77	
MEMPHIS	13	13																•	
MIAMI	102	102												•		•	34	2 5	
MILWAUKEE	283	261								22						_a .⁻6	34 110	10	
MINNEADOLIS	4 80	407		1					•	74							154	26	
MORILE	4	4						,		7. *						71	1		
NEWARK	628	608		3	3														
NEW HAVEN	477	471		,	•			_		14						31	126	95	
NEW ORLHANS	71	71						1		5						9.0	161	35	
NEW YORK		3334	1	21	33			16						_	_	7.3	18	7	
- NORFOLK	19	19	. •		"			15		61	4			1	2	* 7	605	180	3
	-															6	4	3	
CKLAHCHA C'TY	59	58						1								- 3 0	17	7	
	35	35			_											16	12	4	
OHILAN-CRUIA	880	Alq		14	1	_		2		44						173	320	63	
PHOENIX	9 <i>7</i> 378	91		•		1										3.2	16	5	
i alitemade	316	355		2						21.						. 54	129	29	
5 7: 57: 18: 18: 18	191	189		2												69	47	10	
101640	23	23														: :	10	* /-	
15A181 .	107	183		2						6						a i	51	27	
SALT !		76														40	27	. 3	
54X 61	1 ,	1.5														14			
SAN D	100) 13														1.7	:		
SAN	1441 1			16			1	2		54						144	£45		
CAM J	; a =	5			74		-	-				9				166		• ;	
33. 3. 3.																			
SEATTL	•••	600		a						2 2						175	212	3.0	
c Britain 1		100																	
ZBS [MV+ .					•			-		2						49	39	* ·	
**************************************	/37	200			2.			5								102	67	1.2	•

(Ky Motories)

1

ENC 2

CURITY INDEX CAPO CTOTIC CO - BILLED OFFICE LOW STATE TOAL SECT IN CORES OF JULY 15, 1982.

TOT VAS	•			<u>L!</u>	T	<u> </u>	DALB	_C11	17	TA STAT		WHITE	<u></u>		AEP	ELP.		SPEC	721		Tai
_1				<u>1</u> 58	ა- ವ -1	175	5,80a 91	202	-3,724 4.8	439 7	157 -1	.∺,769- 238	- ,-96 26			A	-45	46	-ئىن		ا نـد
		. ?		7		31	16	42 23	3	.2		45 26	1 2	1				2			I
		1	1 1:	40	2	10 227	124	264	1 78	8	1	. 13 307	. 2 44					•	•		l
	•	2 ? 7 4	10	4 25	3	9 317	2 145	. 11 355	96	7	4	10 436	1 26					3			l
		+2 37 27	2	31 12 4	1	191 54 33	82 20 16	222 67 49	37 - 6	10	4	226 74 39	47 10							•	l
•		~ ['	4.6	135	7	797	275	701	321	46	#	898	167	7			1	5		**	0
1.		86 15	2.8	28 51	3		158	154 415	34 128	10	1	153 488	41 66				1				2
		25 7£	18 57	18		33 118	. 12	39 136	15	7	5	40 147	12	4			•	1			2
2		1.13	429	52 1	4	904	315	833 12	343	41 1 7		1018	200	4. 63			•				
		114	9 24 97	32 13 16	1	72 51 175	20 19 57	78 62 166	6 7 56	1 8	. 1	29 55 199	15 33	62							1
		17	13	3		29	10	37	2			37	2		. 1		•				
		4 11 393	4 3 19	77	•	7 10 1656	1 4 998	6 13 1992	2 1 583	62	27	8 8 2465	ه 169	30	. •			4			- 1
		- 11.		,,	•	14		23	J J	1	•	22	2	. •							
٠		5 48	34	2 5	•	9 88 304	4 34 79	13 61 271	39 57	1 5	1	8 98 264	5 4 16	3			•	1			
		26 71 2	110 154	10 26	1	204 331 3	151	407	64	10	1	'-	18	í				•			
•	•	31	126	95		464	164	490	120	. 9.	9	568 431	58. 46	2				1			
1 2	•	88 35 170	161 18 605	.35 7 180		313 52 2475	164 19 997	321 61 2529	146 6 774	5 2 131	2	52 3186	18	1 53			22	i	•	3′)	4
		- 6	4	3	•	16	3	13	6			. 14	5								
	. ع	30 16 173	17 12 320	7 4 63	3	47 28 596	12 7 284	57 34 686	1 184	1 1 8	2	47 31 779	12 4 100	1		H		3			
		32 54	16 129	75 29		61 291	31 87	66 277	22 88	11	2	79 317	13 61			13		1			
		69 11	47 10	1.0	1	140 15	51 8	161	22 1	3 2	5	187 20	4					,			
		91	51 27	27 13		138	59 27	160 77	34 3	2	1	153 67	44	1							
		14	*	3		. 10	8	16	10	4	1	1,7 99	1 6	3		1					
		47 044 166	1" 695 38	1		72 1218 356		85 1587 382	18 295 2	51 4		1680	219	41 13				7 2			
•		279	212			2 418	1	3	54	16.	5	1 586	45'	8		Ħ		1			
		49	39 67	, ¢	,	194	20	86	18 52	2	3	89 202	17 34	1		* *	20	,		,	
		102	71	• /	1		7)	110		J	•		,4	•				•		•	

Enc 3

(I) SECURITY INDEX, SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS AND RELATED MATTERS -(The following instructions and suggestions are to be effective immediately:

SECURITY INDEX - VERIFICATION OF CHANGE OF ADDRESSES BY AUXILIARY OFFICES

It has been noted that in certain instances there have been delays by auxiliary offices in verifying information that a Security Index subject has changed residence and is residing within the territory covered by the auxiliary office. Until the verification of the new address is made by the auxiliary office, the office of origin cannot forward the Security Index cards and pertinent information with Form FD-128 to change the office of origin and the Security Index cards are not maintained in the office within whose territory the subject presently resides.

Whenever an auxiliary office receives a request to verify an address of a Security Index subject who is reported to be residing within its jurisdiction, the request shall be assigned immediately and the matter shall receive expeditious attention. The results of the investigative efforts to verify the new address of the Security Index subject must be transmitted to the office of origin within two weeks of the receipt of the request. When the office of origin receives a verification of a new address for a Security Index subject, Form FD-128 should be prepared without delay in order to advise the Bureau and to transmit the Security Index cards and other pertinent information to the new office of origin.

SECURITY-TYPE CASES TRANSFERRED TO A NEW OFFICE OF ORIGIN BY FORM FD-128

When a security-type case is transferred to a new office of origin by the use of Form FD-128, the new office of origin should review the pertinent material forwarded with the Form and any pertinent information in the files of the new office of origin and a determination should be made as to whether additional investigation is warranted at the time in that office. If so, the case should be maintained in a pending status and placed in line for investigation.

If a determination is made that no additional investigation or other action is warranted at the time in the new office of origin, the Bureau should be advised by memorandum that no further investigation or other action is warranted and that the matter is being placed in a closed status. The memorandum should be submitted to the Bureau whether or not the name of the subject of the investigation is in the Security Index. If the subject's name is carried in the Security Index and the old office of origin has not brought the case up to date with a summary report but has indicated on the Form FD-128 that a summary report will be prepared, the new office of origin must in any event maintain the case in a pending status to follow the old office of origin to see that the summary report is prepared. Upon receipt of the summary report from the old office of origin, if no additional investigation is contemplated, the new office of origin should then advise the Bureau the case is being closed administratively in its office.

Of course, cases of subjects who are Key Figures or Top Functionaries should never be placed in a closed status in accordance with existing instructions. Cases of Security Index subjects employed in Key Facilities in the new office of origin should never be placed in a closed status in accordance with instructions set out hereinafter.

UNNECESSARY LEADS IN SECURITY MATTER CASES

It is called to your attention that in many cases leads are being set out requiring auxiliary offices to perform investigations unnecessarily. The needless leads have been noted particularly in security matter cases in which the primary purpose of the investigation is to develop sufficient derogatory data to warrant the subject's name being included in the Security Index. We must not lose sight of our objectives in that type of investigation and each Bureau official or Supervisor initialing outgoing correspondence or reports requiring investigation by an auxiliary office should review the leads to be certain that they are justified in line with the objective.

It is highly important that this phase of our work be closely supervised and that all Agents working on security-type cases be familiar with the objectives of the investigation.

8/5/52 SAC LETTER NO. 75 Series 1952

8-5-52

Before an auxiliary office is requested to conduct an investigation in a case, the office of origin should be certain that every effort has been made to obtain the desired information in the office of origin. It has been noted that in many instances it would have been unnecessary to request investigation in an auxiliary office if the office of origin had used ingenuity to obtain the desired information by its own investigative efforts.

Examples of unnecessary leads being directed to auxiliary offices in security matter cases are set out as follows:

Unnecessary investigations flowing from mail covers or toll calls.

Unnecessary verification of birth records and other vital statistics in cases in which no doubt or suspicion as to the truthfulness of the statistics at hand has been raised during the investigation.

Unnecessary verification of previous employment not pertinent to the period of the subject's Communist activity.

Unnecessary accumulative investigation when the objective of placing the subject's name in the Security Index has already been reached.

Unnecessary requests by the office of origin to check the reputation of a subject's relatives. Many times an indices check by the auxiliary office would be sufficient. In many instances even the indices check appears unnecessary.

It is not the purpose of these instructions to curtail in any way the office of origin in requesting investigations by auxiliary offices when there is a definite reason to conduct the investigations either to reach the objectives of the investigations or to obtain data necessary under Bureau instructions.

DOCUMENTATION IN REPORTS AND USE OF THUMBNAIL SKETCH FILES

It has come to the Bureau's attention that there is a possible misunderstanding in the field in regard to the extent of

8/5/52 SAC LETTER NO. 75 Series 1952

documentation necessary regarding the associates of the subject of an investigation and as to the types of security investigations in which complete documentation of information is necessary.

Your attention is directed to No Number SAC Letter I, dated March 14, 1952, which furnished detailed instructions in regard to documentation in summary and investigative reports. As pointed out in that SAC Letter, it will not be necessary to conduct extensive file reviews to document fully information appearing in these reports concerning persons who have associated with the subjects other than to identify the source of the information as you have in the past. If it is felt desirable to include in a report the identities of individuals who are known Communists and have been associates of the subject to lend weight to the evidence of the subject's potential dangerousness, the source of the information reflecting the associate to be a Communist should be identified, but it is not necessary to document such information further by conducting file reviews to determine the identity of the Agent receiving the information or the location of the original source in the files.

In most cases the desired information to identify associates or organizational affiliations of the subject can be readily obtained from case files in the office. In a very limited number of instances it may be necessary for you to secure a thumbnail sketch or characterization of an individual or an organization from another field office or the Bureau. Your attention is called to the fact that whenever a thumbnail sketch or characterization of an individual or an organization is received in your office the information should be placed in thumbnail sketch files in order that it will be available to and can be readily located by an Agent in the office needing the characterizations. By proper administrative handling of characterization material and by acquainting Agents with the location of such material, repeated requests emanating from the same field division for characterization material on the same individual or crganization will be eliminated inasmuch as an Agent will be able to find the desired material in his own office.

Instructions regarding documentation of information in reports applies only to reports written on individuals and then only in those instances when (1) the investigating Agent has reason

8/5/52 SAC LETTER NO. 75 Series 1952

to believe the subject's name will be included in the Security Index in the future or (2) the subject's name is already included in the Security Index and the report, summary or investigative, is bringing the case up to date.

SECURITY INDEX SUBJECTS EMPLOYED IN KEY FACILITIES

Under existing instructions a report must be submitted each six months in cases in which a Security Index subject in the usual course of his employment has access to facilities which have been designated key facilities by the Secretary of Defense. Pertinent portions of the current Key Facilities List, furnished by the Secretary of Defense, have been forwarded to the field. In SAC Letter #107, dated October 27, 1951, instructions were issued that the cases of all Security Index subjects employed in key facilities shall be closed upon the completion of required active investigation and shall, thereafter, be followed by administrative tickler for the required report due in six months.

This procedure is henceforth changed. After the completion of the required active investigation of Security Index subjects employed in key facilities, the case shall be placed in a pending inactive status in order that the case can be continually assigned to an Agent and that incoming material can be routed to the Agent to whom assigned. You should set up appropriate administrative procedure so that cases will be removed from the pending inactive status and reactivated periodically for the preparation of the six-months' report.

(3) SECURITY INDEX - PREPALATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS -- Documentation and Selection of Information To Be Included In Summary Reports.

At the recent Internal Security - Espionage Conference the question was raised as to whether it is necessary to document completely information in summary reports on important functionaries against whom a prima facie case can be made.

In this connection your attention is called to pages 15 through 17 of No Number SAC Letter I, dated March 14, 1952, which specifically set forth provisions for eliminating repetitious and cumulative items of information in summary reports. As stated therein it is not possible to specify a maximum or minimum number

8/5/52 SAC LETTER NO. 75 Series 1952

of such items which should be included in each instance but that this would be dependent upon the preponderance of other evidence available for inclusion in the report. It was pointed out that our case against a subject must not be weakened by omitting such items. It was further stated that the selection of such items with regard to the period of time is dependent upon all facts developed against the subject.

The provisions in No Number SAC Letter I, were set forth for the purpose of cutting-down on the tremendous amount of Agent time necessary in preparing summary reports, particularly in those cases of important functionaries where the abundance of evidence makes such repetitious and cumulative items of little value when considered along with the amount and quality of other evidence available.

The Bureau believes that if evidence or intelligence information is of sufficient importante to be specifically set forth in summary reports we must be able to locate and produce such evidence should the necessity arise. Therefore, when such information is specifically set forth in reports it must be fully documented. Of course, it will not be necessary to document information appearing in general statements such as the example set forth on page 17 of No Number SAC Letter I.

It is not practical to specify a date prior to which it will not be necessary to report specifically items of information. It should be borne in mind that certain activities of one subject in the early 1940's or prior thereto may be of particular significance in presenting a clear picture of his importance to a subversive movement while activities of another subject at that time may be of such relative unimportance as to add little or nothing to the weight of our case against him. Each summary report must be prepared in light of the evidence we have on the particular subject in question and independent of other cases.

This letter and the instructions contained in pages 15 through 17 of No Number SAC Letter I, dated March 14, 1952, should be brought to the attention of all Agents engaged in security investigations to be certain that they are familiar with the instructions and observations set forth.

Very truly yours.

Attachments for (E) & (F)

John Edgar Hoover

8/5/52 SAC LETTER NO. 75 Series 1952

Director

-6 38-24

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum. • United States Government

TO:

DATE:

ERON

SUBJECT

iam gga se a la companya de la granda de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya de la companya del com

There are no require appropriately at percent was a con-

Director, FBI

July 31, 1952

-5AC, San Francisco-----

SECURITY INDEX TO A COMMUNIST PARTY, USA UNDERGROUND OFFRATIONS INTERNAL SECURITY - C

ReBulet July 3, 1952 concerning a suggestion forwarded by mylet of June 3, 1952.

Please be advised that this suggestion was actually made by SA of this office, and this information was inadvertently omitted from my original letter.

For the Bureau's further information, the other suggestion broken into five parts submitted by letter of June 3rd, was made by as well.

į

136 2-

Office M

m · UNITL

OVERNMENT

TO

DATE: July 25, 1952

FROM:

SUFJECT:

SECURITY INDEX -PREPARATION OF SUBMARY REPORTS

SUGGESTION OF SPECIAL AGENT NEW YORK DIVISION

PURPOSE:

To submit the recommendation of the Pomestic Intelligence Division regarding the attached suggestion.

BACKGROUND:

Attached hereto is Special Agent suggestion wherein he recommended:

- (1) Cancellation of the summary report project.
- (2) As a substitute therefor, the adoption of a precedure whereby at the time current investigative reports are submitted, letters will be prepared setting forth in synopsized form all information which would ordinarily be set forth in summary reports under the caption "Communist Party Lembership." This information in the letters would be fully documented in accordance with current procedures.

OBSERVATIOUS:

The suggested procedure by-passes the basic reason for the summary report project, namely, to bring all Security Index cases into a current status with all pertinent information previously developed consolidated into one report with the sources of the evidence and location thereof identified in the reports. One of the end objectives of this project is to bring these cases into a condition which would enable us to proceed against

184 I | 186 | 18 KIND | 18 KIND | 14 KIND | 18 KIND

the subjects in the event of a national emergency without undue delay in preparing the cases for prosecution. Without the preparation of summary reports at this time Without Index cases cannot be brought to a satisfactory status.

The second phase of this suggestion which proposes submission of separate letters at the time that current invertigative reports are submitted which letters would contain pertinent information in synopsized form would only serve to create duplicate synopsized form would only serve to create duplicate work which is now being avoided by the documentation of such information in the reports rather than in separate communications.

The question as to whether the summary report project is necessary and essential to our operations was determined prior to its institution.

ACTION:

It is recommended that this suggestion not be adopted.

If you agree, this memorandum and the attached suggestion should be submitted to the Training and Inspection Division for further handling and acknowledgment to Agent McCarthy.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

EMPLOYEE SUGGESTION JUL 1 6 1952 Date_ Director, FBI From: SA Field Office or Division New York SUGGESTION: Cancellation of summary reports in security-type cases and adoption of a letter limiting documentation of sources of previously reported information to those which would establish membership in a particular subversive organization. Under existing regulations necessitating submission of summary reports in security-type cases, a numerous amount of Agent time is being expended. Accordingly, the following suggestion is being submitted: (See next page). Its advantages are: (Advantages of above suggestion will be subsequently noted herein.) It should save at least \$250,000 annually. The use by the United States of my suggestion shall not form the basis of a further claim of any nature by me, my heirs, or assigns upon the United States. (Comments and recommendation of Supervisor, SAC, or Assistant Director: If something less than a summary report would serve the purposes of the Bureau in these cases, it is believed that this suggestion would warrant study. If it were adopted, it could follow the pattern of the summary briefs that the Bureau presently uses at the SOG on personnel files in order that, if such a system were compted in constructses, 2 copies of the security brief would be maintained as the top serial at the 300 and 2 copies as top (Signature) socials in the Field file; an extra copy. would be . . / available for the Department out the USA.

ENC 1

i

SUGGESTION: (Cont'd)

As set out in no number SAC Letter I, dated March 14, 1952, the expressed purpose requiring submission of summary reports is that at the time of apprehension of Security Index subjects, each Office will be responsible for placing in the hands of the appropriate United States Attorney sufficient evidence and intelligence information which will enable them to present the case of each subject apprehended to the proper legal body for justification of the apprehension and continued detention of the subject during the emergency.

It is felt that the responsibilities of the Bureau, and of each field office, at such time of apprehension will be adequately met by adoption of the following procedures:

report, a separate letter to the Bureau should be prepared setting forth in synopsized form all information which would ordinarily be set forth in the summary report under the caption "Communist Party Membership". This information should be set out in the form of the present instructions for documentating informants on the administrative pages of a summary report with a slightly broader scope given to the description of the evidence under the column "Description and/or Date of Activity", for example:

Identity of Source

Description and/or Date Date of Activity Recei

Date Agent to Received Whom

Agent to File Number Whom Where Furnished Located

where complete documentation of sources are not available, existing instructions for obtaining the same should be followed and an amended letter submitted upon receipt of complete documentation of all such sources.

the 2

The same procedure should be followed in submitting Form FD-128 by preparing a separate letter as previously described, a copy of which would be furnished to the new office of origin.

At the time each new investigative report is submitted subsequent to the submission of the initial letter of documentation of sources of membership, such additional evidence which has been developed should be added to that set out in the initial or previous letter in the form of a complete new letter, thus making available for ready reference a complete listing of information with original sources which would place the subject in a particular subversive organization.

In those instances where no additional evidence of membership has been developed, subsequent to the submission of the initial letter of documentation of sources or membership, a notation to that effect may be placed on the administrative page of the investigative report.

The use of a letter rather than a memorandum for the file is empressly unged in order that the Bureau may not only follow the specific case more closely, but may also request that documentation of sources be extended to include evidence of affiliation with front organizations, etc., where evidence of membership in the particular subversive organization may be limited. The use of the aforegoing procedures could be extended to encompass all types of security cases, including category 5 described in no number SAC Letter I, by continued following of existing policies for the preparation of reports in security—type cases plus the adoption of the aforegoing suggestion, and the Bureau would be able to meet any emergency situation necessitating the furnishing of documented evidence of membership in any particular subversive organization.

ADVANTAGES ARE:

The aforegoing suggestion will bring about the rollowing savings:

would eliminate the tremendous amount of Agent time consumed in preparation and documentation of summary reports, as well as that time spent in locating complete documentation of sources for information relating to background, associates and affiliation with front organizations, not only by the office of origin, but by auxiliary offices which are being requested to furnish such documentation of sources. It is firmly believed that the adoption of these suggestions will not only enable the Bureau to satisfactorily meet its obligations for presentation of evidence at the time of apprehension and trial of Security Index subjects, but will also enable the Bureau to be in a more favorable position to fulfill its responsibilities in the security field by adding to the Security Index those subjects whose cases are now being held by loss of available Agent time being consumed in the present mode of handling security cases.

ENC-4-